S-Nitrosylation of EGFR and Src Activates an Oncogenic Signaling Network in Human Basal-Like Breast Cancer
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Abstract

Increased inducible nitric oxide synthase (NOS2) expression in breast tumors is associated with decreased survival of estrogen receptor negative (ER−) breast cancer patients. We recently communicated the preliminary observation that nitric oxide (NO) signaling results in epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine phosphorylation. To further define the role of NO in the pathogenesis of ER− breast cancer, we examined the mechanism of NO-induced EGFR activation in human ER− breast cancer. NO was found to activate EGFR and Src by a mechanism that includes S-nitrosylation. NO, at physiologically relevant concentrations, induced an EGFR/Src-mediated activation of oncogenic signal transduction pathways (including c-Myc, Akt, and β-catenin) and the loss of PP2A tumor suppressor activity. In addition, NO signaling increased cellular EMT, expression and activity of COX-2, and chemoresistance to adriamycin and paclitaxel. When connected into a network, these concerted events link NO to the development of a stem cell–like phenotype, resulting in the upregulation of CD44 and STAT3 phosphorylation. Our observations are also consistent with the finding that NOS2 is associated with a basal-like transcription pattern in human breast tumors. These results indicate that the inhibition of NOS2 activity or NO signaling networks may have beneficial effects in treating basal-like breast cancer patients.
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Introduction

Breast tumors exhibit distinct molecular and therapeutic differences and the subtypes of breast cancer are defined by their unique gene expression profiles (1). The basal-like subtype is a particularly aggressive form of breast cancer that is associated with poor patient survival, increased rates of recurrence, and more prevalently affects premenopausal and African-American women (1–5). Furthermore, basal-like tumors do not respond to conventional therapies and commonly present with the triple-negative phenotype (negative expression of estrogen receptor-α, progesterone receptor, and Her2/neu) (2, 6). Basal-like breast tumors are characterized by high expression of cytokeratin 5/6, but also epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; ref. 7), which contributes to the aggressive nature of basal-like tumors (8). Another common feature of the basal-like phenotype is activated β-catenin signaling as nuclear β-catenin is associated with the expression of basal-like markers and decreased patient survival (9, 10). β-Catenin signaling is critical for cellular epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), an essential process for tumor invasion and metastasis (11, 12), which is commonly observed in the basal-like subtype (3). In addition, the basal-like disease is associated with CD44+/CD24− cells, indicative of a breast cancer stem cell population (13). Thus, enhanced EGFR and β-catenin signaling, increased EMT and cancer stem cell populations, and resistance to therapies are hallmarks of basal-like breast cancer and likely contribute to its aggressiveness. However, the factors that promote and maintain a basal-like phenotype in breast cancer etiology are poorly understood.

Inducible nitric oxide synthase (NOS2) is a proinflammatory enzyme, which is expressed in many types of human cancers (e.g., colon, glioma, and melanoma; refs. 14–16). We recently reported that high NOS2 expression is a candidate predictor of poor survival in estrogen receptor negative (ER−) breast cancer patients and also among those patients who present with a basal-like disease (17). High tumor NOS2 expression is associated with a basal-like transcription pattern, EGFR phosphorylation, and increased CD44 expression (17). Furthermore, NO signaling increases ER− cell migration (17). These observations suggest that NOS2/NO signaling is involved in basal-like breast cancer development and disease aggressiveness.
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Because (i) high NOS2 expression is associated with a basal-like phenotype and poor disease outcome and (ii) high NOS2 expression significantly correlates with high EGFR tyrosine 1173 phosphorylation in human breast tumors (17), we examined the effects of NO signaling on EGFR activation and whether additional pathways may intersect with NO-induced EGFR signaling to promote a basal-like/stem cell–like phenotype in breast cancer. Here, we report that NO activates EGFR and Src kinase activity via S-nitrosyl (SNO) posttranslational modification in breast cancer cells. NO-induced EGFR and Src activation subsequently activated Akt, c-Myc, STAT3, and β-catenin pathways. These effects of NO were sensitive to either gefitinib, a clinically available EGFR inhibitor, or PP2, an inhibitor of both Src and EGFR kinases, as well as chemical inhibitors of S-nitrosylation. We also observed that nitrosative levels of NO signaling result in EMT and increased chemoresistance. We conclude that the NO/EGFR signaling axis described here promotes an aggressive cancer phenotype with basal-like/stem cell–like characteristics and that NOS2 inhibition may have clinically beneficial effects.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Human breast adenocarcinoma cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 and murine RAW 264.7 macrophages were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The murine basal carcinoma M6 cell line was provided by Dr Jeffrey Green (NCI). All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Invitrogen) containing 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologics) and 100 IU penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen). Cells were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ and passaged 2 to 3 times per week. Cell lines were authenticated by ATCC after the biotin-switch assay is carried out. Protein S-nitrosothiol detection was done by immunoprecipitation of target proteins Jaffrey and colleagues (22). Individual protein available protein SNO detection kit (Cayman Chemical).

