Regulation of Chemosensitivity in Human Medulloblastoma Cells by p53 and the PI3 Kinase Signaling Pathway
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ABSTRACT

In medulloblastoma, p53 expression has been associated with chemoresistance and radiation resistance and with poor long-term outcomes in the p53-mutated sonic hedgehog, MYC-p53, and p53-positive medulloblastoma subgroups. We previously established a direct role for p53 in supporting drug resistance in medulloblastoma cells with high basal protein expression levels (D556 and DAOY). We now show that p53 genetic suppression in medulloblastoma cells with low basal p53 protein expression levels (D283 and UW228) significantly reduced drug responsiveness, suggesting opposing roles for low p53 protein expression levels. Mechanistically, the enhanced cell death by p53 knockdown in high-p53 cells was associated with an induction of mTOR/PI3K signaling. Both mTOR inhibition and p110α/PIK3CA induction confirmed these findings, which abrogated or accentuated the enhanced chemosensitivity response in D556 cells respectively while converse was seen in D283 cells. Co-treatment with G‑actin–sequestering peptide, thymosin β4 (TB4), induced p-AKT(473) in both p53-high and p53-low cells, enhancing chemosensitivity in D556 cells while enhancing chemoresistance in D283 and UW228 cells.

Implications: Collectively, we identified an unexpected role for the PI3K signaling in enhancing cell death in medulloblastoma cells with high basal p53 expression. These studies indicate that levels of p53 immunopositivity may serve as a diagnostic marker of chemotheraphy resistance and for defining therapeutic targeting.

Introduction

Medulloblastoma, a primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET), arises from granule neuron precursors in the cerebellum or from rhombic lip neural stem cells. Medulloblastoma is the most frequently diagnosed malignant brain tumor in children, accounting for approximately 25% of all childhood brain tumors. Current medulloblastoma therapies (chemotherapy and radiation) are nontargeted, and many survivors suffer from long-term, treatment-related sequelae. Medulloblastoma has been classified into a scheme that incorporates molecular, anatomic, and staging data in a prognostic fashion, namely those associated with SHH and WNT constitutive signaling and so-called groups 3 and 4. While it is generally known that certain groups have a more favorable prognosis, such as the WNT group, further dissection within groups reveal subgroups that have a poorer outcome. Identification of the molecular basis of these differences, therefore, is critical for design of logical therapeutic intensification. The possible role of the p53 tumor suppressor gene in medulloblastoma has been investigated at the genomic and genetic level within these medulloblastoma subgroups (1–4). Defects in the p53 pathway, including p53 nuclear accumulation, MDM2 amplification and CDKN2A dysfunction, are established molecular features of medulloblastoma with validated relationships to disease molecular pathology (5, 6) and are associated with aggressive variants of MB (3). SHH-activated medulloblastoma were reclassified as p53 wildtype (WT) and p53 mutant based on the substantial differences in the long-term survival of the two classes (7). In addition, relatively high expression of p53 in SHH and genes associated with PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK pathways in group 3 medulloblastoma are associated with poor prognosis and survival outcome (8).

Studies have shown that strong p53 medulloblastoma tumor immunopositivity correlates with poor clinical prognosis, regardless of p53 genetic status (9–12). Increased p53 expression has been reported to be associated with chemoresistance and radiation resistance, and the long-term outcomes in the p53-mutated SHH (13), MYC-p53 (14), and p53-positive (wild or mutant) medulloblastoma subgroups are poor (11, 15). p53 mutations occur most often in the SHH (15%) and WNT (12%) subgroups of medulloblastoma, and patients with concomitant SHH or Myc amplification have the worst survival outcomes (4).

