Foxo-dependent Par-4 Upregulation Prevents Long-term Survival of Residual Cells Following PI3K–Akt Inhibition
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Abstract

Tumor recurrence is a leading cause of death and is thought to arise from a population of residual cells that survive treatment. These residual cancer cells can persist, locally or at distant sites, for years or decades. Therefore, understanding the pathways that regulate residual cancer cell survival may suggest opportunities for targeting these cells to prevent recurrence. Previously, it was observed that the proapoptotic protein (PAWR/Par-4) negatively regulates residual cell survival and recurrence in mice and humans. However, the mechanistic underpinnings on how Par-4 expression is regulated are unclear. Here, it is demonstrated that Par-4 is transcriptionally upregulated following treatment with multiple drugs targeting the PI3K–Akt–mTOR signaling pathway, and identify the Forkhead family of transcription factors as mediators of this upregulation. Mechanistically, Foxo3a directly binds to the Par-4 promoter and activates its transcription following inhibition of the PI3K–Akt pathway. This Foxo-dependent Par-4 upregulation limits the long-term survival of residual cells following treatment with therapeutics that target the PI3K–Akt pathway. Taken together, these results indicate that residual breast cancer tumor cell survival and recurrence requires circumventing Foxo-driven Par-4 upregulation and suggest that approaches to enforce Par-4 expression may prevent residual cell survival and recurrence. Mol Cancer Res; 16(4): 599–609. ©2018 AACR.

Introduction

Despite improvements in diagnosis and treatment, breast cancer remains the second-leading cause of cancer-related deaths among women in the United States (1). This is due largely to the recurrence of disease following surgery and adjuvant therapy. Recurrent breast cancer is common, affecting nearly 25% of breast cancer patients, and these recurrent tumors are frequently resistant to drugs used to treat primary breast tumors. Recurrent tumors are thought to arise from a population of residual cells that survive treatment. Consistent with this notion, the extent of residual cancer burden following NAC, and the presence of these cells and their eventual recurrence, are strongly correlated with poor prognosis following therapy are strongly correlated with poor prognosis (2). In addition, between 30% and 50% of breast cancer patients have disseminated tumor cells (DTC) in their bone marrow, and the presence of these cells and their persistence following therapy are strongly correlated with poor prognosis (3–5). Therapies that can eliminate residual tumor cells or prevent their emergence as recurrent breast cancers may prolong the survival of patients with breast cancer. However, the development of such therapies is limited by our poor understanding of the pathways that enable the long-term survival of residual cells following treatment.

We have previously used conditional genetically engineered mouse (GEM) models to identify pathways that mediate the survival and recurrence of residual cells following oncogene inhibition (6). In these models, doxycycline-dependent, mammary gland–specific expression of an oncogene (e.g., Her2, Myc, or Wnt1) drives the formation of invasive mammary adenocarcinomas (7–9). Removal of doxycycline from mice with primary tumors leads to oncogene downregulation and tumor regression. However, a population of residual cells survives oncogene downregulation and persists in a dormant, nonproliferative state (10). Following a variable latency period, these residual cells resume proliferation to form recurrent tumors (6, 11).

To identify pathways that regulate the survival of residual cells and their eventual recurrence, we compared gene expression profiles of primary and recurrent tumors from the Her2, Myc, and Wnt1 oncogene models. This analysis revealed that the tumor suppressor protein Par-4 is downregulated in recurrent tumors from all three models (6). Par-4 is a proapoptotic protein that induces apoptosis in cancer cells through a variety of mechanisms, primarily through inhibition of the prosurvival pathways NFκB, Akt, and PKCζ (12). Our functional studies showed that Par-4 is a critical negative regulator of residual cell survival and recurrence. Specifically, cells with low Par-4 expression preferentially survive and persist as residual cells following Her2 downregulation. Similar results were observed in breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC): low Par-4 expression in primary tumors is associated with increased residual cancer burden following NAC, and
residual tumors that remain following NAC have low Par-4 expression (6). These results identify Par-4 as a negative regulator of residual cell survival following therapy. However, little is known about how Par-4 expression is regulated in response to treatment. Studies in Her2-driven tumors showed that Her2 inhibition leads to acute upregulation of Par-4, thereby limiting the survival of residual tumor cells (6). However, the mechanistic basis of Par-4 upregulation remains unknown. In addition, the relevance of Par-4 in regulating residual tumor cell survival in human cancer cells, and in cells driven by activation of other oncogenic pathways, remains unknown. In this study, we investigate the mechanism and functional significance of Par-4 upregulation following oncogene inhibition in human breast cancer cells. We show that Foxo3a directly binds to the Par-4 promoter and transcriptionally upregulates Par-4 following inhibition of the PI3K–Akt–mTOR pathway. We further show that this Foxo3a-dependent Par-4 expression prevents the long-term survival of residual cells following oncogene inhibition.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and reagents