Macrophage coculture

RAW264.7 cells were serum-starved overnight before stimulation with IFN-γ/LPS for 6 hours. Cells were collected on ice by gently lifting the cells off of the plate. Cells were then pelleted in serum-free RPMI supplemented with either 5 mmol/L AG or 1 mmol/L l-arginine. Cells were counted and seeded into plates previously seeded with 50,000 MDA-MB-468 cells and the cocultures were then incubated for 24 hours. Cells were then rinsed with cold PBS and the MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated by trypsinization and resuspension in RPMI + 10% FBS. Cells were then pelleted by centrifugation and lysed as described for Western blotting. TCF-luciferase coculture experiments used MDA-MB-468 cells and the MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated by trypsinization and the MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated by trypsinization and the MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated by trypsinization and the MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated by trypsinization and the MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated by trypsinization and the MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated by trypsinization and the MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated by trypsinization.

Nitrate and nitrite analysis

Cell culture media was analyzed for total nitrate and nitrite (NO₃⁻) concentrations by using a modified Griess assay as previously described (47). Briefly, conditioned media were added to acidified N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine dihydrochloride, sulfanilamide and vanadium (III) chloride solutions, and incubated at 37°C for 30 to 45 minutes. Product formation was measured at 540 nm and concentrations were determined from a sodium nitrite standard curve. Values shown are means from at least 3 independent experiments ± SD.

Steady-state NO analysis

DETANO stock solutions were diluted into DMEM and incubated at 37°C under humidified air supplemented with 5% CO₂. Aliquots of media were removed at indicated times using a gas-tight syringe and NO concentrations were measured using a Seivers NO chemiluminescence analyzer as previously described (47). Concentrations were determined from a standard curve of serial dilutions of a saturated NO solution. Values shown are means of 3 independent measurements ± SD.

Detection of nitrosation

Nitrosative capacity of DETANO was measured by the 2,3-diaminonaphthalene (DAN) assay as previously described (19). Briefly, DETANO was diluted into DMEM containing DAN and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. DAN-triazole product formation was measured by fluorescence spectroscopy and values shown are mean relative fluorescent units (RFU) from 3 independent reactions.

Protein S-nitrosothiol detection

Cells were exposed to DETANO for 24 hours and harvested under low-light conditions using a commercially available protein–SNO detection kit (Cayman Chemical). This kit uses the biotin-switch approach as described by Jaffrey and colleagues (22). Individual protein–SNO detection was done by immunoprecipitation of target proteins after the biotin-switch assay is carried out. Protein–SNO is compared with total protein content as determined by comassie-stained gels.

Statistical analyses

Data analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism software (La Jolla). Statistical significance from control samples was calculated for c-Myc activity, PP2A activity, cellular proliferation, PGE₂ formation, and select densitometric analyses by 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s posttest. The degree of significance was determined by P<0.05 or P<0.01 as mentioned in the figure legends. DAN nitrosation data were analyzed by linear regression calculations. Linear correlation was calculated to be r² = 0.991 (±95% CI). Drug resistance data were fit to a sigmoidal dose–response curve and IC₅₀ concentrations were calculated. Additional Materials and Methods are located in Supplementary Data.