In recurrent medulloblastoma, p53 pathways defects, p53 mutations, and p53 nuclear staining in patients screened at diagnosis to
relapse were found in 100%, 79%, and 24% of the cases, respectively (14). Interestingly, the accumulation of p53 protein, which in the majority of cases was WT (p53, n = 101; p53 mutant, n = 3), conferred a significantly worse survival in central nervous system PNETs (P < 0.0001; ref. 16). In primary medulloblastoma samples, higher expression of both WT and mutant p53 was correlated with overall poor survival, with the p53-positive tumors having protein levels nearly equivalent to DAOY cells (6). Collectively, these data raise the possibility that the normal regulation and function of WT p53 may be compromised in medulloblastoma.

Typically, oncogenic p53 mutations lead to significant structural changes, resulting in altered interactions at the gene and protein levels (17, 18). However, partial or complete inactivation of WT p53 can influence the p53 network, p53 protein levels, p53 aggregation, p53 differential transactivation, and/or the loss or gain of p53 function leading to alterations in its normal tumor-suppressive role (19–21). Using the NeuroD2:SmoA1 mouse model, inhibition of p53 regulatory mechanisms was found to suppress WT p53 function (22). Alterations in normal p53 function may therefore be important in the pathogenesis of medulloblastoma, as studies in other genetically engineered mouse models have shown that Mgx expression alone fails to induce medulloblastoma, and either a mutant p53 gene or p53 ablation is required to induce medulloblastoma (13, 23, 24). Given the critical role of p53 in tumor suppression, there is a need to better understand the functional regulation of p53 in medulloblastoma pathogenesis and to better define the impacts of both p53-WT and p53-mutated medulloblastoma to identify new markers and therapeutics to improve clinical outcomes.

Our previous studies established that the high basal p53 protein expression of either WT (D556) or p53 mutant (DAOY) in medulloblastoma cells was involved in chemoresistance, and p53 suppression increased drug sensitivity in both cell types (25). We now show that p53 knockdown induced chemoresistance in medulloblastoma cells with low basal WT p53 protein levels (e.g., D283, UW228). In addition, the enhanced sensitivity to cisplatin and vincristine in p53-high cells following p53 knockdown was associated with an induction of AKT/mTOR signaling. Finally, we established that thymosin β4 (Tβ4), an FDA-approved, naturally occurring peptide, induced the PI3K/AKT pathway in medulloblastoma cells, enhancing chemosensitivity to first-line drugs in p53-high medulloblastoma cells while suppressing drug responses in p53-low medulloblastoma cells.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and reagents

The human medulloblastoma cell lines D556 (RRID:CVCL_1165), DAOY (RRID:CVCL_1165), and D283 (RRID:CVCL_1155) were maintained in complete RPMI containing 10% FBS, l-glutamine, Na-Pyruvate, and 100 U/mL penicillin-streptomycin and incubated at 37°C, in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2.

The cell lines UW228 (RRID:CVCL_8585), D425 (RRID:CVCL_1165), and D458 (RRID:CVCL_1165) were maintained in complete DMEM containing 10% FBS, 2% l-glutamine, and 100 U/mL penicillin-streptomycin.

Short tandem repeat DNA fingerprinting was performed by PCR on the DOAY, D556, UW228, D425, and D458 cells in the Georgetown-Lombardi Tissue Culture Shared Resource as described previously (25). No cross-contamination was observed. All cell lines were split twice weekly or as needed and were screened for Mycoplasma contamination by PCR. After roughly 50 passages the cells were replenished with fresh cultures established from frozen stocks.

A total of 10 mmol/L RAD001 (Sigma-Aldrich SML2282) stock solution for cell culture was prepared in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich), which was stored at −20°C and diluted with fresh culture medium immediately before use. Cisplatin (PHR1624), vincristine (V8388), and doxorubicin (PHR1404) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and vincristine were dissolved with 0.9% NaCl at a concentration of 1 mg/mL, doxorubicin and cisplatin was dissolved in DMSO and stored at 4°C. VMY-1-103, a danylized analog of purvalanol B developed at Georgetown University, Washington, DC, was dissolved in DMSO to a concentration of 10 mg/mL and further diluted in DMSO for drug treatments as described previously (25).