Human breast cancer cell lines (BT-474, SKBR3, and MCF-7) and 293T cells were obtained from ATCC through the Duke University Cell Culture Facility. BT-474 and MCF-7 cells were maintained in RPMI1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich), and SKBR3 and 293T cells were maintained in DMEM (Corning). All media were supplemented with 2mmol/L glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 100 U/mL of penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 10% FBS (Corning). All cells were grown in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO2. Transfections were done using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per manufacturer’s instructions. Cell viability was measured using CellTiterGlo (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cell lines were tested and found to be negative for mycoplasma contamination by the Duke CCF on the following dates: BT-474 cells, February 25, 2014; SKBR3 cells, October 30, 2014; MCF-7 cells, August 28, 2014. Cells were authenticated by the Duke CCF as per manufacturer’s instructions. Cell lines were tested and found to be negative for mycoplasma contamination by the Duke CCF on the following dates: BT-474 cells, March 11, 2014; SKBR3 cells, October 29, 2014; MCF-7 cells, September 24, 2014. All cell lines were used within 10 passages of being thawed.

The following drugs were obtained from Selleck Chemicals: BEZ235, BKM120, RAD001, lapotinib, Torin1, and PD0325901. 4-Hydroxytamoxifen was purchased from Sigma. All drugs were reconstituted per manufacturer’s instructions and used at concentrations noted in the text.

Western blotting and antibodies

Western blotting was performed as described previously (6). Membranes were probed with the following primary antibodies: total Akt, phospho-Akt S473, Puma, p27 Kip1, mTOR, phospho-S6 S240/244, phospho-HER2/ErbB2 Y1221/1222, Erk1/2, phospho-Erk1/2 T202/Y204, Foxo1, FoxO3a, Foxo4, and TSC1 antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology and used at 1:1,000; FLAG epitope and GAPDH antibodies were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used at 1:1,000; Par-4 antibody was obtained from Bethyl Laboratories and used at 1:2,000; and α-tubulin antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnol

Plasmids, CRISPR knockouts, and lentiviral transduction

To generate cells stably expressing tamoxifen-inducible Foxo3a, we cloned HA-FOXO3a TM-ER (a gift from Michael Greenberg, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; Addgene #8333), a constitutively active form of Foxo3a with mutations in Akt phosphorylation sites (T32A, S253A, S315A) fused to the estrogen receptor, into the lentiviral vector pCDH-EF1-FIHC (a gift from Richard Wood, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; Addgene #64874). For transient expression of constitutively active Foxo3a, we used FOXO3a TM/pcDNA (a gift from William Sellers, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA; Addgene #10709). Myristoylated Akt (pWZL Neo Myr Flag AKT1) was a gift from William Hahn and Jean Zhao, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; (Addgene #20422). Human Par-4 was cloned from cDNA and an N-terminal FLAG tag was appended using the following primers: forward, 5’-TAACCGATCCAGATCAATCCCAAGCAGATGACACCAGACGGACACAGGCGCTGGTACCGGACAC-3'; reverse, 5’-TAACCGATTTCATCTTACCTGACCTCAGTGACCG-3'. Par-4 was cloned into the BamHI and EcoRI sites of pLenti CMV Neo (a gift from Eric Campeau (University of Massachusetts, Worcester, MA); Addgene #17447). H2B-eGFP (a gift from Geoff Wahl (Salk Institute, La Jolla, CA); Addgene #11680), and H2B-mStrawberry (a gift from Robert Benezra, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; Addgene #20970) were cloned into pLenti CMV Neo. For reporter gene assays, we used luciferase reporter constructs pFHIRE-luc (a gift from Michael Greenberg, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; Addgene #1789), pGL3 control, pGL3 enhancer, and pRL-SV40 (all from Promega).