Results

NOS2 and nitric oxide signaling activate EGFR

Recently we reported that high NOS2 expression correlates with EGFR Tyr1173 phosphorylation in human breast...
tumors, indicative of kinase activation by NO (17). Therefore, we examined the effect of NOS2 activity on EGFR activation in MDA-MB-468 human ER− breast cancer cell lines. Because human breast cancer cell lines, like most other human cell lines, do not constitutively express this enzyme in culture despite overexpression in human breast tumors, we employed a murine macrophage coculture strategy (18). NOS2 expression was absent in serum-starved RAW 264.7 cells but was induced upon IFN-γ (IFN-γ) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation before coculture (Fig. 1A). To examine the effects of NOS2 activity on EGFR activation, IFN-γ/LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 murine macrophages were cocultured with MDA-MB-468 cells in increasing ratios. In addition to NO, activated macrophages secrete various cytokines and prostaglandins; therefore, the role of macrophage-expressed NOS2 was also examined using the NOS2-selective inhibitor, aminoguanidine (AG). After exposure to macrophages, MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated and EGFR Tyr 1173 phosphorylation was examined (Fig. 1B). The effect of active macrophages was eliminated in the presence of AG (Fig. 1B), indicating that a product of NOS2 catalysis was responsible for EGFR activation. In addition to NO, activated macrophages secrete various cytokines and prostaglandins; therefore, the role of macrophage-expressed NOS2 was also examined using the NOS2-selective inhibitor, aminoguanidine (AG). After exposure to macrophages, MDA-MB-468 cells were isolated and EGFR Tyr 1173 phosphorylation was examined by Western blot. EGFR phosphorylation was markedly increased in cells cultured at a 1:4 and 1:8 ratio, similar to monocultured, EGFR-stimulated MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 1B). The effect of activated macrophages was eliminated in the presence of AG (Fig. 1B), indicating that a product of NOS2 catalysis was responsible for EGFR activation.

In addition, EGFR phosphorylation was not observed in similar experiments using unstimulated macrophages (data not shown). Nitrate and nitrite (NO−) accumulation in the culture media was measured as an aggregate indicator of total NOS2 activity. Consistent with EGFR activation, NO− concentrations in media from unstimulated and AG-treated RAW cells were near control levels indicating that NOS2 activity was negligible under these conditions (Fig. 1C). IFN-γ/LPS-stimulated macrophages produced NO− in a nearly linear manner with respect to macrophage number, consistent with our previous findings (19).

MDA-MB-468 cells treated with 0.5 mmol/L of the chemical NO-donor, (Z)-1-[N-(2-aminoethyl)-N-(2-ammonioethyl)amino]diazen-1-ium-1,2-diolate (DETANO, alternatively named NOC-18), also results in EGFR Tyr 1173 and Tyr 1045 phosphorylation (17). Here we examined EGFR Tyr1045 phosphorylation in MDA-MB-468 cells exposed to a physiologically relevant range of DETANO concentrations by Western blot (Fig. 1C). DETANO resulted in EGFR Tyr1045 phosphorylation in a concentration-threshold manner as 0.1 mmol/L DETANO resulted in similar phosphorylation levels as serum-starved, untreated control cells, although EGFR phosphorylation markedly increased with 0.3 and 0.5 mmol/L donor as determined by densitometric analyses (Fig. 1D). Similar results were observed in the HER2+/ER− SKBR3 cell line (data not shown).

**NO activates EGFR via S-nitrosylation**

The NO concentration threshold effect on EGFR activation is indicative of an indirect NO mechanism, as the rate of NO autoxidation is proportional to the square of NO concentration. Because the biochemistry of NO is largely determined by its concentration (20, 21), the threshold concentration range for NO to activate EGFR was examined. Because 0.3 mmol/L but not 0.1 mmol/L DETANO was able to activate EGFR, we assumed that a threshold NO concentration exists between the 2 donor concentrations. To establish this threshold NO concentration, DETANO was decomposed in media and steady-state NO concentration was measured over time by chemiluminescence. DETANO at 0.1 mmol/L produced a maximum NO concentration of approximately 200 nmol/L with a steady-state NO concentration between 100 and 150 nmol/L over most of the incubation time (Fig. 2A). DETANO at 0.3 mmol/L produced a maximum of 400 nmol/L with a steady-state NO concentration around 300 nmol/L. This places the threshold NO concentration to be between 200 and 300 nmol/L and indicates that the autoxidation of NO may be responsible for the activation of EGFR. NO autoxidation produces nitrosating species such as N2O3. To measure the ability of DETANO to form nitrosating species, the NO donor was decomposed in the presence of 2,3-DAN (19). DETANO decomposition resulted in DAN nitrosation in a linear concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 2B). Because DETANO activation of EGFR is correlated to nitrosative capacity, we examined the effect of protein SNO posttranslational modification in response to DETANO concentration. MDA-MB-231 cells were exposed to DETANO and total protein–SNO formation was determined by the biotin-switch assay (22). Consistent with DAN nitrosation and EGFR activation, only modest protein–SNO occurred with 0.1 mmol/L DETANO, however robust protein–SNO was detected in cells exposed to 0.3 and 0.5 mmol/L DETANO (Fig. 2C). Therefore, the threshold levels of NO required to activate EGFR is consistent with protein–SNO formation.