Cell viability and growth

Cell viability was determined using trypan blue dye exclusion staining. Cell counting was performed using a hemocytometer as described previously (25, 26). Cellular apoptosis was also assessed by Annexin V antibody (BioLegend) staining immediately after treatment with VMY (30 μmol/L), vincristine (0.5 μmol/L), cisplatin (12.5 μmol/L), and doxorubicin (4.8 μmol/L) and analyzed using FACStar Plus dual laser FACSsort system (Becton Dickson) as previously described by us (27–29).

Immunoblotting

Protein extracts were separated on 4% to 20% Tris-glycine gels and electroblotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes as described previously (29, 30). Antibodies against p53 (Millipore, #05-224), p-H2A.X (Ser139; Seri39; Cell Signaling Technology, #9718), mTOR (Cell Signaling Technology, #2983), p-mTOR (S2448) (Cell Signaling Technology, #2971), 4E-BP1 (Cell Signaling Technology, #9644), PTEN (Cell Signaling Technology, #9559), S6K [Abcam (E175) ab32359], PI3 Kinase p110α (Cell Signaling Technology, #4249), RIP (Cell Signaling Technology, #4926), p-AKT (S473) (Cell Signaling Technology, 9271), PARP (Cell Signaling Technology, #9542), BCL2 (Cell Signaling Technology, #15071), BCL-xl (Cell Signaling Technology, #2764), FAS (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, #sc-8009), FOXL1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, #sc-130373), β-actin (Cell Signaling Technology, #4967), and GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology, #2983) were purchased from indicated companies. Densitometry was performed using Imagej analysis software (RRID:SCR003070) as described previously (29, 30).

Immunofluorescence imaging

Cells were seeded on glass coverslips and treated with DMSO or VMY for 18 hours. Cells were washed with PBS and fixed in 10% formalin for 10 minutes. Cells were then washed three times with PBS, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, and washed an additional three times with PBS. Cells were then blocked with 1% BSA in PBS, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, and washed again. The slides were then stained with primary antibodies against Alexa Fluor goat 488 anti-mouse (1:150, Millipore #05-224) for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were then washed with PBS (3 ×) and stained with the secondary antibodies Alexa Fluor goat 488 anti-mouse (1:150, Life Technologies, A-10667) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Slides were then counter stained with DAPI for 5 minutes. Confocal microscopy was performed on a Zeiss LSM510 Meta microscope using a 40× lens.

RNAi

siRNA’s for FAS (sc-29311), FASL (sc-29313), and FOXL1 (sc-106746) were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. A total of 20 nmol of siRNA with lipofectamine 2000 was transfected into D556 cells. The same concentration of nonspecific siRNA (sc-37007) was transferred to D556 cells.
used as control. Cells were cultured for 3 days and targeted gene expression was examined by immunoblotting.

Production of lentivirus short hairpin RNA vectors for mTOR and p53 knockdown

For adenovirus knockdown experiments, Adp53shRNA and empty pLKO vector control plasmids were purchased commercially (Vector Biolabs, #1854) and used as described by the manufacturer as described previously (25). Briefly, a total of 20 μg of lentiviral vector carrying short hairpin RNA (shRNA; mTOR or p53), 15 μg of packaging vectors pHR’S.2AR (Addgene), 2 μg of pCMV-VSV-G (RRID:Addgene#454), and 50 μL Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) were mixed and incubated with 293T cells at 37°C. After 24 hours, the media was changed, and the cell supernatants were collected after an additional 24 hours and recombinant virus was stored at -80°C until use. The cells were seeded at 30% confluence and viral infections were performed for 72 hours prior to treatment with drugs. Efficiency of the knockdown was monitored by p53 and mTOR immunoblotting as described previously (26).

Expression of p110β

Transient transfections with the p110β expression plasmid (Addgene, #16643) were performed as recommended by the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, cells were seeded in 6-well plates and allowed to attach overnight. The p110β expression plasmid was transfected using Lipofectamine LTX reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #15338100) and 24 hours after transfection, the cells were treated with aforementioned drugs. After 18 hours of drug treatment, cells were collected and analyzed for cell death or protein expression using cell viability assays and immunoblot.