For CRISPR-mediated knockouts, sgRNAs were cloned into lentiviral CRISPR v2 (a gift from Feng Zhang, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA; Addgene #52961) using previously described protocols (14). The following protospecies were used: nontargeting (NT) sgRNA. CCCGGAGGACCTCTTACACC; Par-4 sg1, GCCCGCTGTCCGTACGCA; Par-4 sg2, CACGACCACTACATCGCC.

Lentivirus was generated by transfecting 293T cells with packaging plasmids psPAX2 and pMD2.G (both gifts from Didier Trono, École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland; Addgene #12260 and 12259) along with the lentiviral delivery plasmid, as described previously (6). Retrovirus was generated using Amphoteron packaging cells (National Gene Vector Biorepository), as described previously (6).
 reporter gene assay and chromatin immunoprecipitation

Reporter gene assays were performed essentially as described previously (15). A BAC clone comprising the Par-4 promoter (RP11-642G22) was purchased from Children’s Hospital Oakland Research Institute. Eight regions of the Par-4 promoter from approximately 2-kb upstream to approximately 2-kb downstream of the transcriptional start site were PCR amplified (see Supplementary Table S1 for primer sequences) and cloned into the multiple cloning site of the pGL3 enhancer plasmid upstream of Firefly luciferase. These constructs were transfected individually into 293T cells along with Renilla luciferase (pRL-SV40) and either empty vector (pcDNA3.1) or constitutively active Foxo3a (FOXO3A AAA/pcDNA3.1). pGL3 control, empty pGL3 enhancer, or FFRE-luc were separately transfected as negative and positive controls. Firefly luciferase values were normalized to Renilla luciferase and are represented as fold-change in luciferase expression in the presence of Foxo3a TM relative to empty vector.

For chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP), BT-474 cells were treated with MK-2206 for 24 hours and then fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature. Crosslinking was quenched with 250 mmol/L glycine for 5 minutes at room temperature, and cells were collected in PBS. Pelleted cells were processed for ChiP and analyzed for Foxo3a occupancy as described previously (16, 17). Primers targeting regions #3, #6, and 8 of the Par-4 promoter were used to amplify immunoprecipitated DNA (see Supplementary Table S1 for primer sequences). To calculate Foxo3a occupancy at each region, ChIP values were normalized to input DNA and expressed as fold-enrichment relative to control IgG.

Fluorescent competition assay

BT-474 cells expressing a nontargeting sgRNA were infected with H2B·mStrawberry and selected in G418. BT-474 cells expressing one of two sgRNAs targeting Par-4 (sg#1 or sg#2) were infected with H2B·eGFP and selected in G418. Control (mStrawberry-) and Par-4 knockout (GFP+) cells were mixed in a 1:1 ratio and plated at 1 × 10⁶ cells per well in triplicate on a 12-well plate. Fluorescent micrographs were taken at day 1 (input) to confirm equal plating of control and Par-4 knockout cells. For control experiments, cells were left untreated and allowed to grow for 11 days (~5 population doublings) at which point pictures were taken to quantify the ratio of mStrawberry+ to GFP+ cells. For drug treatments, cells were treated with 500 mmol/L lapatinib or 1 μmol/L MK-2206. Media and drug were replenished every 3 days, and pictures were taken at day 31 to measure the ratio of mStrawberry+ to GFP+ cells in the residual surviving population. At day 31, drug was removed from the media and cells were allowed to grow out for 14 days, and the ratio of mStrawberry+ to GFP+ cells was assessed. Pictures were taken on an EVOS FL Imaging System and analyzed using CellProfiler 2.1.1 software.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed a minimum of three independent times. For Western blots, a single experiment is shown that is representative of the results from multiple independent experiments. For gene expression and reporter gene assays, the data are shown as the average from at least three independent experiments plus the SD. The cellular competition assays were performed in triplicate with two independent sgRNAs. P values were calculated using two-tailed Student t test between continuous variables. All data were graphed and analyzed in GraphPad Prism.

Results

Par-4 is transcriptionally upregulated following inhibition of the Her2–PI3K–Akt–mTOR pathway

To gain insight into the mechanism of Par-4 upregulation following Her2 inhibition, we used two well-characterized human HER2-amplified breast cancer cell lines, BT-474 and SKBR3. Consistent with our previous findings (6), treatment with lapatinib, a dual small-molecule Her2/EGFR inhibitor, for two days led to upregulation of Par-4 (Fig. 1A). To determine whether this was mediated by changes in transcription of the Par-4 gene, we measured Par-4 mRNA levels by quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). We found that lapatinib treatment for two days led to induction of Par-4 transcript in both BT-474 and SKBR3 cells (Fig. 1B). We next performed a time-course of Par-4 mRNA induction in response to lapatinib in BT-474 cells. We found that Par-4 mRNA increased 16 hours following lapatinib treatment, and continued to increase up to four days (Fig. 1C), suggesting that this effect is relatively direct.