To examine if EGFR is S-nitrosylated, EGFR was immunoprecipitated from cells treated with DETANO and SNO modification was measured using the biotin-switch assay. EGFR–SNO was detected at 0.3 and 0.5 mmol/L, but not at 0.1 mmol/L DETANO (Fig 2D). To further address the nitrosative mechanism of EGFR activation, cells were exposed to 0.5 mmol/L DETANO alone or in the presence of chemical inhibitors of nitrosation, glutathione (GSH), sodium azide (N3−), and sodium thiocyanate (SCN−). Similarly, cells were exposed to 0.5 mmol/L DETANO in the presence of the thiol-blocking agent iodoacetacetate (IAA) to examine the role of protein cysteine residues in this mechanism. Both chemical inhibitors of nitrosation (GSH, N3−, and SCN−) and the thiol-blocking agent (IAA) eliminated the ability of 0.5 mmol/L DETANO to result in EGFR Tyr1173 phosphorylation and EGFR–SNO modification (Fig. 2E).

**NO activates EGFR and Src kinase signaling**

Because NOS2 and NO signaling activated EGFR, we examined the effect of NO signaling on other receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) using a spot-ELISA technique. Serum-starved MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with EGF or DETANO for 24 hours and whole cell lysates were analyzed using an RTK Pathscan kit. EGFR was the only...
RTK examined that responded to NO signaling, suggesting that NO functionally replaces EGF as a growth factor (data not shown). In addition, the spot-ELISA experiments confirm that NO increases EGFR tyrosine phosphorylation compared with control; however, NO seems to be less efficacious than EGF, similar to the results shown...
in Fig. 1. In addition to RTK activation, the spot-ELISA experiments show that NO signaling increases Src and Akt kinase phosphorylation (Fig. 3A).

Src kinase is activated upon S-nitrosyl formation (23). We show here that DETANO results in Src-SNO at 0.3 and 0.5 mmol/L, but not at 0.1 mmol/L, consistent with EGFR–SNO formation (Fig. 3B). Similarly, Src Tyr419 phosphorylation is observed by Western blot analysis at the same DETANO concentrations that form Src–SNO (Fig. 3C). In addition to Src activation, DETANO also increased Akt Ser473 and STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation with the same concentration-threshold, suggesting that nitrosative NO signaling is responsible for the observed effects. Furthermore NO-mediated Akt, Src, and STAT3 phosphorylation and CD44 expression was reduced in the presence of inhibitors of nitrosation (GSH, N3, and SCN) and the thiol-blocking agent iodoacetic acid (IAA), consistent with a nitrosative mechanism (Fig. 3D).

NO signaling (0.5 mmol/L DETANO) stabilizes c-Myc protein and increases c-Myc nuclear activity (17). Here we show the concentration effect of DETANO on c-Myc protein stabilization. DETANO resulted in a concentration-threshold effect on c-Myc stability as 0.3 and 05 mmol/L DETANO strongly stabilized c-Myc relative to actin controls (Fig. 3E). c-Myc activation is downstream from EGFR and Src signaling; thus, we examined c-Myc nuclear activation in response to DETANO and EGFR/Src inhibition. MDA-MB-468 cells were exposed to 0.5 mmol/L DETANO and/or PP2 (100 nmol/L) for 24 hours and nuclear extracts were analyzed by an ELISA-based assay. DETANO significantly increased c-Myc-DNA binding compared with control, although PP2 alone reduced c-Myc activation (Fig. 3F). The combination of DETANO and PP2 resulted in serum-starved control levels of c-Myc activation, indicating that EGFR and/or Src activity is required for DETANO to increase c-Myc signaling (Fig. 3F).