Reactive oxygen species measurement

For reactive oxygen species (ROS) measurement experiments, the cell permeant reagent 2’,7’-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFDA; Abcam, ab113851) and CellROX (Cell Signaling Technology, #C10422) were used. DCFDA was dissolved in DMSO and stored at -20°C until use. DCFDA final concentration of DCFDA was set at 3 mmol/L in all experiments. D556 cells were stained with CellROX to detect ROS according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Tβ4

Medulloblastoma cells were pretreated with Tβ4 (Regenex Pharmaceuticals) for 24 hours before adding drugs. The dose curve for Tβ4 was performed with 4.5 μmol/L doxorubicin. To assess the activation of p110β by Tβ4, we performed dose–response studies of Tβ4 (5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 150 nmol/L) in D556 cells and found 5 nmol/L Tβ4 efficiently induced cell death and, therefore, 5 nmol/L was used subsequently in all experiments.

Reverse-phase protein array analysis

Cell pellets were isolated from the p110β and control transfected D556 cells (as described above) and washed 3x with PBS. Cells were collected by scraping and protein lysates were collected. Reverse-phase protein arrays (RPPA) were performed as described previously (31, 32).

RNA sequencing and pathway analyses

RNA was extracted from D556 and DAOY treated with cisplatin and cisplatin plus Tβ4 as described above using the Zymo research RNA mini-prep (Zymo Research Corp., R2050). RNA quality was analyzed using bioanalyzer (Bioanalyzer 2100, Agilent) and used at RNA index numbers >9.6. Extracted RNA was submitted to Otogenetics Corporation for Illumina Hi-seq RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) from total RNA (PE100 and HiSeq2000/2500 sequencing; 20 million reads). Data were processed as described (25, 33) and submitted to the NCBI https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA766838.

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis

Gene interaction networks, biofunctions, and pathway analysis were generated by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (Qiagen, RRID:SCR_008653), with microarray data interpretation via mapping of differentially expressed genes to known molecular functions, pathways, and networks available in the Ingenuity database as described previously (33). The significance was set at a P value and adjusted P value of 0.05 and a fold change of 1.5. The significance of the association between the input dataset and the functions or pathways was determined on z-score. The z-score is a statistical measure of the match between expected relationship direction and observed gene expression as predicted by IPA. The calculated z-score predicts activation or inhibition of a pathway based on positive or negative z-score, respectively.

Statistical analyses

Data were presented as the mean ± SD. Comparisons between two groups were made using two-tailed t test, and the proportion of Annexin V–positive apoptotic cells was determined using the χ² test. Analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., RRID:SCR002798). P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Statistical differences were marked by asterisks as follows: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; and ns, not significant.

Results

p53 expression in molecular subtypes of medulloblastoma

We have previously shown that the high levels of basal p53 protein played a significant role in supporting chemoresistance in DAOY (p53C242F mutant, SHH group) and D556 (p53 WT, group 3) medulloblastoma cells. Specifically, we established that p53 suppression enhanced the sensitivity of DAOY and D556 cells to the experimental drug VMY [VMY-1–103, a CDK1/CDK2 inhibitor (25)] or doxorubicin, as measured by colony-forming assays, DNA degradation assays, and Annexin V staining, suggesting a surprising commonality in the p53 signaling in both cell lines despite the differences in their p53 gene status (25).