Her2 leads to activation of a number of signaling pathways, notably the Ras–Raf–MAPK and PI3K–Akt–mTOR pathways. To dissect the signaling pathway(s) downstream of Her2 that regulate Par-4, we used validated, specific small-molecule inhibitors of each of these pathways. Treatment of BT-474 cells with the allosteric pan-Akt inhibitor MK-2206 for two days induced upregulation of Par-4 protein (Fig. 1D) and mRNA (Fig. 1E). Similar results were found in SKBR3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B). Similarly, treatment with Torin1, a catalytic mTOR inhibitor that inhibits both mTORC1 and mTORC2, led to upregulation of Par-4 protein and mRNA in BT-474 cells (Fig. 1D and E). In contrast, the MEK inhibitor PD0329501 did not affect Par-4 protein or mRNA levels in BT-474 or SKBR3 cells (Fig. 1E; Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B).

To extend these results, we tested the effects on Par-4 expression of other small-molecule inhibitors of this pathway, including the pan-class I PI3K inhibitor BKM120 and the allosteric mTORC1 inhibitor RAD001. Treatment of BT-474 or SKBR3 cells with BKM120 or RAD001 for two days led to upregulation of Par-4 protein and mRNA (Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1D). Taken together, these results indicate that inhibition of the PI3K–Akt–mTOR pathway, but not the Ras–MAPK pathway, is sufficient to induce Par-4 upregulation in HER2-amplified breast cancer cells.

Akt inhibition is required for Par-4 upregulation following Her2 inhibition

We next wished to address whether inhibition of Akt is necessary for Par-4 upregulation following Her2 inhibition. To do this, we asked whether a constitutively active form of Akt could prevent Par-4 upregulation following Her2 inhibition. We transduced BT-474 cells with retrovirus expressing myristoylated Akt (Myr-Akt) or control GFP virus, and treated cells with lapatinib, MK-2206, or Torin1. Treatment of control BT-474 cells with lapatinib for 30 minutes led to reduced phosphorylation of Her2, Akt, and S6 ribosomal protein (Fig. 2A). Similarly, MK-2206 treatment caused reductions in phospho-Akt (pAkt) phosphorylation and phospho-S6 (pS6; Fig. 2A). Interestingly, Torin1 treatment led to more profound reductions in pS6 than lapatinib or MK-2206, and induced a partial reduction in pAkt levels (Fig. 2A), consistent with previous findings (18). In contrast, expression of Myr-Akt in BT-474 cells partially rescued the decrease in pAkt and pS6 levels.
induced by lapatinib and MK-2206, but not by Torin1. These results are consistent with the fact that MK-2206 is an allosteric inhibitor that targets the Pleckstrin homology (PH) domain of Akt and prevents its recruitment to the plasma membrane by phosphatidylinositol–3,4,5-triphosphate (19); Myr-Akt, which induces constitutive membrane localization, has been shown to be resistant to inhibition by MK-2206 (20).

Having established that Myr-Akt expression rescues the acute downstream signaling effects of lapatinib and MK-2206, we next asked whether its expression also prevents Par-4 upregulation. Control BT-474 cells or cells expressing Myr-Akt were treated with lapatinib, MK-2206, or Torin1 for two days and Par-4 mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. Myr-Akt expression completely blocked Par-4 upregulation following lapatinib and MK-2206 treatment (Fig. 2B). In contrast, Myr–Akt expression did not affect Par-4 upregulation induced by Torin1 treatment (Fig. 2B). Together, these data indicate that inhibition of Akt is required for Par-4 upregulation following lapatinib treatment in Her2-amplified breast cancer cells, and suggest that mTORC1/2 inhibition functions downstream of Akt to induce Par-4 upregulation.

