Whole-Genome and Transcriptional Analysis of Treatment-Emergent Small-Cell Neuroendocrine Prostate Cancer Demonstrates Intraclass Heterogeneity
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Abstract

Therapeutic resistance in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) can be accompanied by treatment-emergent small-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (t-SCNC), a morphologically distinct subtype. We performed integrative whole-genome and -transcriptome analysis of mCRPC tumor biopsies including paired biopsies after progression, and multiple samples from the same individual. t-SCNC was significantly less likely to have amplification of AR or an intergenic AR-enhancer locus, and demonstrated lower expression of AR and its downstream transcriptional targets. Genomic and transcriptional hallmarks of t-SCNC included biallelic loss of RB1, elevated expression levels of CDKN2A and E2F1, and loss of expression of the AR and AR-responsive genes including TMPRSS2 and NKX3-1. We identified three tumors that converted from adenocarcinoma to t-SCNC and demonstrate spatial and temporal intrapatient heterogeneity of metastatic tumors harboring adenocarcinoma, t-SCNC, or mixed expression phenotypes, with implications for treatment strategies in which dual targeting of adenocarcinoma and t-SCNC phenotypes may be necessary.

Implications: The t-SCNC phenotype is characterized by lack of AR enhancer gain and loss of RB1 function, and demonstrates both interindividual and intraindividual heterogeneity.

Visual Overview: http://mcr.aacrjournals.org/content/molcanres/17/6/1235/F1.large.jpg.
Introduction

Metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) is a clinically and genomically heterogeneous disease with widely varying outcomes (1, 2). Small-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma is a highly lethal subset of prostate cancer that is rare at the time of diagnosis but increasingly common upon emergence of resistance to androgen receptor (AR)-targeted therapies (3–6). Targeted and whole-exome sequencing of treatment-emergent small-cell neuroendocrine prostate cancer (t-SCNC) demonstrates frequent inactivating mutations and/or copy number loss of RB1 and TP53 (3). However the exome represents a small percentage of the genome, and the complete genomic landscape of t-SCNC, along with the impact on downstream transcriptional profile, remains to be elucidated.

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) methods have identified key structural variants present in mCRPC, including amplification of an upstream enhancer of AR that drives AR expression and contributes to progression of castration-resistant disease (7–9). Whether t-SCNC harbors structural variants, and whether this has downstream implications for disease progression from adenocarcinoma to t-SCNC is not known. We previously interrogated the whole genomes and transcriptomes of 101 mCRPC samples (7), and have subsequently used unbiased clustering to identify the subgroup of tumors harboring a t-SCNC gene expression signature (10). We then contrasted the genomic hallmarks of tumors harboring t-SCNC expression signatures with those that did not, including the presence of AR enhancer amplification, confirming our observations using a previously published mCRPC cohort (5).

We next extended these results to evaluate the gene expression profile of 14 metastatic paired biopsies obtained at baseline and progression from our recently published cohort (6) to identify changes in expression patterns associated with the transition from adenocarcinoma to t-SCNC. Finally, we interrogated a published mCRPC cohort that assayed multiple distinct metastatic sites per individual (6) and demonstrated frequent intraindividual heterogeneity in t-SCNC.

Materials and Methods

Case selection and sample preparation

Patient tissue samples were obtained through the Stand Up 2 Cancer/Prostate Cancer Foundation-funded West Coast Prostate Cancer Dream Team project, a prospective, multi-center study that acquired metastatic CRPC biopsies from five investigational sites (11). Patients were required to have histologic evidence of prostate adenocarcinoma at the time of diagnosis, with subsequent development of mCRPC, and at least one metastatic lesion accessible for image-guided percutaneous biopsy. Human studies were approved and overseen by Institutional Review Board of the participating institutions. All individuals provided written informed consent to participate in the prospective tissue acquisition protocol including molecular profiling of tumor and germ-line samples.

Details of patient sample preparation have been reported previously (7, 10). Briefly, samples were obtained using image-guided core needle biopsy of metastatic lesion in the bone or soft tissue. Separate cores were obtained and freshly frozen for DNA/RNA sequencing and formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded for histologic determination of adenocarcinoma versus t-SCNC morphology, as described previously (7, 10). Tumor structural variation and copy number data were ascertained by WGS as described previously (7). Data from previously published studies (5, 6) were downloaded from cbioPortal (http://cbioportal.org).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analysis was performed using R (v3.3.3). Between-group comparisons of continuous variables were performed with the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Contingency table tests were performed with Fisher exact test.