To better understand the possible clinical significance of high basal p53 protein expression levels in medulloblastoma, we have now performed an extensive literature and database search for studies reporting p53 protein and RNA expression levels in medulloblastoma. To the best of our knowledge, a total of 18 studies directly assessed p53 protein expression in primary medulloblastoma samples by IHC staining to predict survival outcomes in medulloblastoma, and 13 studies reported a significant association between intense p53 staining with poor overall survival (refs. 9–11, 14–16, 34–40; Fig. 1A). No correlation of prognosis or survival with p53 immunopositivity was noted in other studies (refs. 2, 3, 41–45; Fig. 1A). p53 immunopositive was unrelated to genetic status of p53 in most of the studies (11, 16, 41, 46). Intense p53 expression was correlated with high apoptotic/proliferative index (45, 47), advanced metastatic stage (48), early recurrence (49), T-antigen of human neurotropic polyomavirus JC (50), and clinical histology (10); however, no survival data were available (Fig. 1A, bottom), while some studies lacked association of
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p53 immunopositivity with features such as proliferation and apoptosis (Fig. 1A, bottom; refs. 51–55). p53 protein expression is not detected in the normal cerebellum (https://www.proteinatlas.org; ref. 50).

The distribution of p53 RNA levels across the established molecular classification of medulloblastoma (56) was assessed using transcriptomic data from medulloblastoma datasets for which molecular classification was available, extracted from the R2 platform (http://r2.amc.nl; refs. 13, 57–64). The p53 expression levels in SHH were significantly higher than group 3, group 4, and WNT \( (P < 0.01; \text{Fig. 1B}) \) and reanalysis of the exome sequencing data of Northcott (65) further displayed the distribution of expression of all p53 exons in the medulloblastoma subgroups (Supplementary Fig. S1A). Next the association of p53 RNA expression levels with clinical outcomes was assessed using Oncomine (www.oncomine.org). The p53 expression levels were significantly higher in classic and desmoplastic medulloblastoma versus normal cerebellum (66), as well as in advanced M stage (67) and in medulloblastoma samples with CTNNB1 mutation (Fig. 1B, bottom; refs. 13, 68). In addition, p53 RNA expression levels were substantially higher in patients who died within 1 year versus those who survived (Fig. 1B, bottom; ref. 66), although different outcomes have been reported previously (58).

**p53 protein levels impact chemosensitivity in medulloblastoma cells**

High basal p53 protein expression is seen in D556 and DAOY (25). We next assessed the levels of p53 protein expression in D283, D425, D458, and UW228. As shown in Fig. 2A, D458, D556, and DAOY cells express distinctly higher p53 protein levels versus the D283, UW228, and D425 cells, allowing us to classify these medulloblastoma cell lines as p53-high (D556, DAOY, and D458) and p53-low (D283, UW228, and D425). The status of the p53 gene is also shown in Fig. 2A.

p53 was genetically silenced in both D556 and D283 cells using the previously validated pLKO-p53-shRNAs (Fig. 2B; ref. 25). Treatment...
of D556 cells with VMY resulted in an increase in p53 nuclear positivity, which was blocked by p53 shRNA knockdown as previously shown (Fig. 2C; ref. 25). p53 immunopositivity in D283 cells was also increased by VMY, albeit to a lesser extent compared with D556 cells, and this increase was effectively inhibited by p53 shRNA knockdown (Fig. 2D).

Next, the pLKO-shRNA control and p53-shRNA knockdown cells were treated with VMY, doxorubicin, vincristine, or cisplatin. Similar to our previous study, p53-shRNA knockdown increased the chemosensitivity of D556 cells while increasing drug resistance in D283 cells (Fig. 2E and F, respectively). Dose-escalation experiments performed in D556 cells in the presence and absence of p53-shRNA or the p53 chemical inhibitor, pifithrin-α (25), established that the heightened chemosensitivity to doxorubicin and VMY was consistent across a broad range of concentrations (Supplementary Fig. S1B and S1C, respectively). Suppression of p53 by pifithrin-α in D556 cells resulted in increased cell aneuploidy (Supplementary Fig. S2A), while p53 ablation by CRISP-R was genotoxic (Supplementary Fig. S2B), suggesting that p53 may contribute to medulloblastoma tumorigenesis by enabling mitosis in the context of a destabilized cell genome (69). Colony-forming assays were next performed. In D556 cells, the genetic silencing of p53 led to significant decreases in colony formation following treatment with VMY, doxorubicin, vincristine, or cisplatin versus shRNA control (Fig. 2G) while in UW228 cells, silencing of p53 led to significant increases (Fig. 2H).
Identification of actionable targets to enhance chemosensitivity