Par-4 upregulation following PI3K–Akt–mTOR inhibition in PIK3CA-mutant breast cancer

We next asked whether the upregulation of Par-4 is a common event following PI3K–Akt–mTOR pathway inhibition in other subtypes of breast cancer. Approximately half of hormone receptor-positive (HR+) breast cancers have mutations in components of the PI3K pathway, including the catalytic subunit PIK3CA, the regulatory subunit PIK3R1, PTEN, and Akt1 (21, 22). To determine whether Par-4 upregulation is also observed following inhibition of the PI3K pathway in PI3K-mutant HR+ breast cancer, we used MCF-7 cells, which harbor an E545K activating mutation in PIK3CA and have been used as a preclinical model for this cancer subtype. We treated MCF-7 cells with inhibitors of PI3K, Akt, or mTOR for two days and measured Par-4 levels by Western blotting. Inhibition of each protein induced Par-4 upregulation (Fig. 2C). A time-course of Par-4 mRNA expression following MK-2206 treatment revealed that Akt inhibition induces acute upregulation of Par-4 (Fig. 2D). These results show that Par-4 upregulation is a common response to PI3K–Akt–mTOR inhibition in multiple breast cancer subtypes.
Par-4 is a direct target of Foxo transcription factors

To gain insight into the transcription factor(s) that mediate Par-4 upregulation following Her2–PI3K–Akt pathway inhibition, we first considered transcriptional activators whose activity increases following inhibition of this pathway. We focused on Foxo family transcription factors for several reasons. First, Akt directly phosphorylates Foxo proteins, leading to their cytoplasmic retention and/or degradation; consequently, inhibiting Akt induces Foxo activation and expression of Foxo target genes (23). Second, mTOR inhibition induces Foxo3a upregulation, nuclear accumulation, and the expression of Foxo3a target genes (24). Finally, a recent study in prostate cancer suggested that the natural compound Withaferin-A can induce Par-4 upregulation via activation of Foxo3a (25). We first asked whether Foxo transcription factors are activated concurrent with Par-4 upregulation in these breast cancer cells. Total levels of Foxo1, Foxo3a, and Foxo4 increased following Akt inhibition in BT-474 cells, consistent with the finding that Akt directly phosphorylates these proteins and induces proteasomal degradation (Supplementary Fig. S2A). These family members likely have redundant functions (16, 26), and so we focused on a single family member, Foxo3a, for subsequent experiments. We next asked whether Foxo3a can upregulate Par-4 expression in breast cancer cells. Expression of Foxo3a-TM, a constitutively active mutant of Foxo3a in which the three serine residues phosphorylated by Akt were mutated to alanine, led to upregulation of Par-4 in both Her2-amplified cell (BT-474 and SKBR3) and PIK3CA-mutant cells (MCF-7; Supplementary Fig. S2B–S2D).

To gain insight into whether Par-4 is a direct transcriptional target of Foxo3a, we next assessed the timing of Par-4 mRNA upregulation following Foxo3a activation. To do this, we generated stable MCF-7, SKBR3, and BT-474 cells in which constitutively active Foxo3a-TM is fused to the ligand-binding domain of the estrogen receptor (ER). Under basal conditions, this Foxo3a-TM-ER construct is sequestered in the cytoplasm and inactive. Upon treatment with 4-OH Tamoxifen (4-OH TAM), Foxo3a-TM-ER translocates to the nucleus to drive expression of target genes. Treatment of cells expressing Foxo3A-TM-ER with 4-OH TAM led to time-dependent increases in Par-4 mRNA levels, beginning as soon as 6 hours following treatment (Fig. 3A–C). This timing paralleled the upregulation of Puma, a known direct Foxo3a target (Supplementary Fig. S2E–S2G). This translated to an increase in Par-4 protein levels (Fig. 3D). Importantly, 4-OH TAM treatment of cells expressing an empty vector had no effect on Par-4 levels (Fig. 3A–C), indicating that Par-4 upregulation in these cells was mediated through Foxo3a, and not through inhibition of endogenous estrogen receptor signaling.

Next we wished to identify the region of the Par-4 promoter that mediates Foxo3a-dependent transcription. We focused on an approximately 4-kb region surrounding the transcriptional start site (TSS) that, based upon ENCODE data, is likely to contain...
regulatory elements (data not shown). We cloned eight 500-bp fragments of this region upstream of Firefly luciferase (Fig. 3E). These constructs were then transfected individually into 293T cells together with Renilla luciferase and constitutively active Foxo3A TM, and luciferase expression was measured 24 hours later (Fig. 3F). We identified three regions of the Par-4 promoter, Regions 2, 6, and 8, which drove Foxo3A-dependent transcription (Fig. 3F). The induction of luciferase expression by these regions in response to Foxo3A was comparable with or greater than that conferred by the positive control construct, Forkhead response element (FHRE; Fig. 3F). Importantly, each of these regions contains a putative Foxo3a-binding site (Fig. 3E).