EGFR and STAT3 activation and CD44 overexpression are hallmarks of the basal-like phenotype (13, 24) and are characteristic of a breast cancer stem cell–like phenotype (25, 26). To examine the effect of NO signaling on EGFR and STAT3 phosphorylation and CD44 expression in a non-basal-like (HER2+/) breast cancer cell line, serum-starved SKBR3 cells were treated with DETANO or EGF for 24 hours. DETANO caused a concentration-threshold increase in both EGFR Tyr1173 and STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation, similar to EGF controls (Fig. 3G).
DETANO also resulted in increased CD44 expression, similar to EGF controls (Fig. 3G). These data suggest that nitrosative NO signaling increases the basal-like and stem cell–like phenotypes.

β-Catenin contributes to the expression of basal-like signature genes by NOS2

NOS2 expression is a candidate predictor of poor outcome in both ER– breast cancer patients and those with basal-like breast cancer (17), NOS2 expression was also associated with a distinct set of 44 genes overexpressed in human tumors, many of which are established basal-like signature genes (17). Because β-catenin activation is commonly observed in basal-like tumors (9, 10), we examined the relationship between NOS2 expression and β-catenin activation by analyzing the promoter region of all 44 genes overexpressed in high NOS2 tumors for Lef consensus sequences. Thirty-four of the 44 genes (77%) where found to have Lef binding.
sites and 15 of these candidate β-catenin-regulated genes are basal-like signature genes (Supplementary Fig. S1). Thus, the majority of basal-like genes upregulated in high NOS2 tumors seem to be regulated by β-catenin (15/21; 71%), which suggests that β-catenin may be a major contributor to the NOS2-induced basal-like signature gene. These observations led us to examine NOS2 and NO signaling effects on β-catenin transcriptional activity in basal-like breast cancer cells.

**NOS2/NO activate β-catenin signaling**

To examine the effect of NOS2 activity on breast cancer cell β-catenin signaling, we employed the murine macrophage coculture experimental design with MDA-MB-468 cells transiently transfected with a TCF-luciferase plasmid. Unstimulated RAW 264.7 cells did not activate β-catenin, as measured by luciferase activity, at all MDA-MB-468 macrophage ratios, similar to untreated monocultured negative control cells (Fig. 4A). However, IFN-γ/LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells expressing NOS2 increased breast cancer cell luciferase activity in a biphasic manner. At a 1:1 ratio there was no increase in luciferase activity compared with control. However, at 1:4 ratio there was very high luciferase activity that was comparable to LiCl-treated positive control cells. The luciferase activity dropped at a 1:8 ratio to approximately half of the 1:4 effect, but still remained markedly higher than the negative control cells. The effect of IFN-γ/LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages on β-catenin activity was abolished in the presence of the NOS2 inhibitor aminoguanidine (AG), indicating that NO or another NOS2 product is responsible for activating β-catenin.

To examine the effect of NO on β-catenin activation, basal-like breast cancer cells were exposed to DETANO. Human breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468) and a murine basal mammary cell line (M6) were transiently transfected with a β-catenin/TCF luciferase reporter construct as described earlier. As a positive control, cells were treated with LiCl to measure the extent of β-catenin activation. β-Catenin activation by LiCl was strongly induced in both MDA-MB-468 and M6 cell lines but was only modestly activated in MDA-MB-231 cells compared with untreated controls (Fig. 4B). DETANO exposure resulted in a biphasic response in the human cell lines as 0.1 mmol/L donor did not induce β-catenin activity compared with untreated controls. However, at 0.3 mmol/L DETANO, β-catenin was activated close to LiCl controls. At a higher 0.5 mmol/L DETANO concentration, β-catenin activity was reduced in both human cell lines. In contrast, DETANO induced β-catenin pathway activation in a concentration-dependent manner in the M6 cell line, which did not follow the biphasic NO effect of the other cell lines.