Despite differing in medulloblastoma subgroup and in p53 status, pathway analysis of the RNA-seq data from D556 and DAOY cells treated with VMY displayed noticeable similarities in transcriptomic signatures (Supplementary Fig. S2C). In addition, the RNA-seq data from the D556 and DAOY cells treated with cisplatin in the presence and absence of p53 identified additional shared transcriptomic signatures (Fig. 3A). The complete list of differentially expressed genes \( [P < 0.05, \text{fold change (FC)} 1.5] \) is presented in Supplementary Data S1. These data further suggest that p53 protein levels and subcellular localization, not simply its genetic status, in part influence response to drugs.

As silencing of p53 in humans is not a viable approach, we sought to identify secondary regulators associated with the enhanced chemosensitivity observed with p53 suppression. IPA was performed on the transcriptomic data from VMY or cisplatin-treated D556 cells, treated with VMY (30 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) or doxorubicin (DOX, 4.5 \( \mu \text{mol/L} \)) versus DMSO control.
(FOXL1). However, rescuing the effects of p53 inhibition by siRNA knockdown of FOXL1 (Supplementary Fig. S3A–S3C) or FasR (Supplementary Fig. S3D–S3F) was unsuccessful.

IPA further revealed an unanticipated induction of genes associated with the PI3K signaling pathway, including mTOR and AKT. The induction of the PI3K/mTOR signaling pathway by p53-shRNA knockdown, in both the presence and absence of doxorubicin, was verified in D556 by the increased levels of both p-AKT and S6Kinase (S6K) by immunoblotting (Supplementary Fig. S3G and S3H). The densitometric values are based on the gene expression studies and available literature (70, 71) we further explored the possibility that increasing PI3K-associated signaling may impact components of the translational machinery. 4E-BP1 and EFL2α expression was modestly repressed at the protein level in the VMY- and doxorubicin-treated cells versus pLKO control (Supplementary Fig. S4A). The RNA-seq data further indicated an induction of the ROS and eNOS pathways upon p53 suppression in D556 cells. However, no differences in ROS production or oxidative stress were observed in either D556 or D283 cells using DCFDA and CellRox assays (data not shown).

**AKT/mTOR regulates p53-mediated chemosensitivity**

Experiments were next performed to determine whether AKT/mTOR suppression or overexpression differentially regulated chemosensitivity in p53-high versus p53-low medulloblastoma cells. First, mTOR was genetically or chemically inhibited using mTOR-shRNA lentivirus or RAD001, respectively, in the presence or absence of p53 suppression. D556 cells infected independently and simultaneously with p53-shRNA and mTORC1-shRNA were treated with drugs for 18 hours. Immunoblotting confirmed that mTOR targeting shRNA reduced mTOR protein levels and downstream proteins including phospho-mTOR, S6K, and phospho-H2AX (Fig. 4A). While dual mTOR-shRNA and p53-mTOR-shRNA targeting significantly reduced cell death, as determined by trypan blue exclusion and flow cytometry, the addition of the knockdown of p53 did not significantly impact cell survival over the levels of p53-mTOR-shRNA alone (Fig. 4B).
Similar results were obtained with combinations of RAD001 or rapamycin (RAPA) and p53 knockdown in D556 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4B). Conversely, increased cell death was seen in p53-low D425 and UW228 cells when targeted with mTOR-shRNA and p53-mTOR-shRNA (Supplementary Fig. S4C and S4D). These chemical and genetic approaches each suggest a role for AKT and mTOR activation in accentuating cell death in p53-high medulloblastoma cells and indicate that mTOR suppression is sufficient for enhancing cell survival in the p53-high medulloblastoma cells.