Figure 3.
Par-4 is a direct target of Foxo3a. A–C, Time-course of Par-4 mRNA upregulation following 4-OH Tamoxifen treatment in BT-474 (A), SKBR3 (B), or MCF-7 (C) cells stably expressing Foxo3a TM-ER fusion. D, Western blot analysis showing Par-4 upregulation 24 hours after 4-OHT treatment. E, Schematic of the Par-4 promoter surrounding the transcriptional start site. Eight regions (~500 bp each) were cloned upstream of luciferase for use in reporter gene experiments. Putative Foxo3a binding sites in region 2, 6, and 8 are shown. F, 293T cells were transfected with luciferase constructs containing each promoter region together with empty vector or constitutively active Foxo3a TM, and luciferase expression was measured 24 hours later. pGL3, empty vector (negative control); FHRE, forkhead response element (positive control). G, ChIP analysis of Foxo3a occupancy at indicated regions of the Par-4 promoter in BT-474 cells treated with vehicle or MK-2206 for 24 hours. A distal region (~10 kb) of the Par-4 promoter was used as a negative control, and the Puma promoter was used as a positive control. Data are expressed as fold enrichment over IgG IP. Significance was determined by Student t test and data are presented as mean ± SD (**, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001).
Finally, we used ChIP to determine whether Foxo3a binds directly to these regions of the Par-4 promoter. BT-474 cells were treated with MK-2206 to inhibit Akt and activate Foxo3a, and the binding of endogenous Foxo3a to the Par-4 promoter was measured by ChIP followed by qPCR. MK-2206 treatment led to a 2-fold increase in Foxo3a occupancy at these regions, similar to what was observed at the Puma promoter (Fig. 3C). Foxo3a was not present at a distal region of the Par-4 promoter approximately 10-kb upstream of the TSS. Taken together, these results indicate that Foxo3a directly binds to the Par-4 promoter and activates Par-4 transcription following inhibition of the Akt pathway.

mTOR pathway inhibition induces upregulation of Foxo3a and Par-4
Foxo3a and mTORC1 are generally considered to be parallel pathways downstream of Akt (23), and so it was not clear whether Foxo3a mediates Par-4 upregulation in response to mTORC1 inhibition. However, as described above, mTOR inhibition has recently been shown to induce Foxo3a upregulation, nuclear accumulation, and the expression of Foxo3a target genes (24), suggesting that Foxo3a may mediate Par-4 upregulation downstream of mTORC1 inhibition. To address this, we explored the mechanism of Par-4 upregulation following treatment with drugs targeting the mTOR pathway. We first confirmed that these drugs were acting through on-target effects by performing genetic knockdown of mTOR. BT-474 cells were transduced with lentivirus expressing a control NT shRNA or one of two shRNAs targeting mTOR. Cells were selected in puromycin for 4 days, and then treated with vehicle or lapatinib for 3 days. mTOR knockdown led to a decrease in phosphorylation of its downstream target S6, and an increase in Akt S473 phosphorylation (Fig. 4A), consistent with previous reports (27), indicating that mTOR knockdown effectively suppressed downstream signaling. mTOR knockdown also led to an increase in Par-4 levels, confirming the pharmacologic results obtained with Torin1 and RAD001 (Fig. 4A). Lapatinib treatment further augmented the increase in Par-4 expression observed in mTOR knockdown cells, and this was concomitant with a reduction in Akt activation (Fig. 4A). Taken together, these results indicate that inhibition of Akt and mTORC1 can independently induce Par-4 upregulation, and suggest that these proteins cooperate in mediating Par-4 upregulation in response to inhibition of the Her2–PI3K–Akt pathway.

As described above, mTOR inhibition has recently been shown to induce Foxo3a upregulation (24). We therefore asked whether Foxo3a expression increases following mTOR inhibition in BT-474, MCF-7, and SKBR3 cells. Treatment of each cell line with Torin1 for 2 days led to a 2- to 4-fold increase in Foxo3a transcript and protein levels (Fig. 4B and C). This suggests are consistent with Foxo3a mediating Par-4 upregulation following mTOR pathway inhibition.