To verify the nuclear accumulation of β-catenin in response to NO, serum-starved MDA-MB-231 cells were exposed to either LiCl or DETANO for 24 hours. Immunofluorescent micrographs of cellular β-catenin localization relative to nuclei are shown in Fig. 4C. LiCl and 0.3 mmol/L DETANO cause marked nuclear accumulation of β-catenin that is absent in untreated and 0.1 mmol/L DETANO-treated cells. This is further confirmed in Western blot analysis of nuclear fractions of DETANO-treated MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 4D). Consistent with the luciferase assay results, untreated, and 0.1 mmol/L DETANO-treated cells had basal amounts of β-catenin in the nuclei compared with TATA-binding protein (TBP) expression, however 0.3 mmol/L and to a lesser extent 0.5 mmol/L DETANO caused increased nuclear β-catenin protein content.

** Protein–SNO is required for NO to activate β-catenin**

The activation of β-catenin by NO was examined in the presence of chemical inhibitors of nitrosation. Using the luciferase assay described earlier, MDA-MB-468 cells were incubated with 0.3 mmol/L DETANO alone or with azide, thiocyanate, glutathione, or urate. Similar to above, 0.3 mmol/L donor activated β-catenin, but this effect was abolished by inhibitors of nitrosation (Fig. 5A). Pretreating the cells with NEM before DETANO blocked the ability of NO to activate β-catenin signaling (Fig. 5B). These data indicate that β-catenin activation requires a nitrosative species formed from NO autoxidation and that this species reacts with target protein thiols to form a S-nitrosothiol posttranslational modification.

To examine the biochemical mechanism of NO activation of β-catenin, cells were exposed to 0.3 mmol/L DETANO alone or with inhibitors of EGFR (Gefitinib), EGFR/Src (PP2), PI3K (wortmannin), Akt (triciribine), and sGC (ODQ). Gefitinib, PP2, wortmannin, and triciribine inhibited the ability of NO to activate β-catenin; however, ODQ had no effect (Fig. 5C). Immunofluorescent photographs show β-catenin localization in MDA-MB-231 cells exposed to DETANO alone and with 100 mmol/L PP2. DETANO caused β-catenin to translocate to the nuclei, however this effect is diminished in the presence of PP2 (Fig. 5D). These data indicate that NO exerts its effects on β-catenin via EGFR and/or Src kinase activation.

**NO inhibits PP2A-c tumor suppressor activity**

Akt, c-Myc, and β-catenin signaling pathways share a common endogenous negative regulator, protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A; ref. 27). PP2A is a highly regulated family of proteins with tumor suppressor functions and cancer cells must limit PP2A activity to allow for dysregulated signal transduction and cellular proliferation (28, 29). The catalytic subunit of PP2A (PP2A-c) Tyr307 is phosphorylated by multiple kinases including EGFR and Src, which causes marked reduction in phosphatase activity (30). Because NO signaling activates both EGFR and Src kinases and activates Akt, c-Myc, and β-catenin signaling, we examined the effects of NO signaling on PP2A-c Tyr307 phosphorylation and phosphatase activity. NO signaling increased PP2A-c Tyr307 phosphorylation in a concentration-threshold manner in MDA-MB-468 cells (Supplementary Fig. S2). At 0.1 mmol/L DETANO, PP2A-c phosphorylation was similar to serum-starved controls, whereas PP2A-c...
phosphorylation was similar to EGF controls at 0.5 mmol/L DETANO. Consistent with phosphorylation, PP2A-c phosphatase activity was significantly decreased in cells treated with 0.3 and 0.5 mmol/L DETANO, similar to EGF treatment (Supplementary Fig. S2). PP2A-c Tyr307 phosphorylation was inhibited in the presence of PP2, indicating that EGFR and/or Src kinases are required for PP2A-c phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. S2). Furthermore, in the presence of PP2, PP2A-c activity was not significantly altered from control cells (Supplementary Fig. S2). Similar results (PP2A-c phosphorylation and activity) were observed in SKBR3 cells. These results indicate that NO signaling via EGFR and/or Src decrease PP2A tumor suppressor function to allow NO-mediated Akt, c-Myc, and β-catenin signaling.