To further define the role of AKT/mTOR signaling in cell death, medulloblastoma cells were transfected with p110α/PIK3CA or control expression vectors (Fig. 5A). p110α significantly enhanced chemosensitivity in D556 cells (Fig. 5B and C), while significantly suppressing chemosensitivity in D283 cells (Fig. 5D). RAD001
abrogated the enhanced chemosensitivity induced by p110α in D556 cells (Fig. 5E).

RPPA were performed on D556 cells transfected with p110α or the empty vector control plasmid, following by 18 hours of VMY or doxorubicin treatment. Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis verified that the p110α-transfected cells grouped separately versus the empty vector controls (Supplementary Fig. S5A). The RPPA data also verified the induction of AKT/mTOR pathway components by p110α and identified robust reductions in clinically relevant medulloblastoma molecular signatures, including Myc, phospho-Myc, and phospho-β-catenin (Fig. 5F). Despite the induction of AKT/mTOR signaling, downstream components of the pathway involved in translation, including ELF2-α, 4E-BP1, and PDK1, were suppressed, as were tricarboxylic acid cycle-related proteins, including acetyl Co-A carboxylase and ATP citrate lyase (Fig. 5F).

**Tb4 enhanced chemosensitivity and triggered necroptosis in human medulloblastoma cells**

Tb4 is a multifunctional, regenerative, FDA-approved orphan peptide/drug for treating epidermolysis bullosa. Tb4 crosses the
blood–brain barrier, induces PI3K/AKT signaling and supports recovery from stroke (72, 73). To begin investigating whether Tβ4 induces PI3K/AKT signaling in medulloblastoma cells and impacts chemosensitivity, we performed dose-escalation studies in D556 cells in the presence of doxorubicin. Significant increases in cell death were observed with Tβ4 (Fig. 6A). Immunoblotting confirmed the induction of PIK3CA by Tβ4 down to 5 nmol/L (Fig. 6B).

Experiments using VMY–, doxorubicin–, cisplatin– or vincristine-treated D556 and DAOY cells established that 5 nmol/L Tβ4 consistently increased chemosensitivity (Fig. 6C and D). Similar responses were seen in the p53-high, D458 cells (Supplementary Fig. S5B). Immunoblots indicated an induction of DNA damage-associated proteins including RIP, PARP, p-AKT5473 and BCL2, in the presence of Tβ4 (Fig. 6E). Dose–response studies performed in presence or absence of 5 nmol/L Tβ4 with vincristine and cisplatin demonstrated a marked decrease in IC50 of the drugs (Fig. 6F and G).

Conversely, Tβ4 treatment of p53-low D283 and UW228 cells reduced responses to these drugs, consistent with the p53 suppression data (Supplementary Fig. S5C–S5E). Immunoblots established that RIP was induced in UW228 cells, albeit to a lesser extent than in D556 cells, and modest effects on BCL2 and PARP were indicative of reduced cell death in presence of Tβ4 (Supplementary Fig. S5F).

Discussion

Collectively, the molecular and genetic data presented herein indicate that basal p53 protein levels in medulloblastoma cells influence the effects of AKT/mTOR signaling on key cell death pathways in response to chemotherapy (Fig. 7). The differential influences of these regulatory pathways in p53 high versus low medulloblastoma cells suggest that regulation of cell survival and cell death mechanisms may be predicted by p53 expression levels. p53 protein levels may therefore be a predictive biomarker for enhancing outcomes in patients whose medulloblastoma cells express high levels of p53 protein through the addition of Tβ4 to the chemotherapeutic regimen.

There is a clear precedent for the role of p53 as a tumor suppressor in various cancers (74). Several integrated transcriptomic and genomic studies have revealed significant insights into the prognostic value of p53-mutated medulloblastoma tumors (75). Specifically, detailed studies have been performed to define the prognostic value of p53 mutations within the molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma (7, 65). Additional studies have addressed the role of p53 protein expression in predicting survival outcomes in medulloblastoma (2, 9–11, 14–16, 35, 37–45). Interestingly, many of these studies provide evidence for significantly shorter survival in patients with p53 immunopositive tumors. Studies which reported no statistical significance between p53 IHC expression and survival do show a statistically significant correlation between p53 and Ki-67 (48, 55).