Par-4 does not regulate the acute survival of cells following Her2–PI3K–Akt pathway inhibition
We previously showed that Par-4 is a negative regulator of residual cell survival in both mice and humans (6). In mouse mammary tumors, Par-4 knockdown increases the number of residual cells that survive following Her2 downregulation. In breast cancer patients, tumors with low Par-4 expression have more extensive residual cancer burden following neoadjuvant therapy, and neoadjuvant therapy selects for residual cancer cells with low Par-4 expression (6). These results led us to hypothesize that Par-4 may regulate residual cell survival following inhibition of the Her2–PI3K–Akt pathway in human breast cancer cells.

We addressed the role of Par-4 in regulating cell survival in response to inhibition of the PI3K–Akt–mTOR pathway by determining whether Par-4 expression is required for cell death in response to inhibition of this pathway. We used CRISPR-Cas9 to knock out Par-4 in BT-474 cells. Expression of either of two independent sgRNAs targeting Par-4 led to complete reduction in protein levels (Fig. 5A). Control and Par-4 knockout cells grew at equal rates (Fig. 5B), consistent with our previous findings in mouse tumors that Par-4 knockdown does not affect the growth or survival of untreated primary tumor cells (6). We next treated control or Par-4 knockout cells with drugs targeting the Her2–PI3K–Akt pathway and measured viability after three days. Lapatinib, MK-2206, BKM120, BEZ235, and Torin1 all produced dose-dependent decreases in viability in BT-474 cells (Supplementary materials).
Fig. S3A–S3E). However, control and Par-4 knockout cells responded to all drugs similarly, and the IC50 of these drugs was identical in control and Par-4 knockout cells (Supplementary Fig. S3A–S3E). Similar results were obtained in PIK3CA-mutant MCF-7 cells (data not shown). Consistent with this, Par-4 knockout did not affect the extent of apoptosis induced by lapatinib treatment for three days (Supplementary Fig. S3F). These results indicate that Par-4 knockout has no effect on cell viability in response to PI3K–Akt–mTOR pathway inhibition at early timepoints.

Par-4 regulates the long-term survival of residual cells following Her2–PI3K–Akt pathway inhibition

To determine the effect of Par-4 knockout on the long-term survival of BT-474 cells after PI3K-Akt pathway inhibition, we performed a cellular competition experiment. Control cells were
labeled with H2B-mStrawberry, and Par-4 knockout cells were labeled with H2B-eGFP. Cells were mixed in a 1:1 ratio, and the ratio of GFP+ to mStrawberry+ cells was measured at various timepoints. In the absence of drug treatment, the proportion of control and Par-4 knockout cells remained constant (Fig. 5C and D), again indicating that Par-4 knockout has no effect on tumor cell survival in the absence of drug treatment. In contrast, long-term treatment with lapatinib or MK-2206 led to a dramatic selection for Par-4 knockout cells; strikingly, the residual cells that survived after 31 days of drug treatment were predominantly GFP+ Par-4 knockout cells (Fig. 5C and E). To test whether these residual cells were viable and competent to reinitiate proliferation in the absence of drug, we removed the drugs and allowed residual cells to grow out. Two weeks after drug removal, residual cells had resumed proliferation, and the vast majority of cells in the cultures were GFP+ Par-4 knockout cells (Fig. 5C and E). Taken together, these results show that Par-4 expression prevents the long-term survival of residual cells following Her2 or Akt inhibition.

Discussion

We have elucidated the mechanism of Par-4 regulation in response to therapies targeting the Her2-PI3K-Akt pathway and the functional significance of Par-4 in regulating the long-term survival of residual cells in breast cancer (Fig. 5F). We found that Par-4 is upregulated in response to inhibition of PI3K, Akt, and mTOR, but not the Ras-MAPK pathway. We identified Foxo proteins as the transcription factors that mediate these effects. Foxo3a directly binds to the Par-4 promoter following Akt inhibition and activates Par-4 transcription, and expression of a constitutively active form of Foxo3a lacking the Akt phosphorylation sites is sufficient to induce Par-4 expression. Interestingly, we also found that mTOR inhibition alone is sufficient to induce Par-4 upregulation. While the mechanism by which mTOR inhibition induces Par-4 upregulation remains to be elucidated, we found that mTOR inhibition leads to an increase in Foxo3a levels. This is consistent with previous findings suggesting that mTORC1 inhibition leads to increased expression and nuclear accumulation of Foxo3a (24), and suggests that Foxo3a could mediate Par-4 upregulation in response to drugs targeting the mTOR pathway, as well. It is important to note that while our functional experiments focused on Foxo3a, the Foxo family members Foxo1 and Foxo4 are also activated following Akt inhibition, and are likely to serve redundant functions in Par-4 upregulation. Taken together, our results show that Par-4 upregulation is a common response to treatment with drugs targeting the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway.