Results

Unbiased clustering of expression profile identifies t-SCNC subset

Unbiased gene expression clustering of 101 samples in the WGS cohort identified a previously reported subset of five samples (10) that bore a t-SCNC expression signature, with low to absent expression of AR signaling, elevated expression of genes associated with small-cell morphology, elevated expression of E2F1 and CDKN2A, low expression of NOTCH2/NOTCH2NL, and elevated expression of the ASCL1, a transcription factor essential for neural development (ref. 12; Fig. 1A). t-SCNC samples often harbored elevated expression of the ETV1, ETV4, and ETV5 in the absence of activating ETS-family gene fusions; these transcription factors play an important role in neural development (13, 14). Clinical features of the cohort are shown in Supplementary Table S1. We compared these results with a previously published analysis of mCRPC and neuroendocrine tumors (ref. 5; Fig. 1B). A gene set reported by Beltran and colleagues to distinguish adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine tumors separated WGS t-SCNC and neuroendocrine samples (ref. 5; Supplementary Fig. S1), confirming the neuroendocrine phenotype of the t-SCNC samples. WGS and Beltran t-SCNC tumors consistently lost AR gene expression. We observed that Beltran tumors exhibited uniform loss of AR signaling but a gradient of RB1 and neuroendocrine marker expression, suggesting neuroendocrine tumors can harbor a heterogeneous expression phenotype.

Molecular correlates of the t-SCNC phenotype

We then searched genome wide for associations between the t-SCNC expression phenotype and somatic alterations by WGS. As reported previously (5), tumors harboring the t-SCNC expression phenotype were less likely to bear AR gene locus DNA amplification than samples with an adenocarcinoma expression phenotype (2 of 5; 40% vs. 69 of 96; 72%). In addition, we observed t-SCNC tumors were significantly less likely to harbor AR enhancer amplification (1 of 5; 20% vs. 80 of 96; 83%, P = 0.005). The two WGS t-SCNC samples with AR gene locus DNA amplification had significantly lower AR expression levels than other samples bearing AR amplification, with one sample (DTB-205) showing negligible expression of AR, compatible with complete silencing of AR expression despite the presence of a DNA copy number increase at the AR locus. Samples with the t-SCNC expression phenotype were significantly more likely to harbor bi-allelic RB1 inactivation (3 of 5; 60% vs. 9 of 96; 9.4%, P = 0.01). The two t-SCNC samples without bi-allelic RB1 inactivation harbored mono-allelic RB1 inactivation. We observed a significant association between RB1 expression levels and the number of predicted functional RB1 alleles, compatible with a
All t-SCNC samples had significantly lower expression of RB1 (P = 0.003) and significantly higher expression of CDKN2A and E2F1 (P = 0.0002, 0.0004, respectively) compared with samples with an adenocarcinoma expression phenotype, consistent with genetic inactivation of RB1 (Fig. 2B). Application of a validated RB1 loss gene expression signature (6) likewise demonstrated significant enrichment in the t-SCNC samples (mean RB1 loss score of 3.79 in t-SCNC vs. 1.16 in samples with adenocarcinoma expression phenotype, P = 0.009).

No other recurrent structural variant was significantly associated with the t-SCNC expression phenotype. Bi-allelic TP53 inactivation was present in three of five tumors with the t-SCNC expression phenotype (60%) versus 43 of 96 adenocarcinoma samples (45%; P > 0.05; Fig. 1A). Likewise, bi-allelic PTEN inactivation was present in two of five tumors with t-SCNC phenotype (40%) versus 33 of 96 adenocarcinoma samples (34%).

dose effect of allelic loss (Fig. 2A). All t-SCNC samples had significantly lower expression of RB1 (P = 0.003) and significantly higher expression of CDKN2A and E2F1 (P = 0.0002, 0.0004, respectively) compared with samples with an adenocarcinoma expression phenotype, consistent with genetic inactivation of RB1 (Fig. 2B). Application of a validated RB1 loss gene expression signature (6) likewise demonstrated significant enrichment in the t-SCNC samples (mean RB1 loss score of 3.79 in t-SCNC vs. 1.16 in samples with adenocarcinoma expression phenotype, P = 0.009).

No other recurrent structural variant was significantly associated with the t-SCNC expression phenotype. Bi-allelic TP53 inactivation was present in three of five tumors with the t-SCNC expression phenotype (60%) versus 43 of 96 adenocarcinoma samples (45%; P > 0.05; Fig. 1A). Likewise, bi-allelic PTEN inactivation was present in two of five tumors with t-SCNC phenotype (40%) versus 33 of 96 adenocarcinoma samples (34%).

Conversion to t-SCNC may be a late onset event in mCRPC

The timing and mechanism of conversion from adenocarcinoma to t-SCNC during the course of AR-targeting therapies and progression from castration-sensitive to castration-resistant disease remains to be elucidated. To begin to address this question, we identified 14 patients in our previously published cohort (6) of patients who had RNA-seq data available from mCRPC biopsies obtained at two time points (baseline and progression). The clinical characteristics of these patients are shown in Supplementary Table S2. In 11 of the 14 patients, both baseline and progression biopsies had an adenocarcinoma gene expression profile (Fig. 2B, left). Three patients (DTB-080, DTB-135, and DTB-210) had an adenocarcinoma expression phenotype in their baseline sample and t-SCNC expression profile at progression (Fig. 2B, right). The previously published neuroendocrine gene set readily distinguished the three progression t-SCNC tumors in this analysis from the adenocarcinoma samples (Supplementary Table S2).
Figure 2.