Silencing of p53 also influenced the ploidy of D556 cells, suggesting that p53 may contribute to medulloblastoma tumorigenesis by facilitating mitosis and stabilizing the cancer cell genome (18). p53 protein accumulation in cancer cells is usually attributed to mutations or frame shift deletions, resulting in either loss of tumor suppressor function or gain of oncogenic function (4). However, p53 functional inactivation can be achieved by deregulated co-regulators, for example methylation of p14ARF or deletion of INK4/ARF, in addition to p53 mutation (76) or upstream regulators of p53, such as I2PP2A (inhibitor 2 of phosphatase 2A) in SHH-activated p53-WT medulloblastoma (22). In addition, p53 expression was upregulated by 3.12 FC in patients who died within the first year (Fig. 1B; ref. 66).

Our previous study found that p53 protein accumulation in medulloblastoma cell lines is responsible for enhanced chemoresistance, suggesting a novel role for p53 in regulation of responses to chemotherapy (25). Furthermore, we established that cellular responses to chemotherapy upon p53 suppression comparable in p53-mutant DAOY and the p53-WT D556 cells, suggested a complex molecular
basis of p53 functionally dysregulated medulloblastoma types. On the basis of this observation, we examined p53 protein expression levels in six medulloblastoma cell lines. D283 (p53-WT) and U228 (p53-mutant) express p53 protein at much lower levels than D556 (p53-WT) and DAOY (p53-mutant). As opposed to D556 and DAOY cells, the genetic or chemical inhibition of p53 in D283 and U228 cells resulted in significant reductions in drug-induced cytotoxicity, as seen previously in other cancers (26). To the best of our knowledge, these data are first to establish this function of p53 in medulloblastoma cells.

The impacts of the PI3K pathway on viability prompted us examine the role of AKT and mTOR signaling in medulloblastoma cells (77, 78). The RPPA data indicated that p110tvA induction promoted medulloblastoma cell death by compromising key survival and proliferative pathways, and possibly p53 itself via inhibition of MDM2 levels in D556 cells (Fig. 5F; refs. 79, 80). p110tvA/PIK3CA mutations are frequent in cancers but are less common in brain cancer (81). One possible explanation for the increased levels of basal p53 protein levels in D556 and DAOY cells may be a chronic feed-forward suppression of the PI3K pathway by p53. This concept is supported in part by the data showing that mTOR suppression increased cell survival which was not impacted by modulation of p53 levels (Fig 4B and C).

Using a "drug repositioning" approach, we found that TBr4 induced PI3K/AKT signaling and significantly enhanced the efficacies of first-line chemotherapies in the p53-high D556 and DAOY cells versus reduced responses to these drugs in the p53-low D283 cells (Fig. 7). The enhanced chemosensitivity by TBr4 in the p53-high cells occurred through an induction of PI3K/AKT and necroptosis signaling (RIP) and the suppression of M已有 (Fig. 5F). This unique interplay of p53 and the PI3K pathway in p53-WT and p53-mutant medulloblastoma warrants additional investigation to evaluate the therapeutic value of modulating p53/AKT/mTOR in vivo or in clinical trials. p53 protein expression and subcellular localization can be assessed in resected patient medulloblastoma samples by standard IHC or cytosin quantification approaches. Post-treatment quality of life of patients with medulloblastoma plays an increasingly important role in the choice of therapies. Current medulloblastoma therapy classifies patients into four groups, based on histologic, genetic, anatomic, and staging considerations. Even though each category has broad predictive outcomes, significant variability of these outcomes exists within each group, suggestive of the need for further dissection of pathways that impact on survival as well as morbidity. While additional experiments are required, given the observation that targeting the PI3K pathway can uncouple its regulation by p53, it is possible that TBr4 may reduce the post-treatment morbidity and sequelae by enabling the dose reduction of chemotherapeutics.
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