Par-4 upregulation in response to PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway inhibition was observed in both Her2-amplified breast cancers and breast cancers with activating PI3K mutations, which together constitute nearly half of breast cancers. Drugs targeting Her2, including the small-molecule lapatinib and the mAbs trastuzumab and pertuzumab, are mainstays in the clinical management of Her2-positive breast cancer, and drugs targeting PI3K are in clinical development for PIK3CA-mutant cancers. Thus, Par-4 upregulation in response to drugs targeting Her2 and PI3K is relevant in a significant fraction of breast cancer patients.

Several Foxo3a target genes have been implicated in the response and resistance of tumor cells to targeted therapies. The cell-cycle inhibitor p27 and the proapoptotic proteins Bim and Puma are direct targets of Foxo3a that are upregulated following oncogene inhibition (26, 28–30). In an elegant study, Bean and colleagues dissected the contribution of Bim and Puma upregulation to cell death and tumor regression following Her2 inhibition in breast cancer. They found that both proteins are required for maximal apoptosis at early timepoints, between one and five days, following Her2 inhibition (26). Furthermore, knockout of each protein impaired tumor regression measured two days following Her2 downregulation in vivo (26). These results establish Puma and Bim as critical mediators of the acute apoptotic response at short timepoints following Her2 inhibition.

The results described here represent a significant advance by identifying Foxo-driven Par-4 expression as a critical pathway regulating the long-term survival of cells following targeted therapies. In contrast to Puma and Bim, Par-4 knockout does not affect the survival of cells at short timepoints following inhibition of the Her2-PI3K-Akt pathway. This is consistent with the notion that acute cell death following inhibition of these pathways is mediated through the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway that is regulated by Bcl-2 family members. Bim and Puma both directly bind to and inhibit antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family members, tilting the balance of mitochondrial apoptotic proteins to favor apoptosis (31, 32). In contrast, Par-4 does not directly interact with Bcl-2 family members, can induce apoptosis even in the presence of high expression of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL, and is not thought to directly influence the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway (33, 34). Consistent with this, we found that Par-4 knockout has no effect on caspase-3/7 activity measured three days following lapatinib treatment (Supplementary Fig. S3F).

In contrast, cells lacking Par-4 exhibited a profound competitive advantage at longer timepoints following Her2 downregulation, and at one month posttreatment Par-4 knockout cells predominate in these cultures. This is consistent with our previous findings in mice, in which Par-4 knockdown improves the survival of residual tumor cells that persist one month following Her2 downregulation. Importantly, these Par-4 knockout cells have improved survival even though Puma expression in intact, suggesting that expression of Par-4, and not Puma, is a key determinant of cell death at late timepoints. These results suggest the intriguing possibility that the pathways regulating the acute versus long-term survival of cells following targeted therapy may be distinct, with Puma and Bim regulating cell death at early timepoints and Par-4 regulating cell death at later times. This represents to our knowledge the first evidence that the long-term survival of residual cells may be controlled by distinct pathways. It is possible that targeting pathways required for the long-term survival of cells may be effective in eliminating residual tumor cells and preventing recurrence.

Consistent with the notion that Par-4 upregulation limits the survival and recurrence of residual cells, Par-4 is silenced in recurrent tumors arising in three independent conditional GEM models and downregulated in residual cancers following NAC in breast cancer patients (6). This suggests that primary tumor cells in which Par-4 is silenced are able to circumvent Foxo3a-dependent Par-4 upregulation and thereby preferentially survive targeted therapy. By elucidating the mechanism of Par-4 silencing, it may be possible to reverse this silencing to prevent residual cell survival and recurrence. There may also be other mechanisms by which tumor cells are able to overcome Foxo3a-driven Par-4 expression. Understanding in more detail how these tumor suppressors act together to limit the long-term survival of residual cells may offer additional opportunities for therapeutic intervention. Finally, a
number of approaches are being developed to enforce Par-4 expression (35) and these may be effective in eliminating residual cancer cells.
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