RB pathway expression is associated with t-SCNC status. A, Expression of RB1 is significantly associated with the number of predicted functional alleles (top). Expression of RB1, CDKN2A, and E2F1 were significantly different in RB1+/− and t-SCNC tumors compared with RB1+/+ tumors with adenocarcinoma expression phenotype (bottom). B, Heatmap showing expression of NEPC genes in paired West Coast Dream Team (WCDT) baseline and progression mCRPC samples. Tumors from the same patient are indicated by a common color on the top line and set off by vertical lines. Baseline/progression status is indicated by white/black bar in second row. Adenocarcinoma/t-SCNC status assessed by gene expression profile is indicated by black/orange bar in third row. C, Heatmap showing expression of NEPC genes in primary, localized, and mCRPC samples from Kumar dataset (6). All tumors from the same patient are indicated by a common color on the top line. Samples derived from primary/metastatic lesions are indicated by white/black on the second line. Adenocarcinoma/t-SCNC status assessed by gene expression profile is indicated by black/orange bar in third row.
con-SCNC (7). This finding, coupled with the enrichment of RB1 loss, distinguished t-SCNC from mCRPC without transcriptional features of small cell/neuroendocrine transformation. Using serial biopsies, we demonstrate temporal heterogeneity of t-SCNC differentiation arising in patients with established mCRPC. Based on independent analysis of a previously published dataset, we demonstrate spatial heterogeneity of t-SCNC differentiation across metastatic lesions within an individual patient.
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Discussion

In this article we describe the structural variants of t-SCNC, a highly lethal variant of mCRPC, and how they differ from adenocarcinoma tumors. We undertook an unbiased clustering approach of the transcriptome to independently identify a subset of tumors harboring a t-SCNC expression profile and confirmed this classification using a previously published gene signature of NEPC (5). We observed a near mutual exclusivity with AR-enhancer amplification, which has recently been reported to be present in up to 80% of mCRPC biopsies that do not harbor t-SCNC (7). This finding, coupled with the enrichment of RB1 loss, distinguished t-SCNC from mCRPC without transcriptional features of small cell/neuroendocrine transformation. Using serial biopsies, we demonstrate temporal heterogeneity of t-SCNC differentiation arising in patients with established mCRPC. Based upon independent analysis of a previously published dataset, we demonstrate spatial heterogeneity of t-SCNC differentiation across metastatic lesions within an individual patient.

We and others have previously demonstrated that t-SCNC harbors lower canonical AR transcriptional output, yet with retained AR protein expression (10). The results of this analysis build upon these previous findings by virtue of whole-genome interrogation and the finding of lower prevalence of amplification of upstream enhancer of AR. Notably, even in the two cases of t-SCNC with amplification of the AR gene locus or upstream enhancer, respectively, we observed lower expression of AR and its downstream transcriptional targets. This is consistent with a characterization of an AR “indifferent state”, and suggests that epigenetic dysregulation is a hallmark of t-SCNC (15). Further study of the epigenetic landscape of t-SCNC will be required to ascertain the mechanisms underpinning the putative silencing of canonical AR-driven transcription.

In a pair-wise comparison of the transcriptional profile of biopsies obtained at two time points in patients with mCRPC, we observed the emergence of t-SCNC at progression in 3 patients. These observations, although preliminary given the limited sample size, are consistent with a model where t-SCNC develops late during progression, after the onset of mCRPC and may be enriched following the use of second-generation androgen-signaling inhibitors (5). Whether the process driving the emergence of t-SCNC is related to transdifferentiation from an adenocarcinoma precursor versus clonal expansion remains to be defined. Further prospective studies in patients with mCRPC with serial genomic and transcriptomic assessment at various time points are needed to delineate the kinetics and mechanism underpinning the emergence of t-SCNC.

Previously, our group and others demonstrated that loss of function of tumor suppressors RB1, TP53, and PTEN are hallmarks of small-cell NEPC (3, 6). Mechanistic studies have demonstrated that RB1- and TP53-deficient prostate cancer leads to lineage plasticity and resistance to antiandrogen therapy (16–18). Loss of two or more of the three tumor suppressors has been shown to lead to worse treatment outcomes in a prior study and in preclinical models (3, 19). In this analysis of the whole genome, RB1 loss stands out as the key genomic hallmark of t-SCNC, leading to upregulation of E2F1 and acceleration of cell-cycle progression. TP53 loss may promote a different genotype and phenotype than RB1 loss, characterized by marked frequency of inversions and chromothripsis (7). Further interrogation of individual loss of each of these tumor suppressor genes is required to delineate specific effects on pathogenesis of t-SCNC and treatment outcomes.

Our finding of interpatient heterogeneity in the gradient of t-SCNC expression, together with intrapatient spatial and temporal heterogeneity of adenocarcinoma versus t-SCNC differentiation demonstrated in our dataset and others, has implications for the development of therapeutic strategies in this setting. Successful treatment of t-SCNC may require dual targeting with continuation of treatments aimed at blocking adenocarcinoma targets including the AR. The gradient of t-SCNC expression suggests plasticity in the emergence of this phenotype, and underscores the potential for epigenetically mediated reversal of t-SCNC differentiation and restoring dependence on the AR. These strategies warrant prospective evaluation as novel targets and targeted therapies are evaluated in t-SCNC.
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