**NO induces epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition**

Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is associated with the basal-like phenotype and tumor metastasis (3, 31, 32). Because NO results in oncogenic pathway activation and increased cellular migration, we examined the effect of NO on EMT in MDA-MB-468 cells. Serum-starved cells were treated with or without 0.5 mmol/L DETANO for 24 hours and cellular morphology was examined. Bright field microphotographs show that untreated cells retain cell-to-cell adhesion, whereas DETANO treatment reduced adhesion (Fig. 6A). DETANO treatment...
also resulted in decreased expression of E-cadherin and increased expression of vimentin relative to control and this effect was eliminated in the presence of GSH, N3/C0, or PP2 (Fig. 6B). DETANO also resulted in significantly increased proliferation in both MDA-MB-468 and SKBR3 cell lines as compared with serum-starved controls (Fig. 6C). These results indicate that NO signaling results in ER- breast cancer cell EMT.

EMT is associated with overexpression of the proinflammatory enzyme cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in many tumor types (33–35). Furthermore, the basal-like disease is associated with COX-2 overexpression (4). To examine the effects of NO signaling on COX-2 expression, MDA-MB-468 cells were treated with DETANO. NO signaling induced COX-2 overexpression in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 6D) and DETANO-treated cells produced significantly more PGE2 compared with control (Fig. 6E), indicating that NO signaling results in a proinflammatory condition associated with cancer cell EMT.

NO increases cellular chemoresistance

Patients with triple-negative or basal-like breast cancer respond better to anthracycline-/taxane-based chemotherapy (36); however, overall prognosis remains poor for patients with basal-like tumors. Basal-like tumors have increased expression of P-glycoprotein, a protein related to multidrug resistance, compared with non-basal-like tumors (37). To examine the effect of NO on ER– breast cancer cell chemoresistance, serum-starved MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with DETANO or EGF for 24 hours and P-glycoprotein expression was measured by Western blot. DETANO resulted in a concentration-dependent increase in P-glycoprotein expression (Fig. 7A) and densitometric analyses show that 0.5 mmol/L DETANO significantly increased protein expression compared with both serum-starved and EGF controls (Fig. 7B). The effect of adriamycin and paclitaxel resistance was measured by in DETANO-pre-treated MDA-MB-231 cells by BrDU incorporation. Cells were treated with or without 0.5 mmol/L DETANO for 24 hours, rinsed with serum-free media, and exposed to drug for 18 hours in the presence of BrDU. Cells pretreated with DETANO were less sensitive to both adriamycin and paclitaxel (Fig. 7C). The IC50 of adriamycin increased from 3.7 nmol/L in control cells to 240 nmol/L in DETANO pretreated cells representing a 65-fold increase in sensitivity. The IC50 of paclitaxel increased from 0.31 nmol/L in control cells to 2.5 nmol/L in DETANO pretreated cells representing an 8-fold increase in sensitivity. These results indicate that this oncogenic level of NO signaling (200–500 nmol/L) contributes to cellular chemoresistance.

Discussion

The strong correlation between NOS2 expression and ER– breast cancer patient survival is a compelling observation that suggests NO signaling is a major promoter of aggressive breast cancer. In this report, we made the novel observation that NO activates an oncogenic signaling network in human breast cancer cells involving EGFR and Src activation as the initiating events. Our results provide a
previously unrecognized chemical and biochemical mechanism in support of the clinically significant observation that tumor NOS2 expression is associated with the basal-like phenotype in breast cancer patients and predicts poor patient survival (17). NO signaling activated EGFR and Src kinases via an SNO-modification resulted in characteristic signal transduction pathways and phenotypes associated with basal-like breast cancer. The activation of EGFR and Src resulted in oncogenic c-Myc, Akt, STAT3, and β-catenin signaling, although inhibiting the tumor suppressor PP2A, showing an important role of NO in simultaneously activating oncogenes and limiting tumor suppressors. As previously shown, the level of NO required to activate EGFR and Src are the same NO levels that promote an aggressive cellular phenotype (17). Our mechanistic and cell culture–based results are consistent with our previously reported patient data and suggest that NOS2 inhibition may be a novel strategy to treating basal-like breast cancer patients.

NO has been shown to possess both pro- and antitumor effects. This dichotomy of NO signaling is resolved by the effects of different NO concentrations and their specific cellular signaling mechanisms. Levels of NO that lead to nitrosative stress and apoptosis are observed at NO concentrations >1 μmol/L, although physiological regulation of the cardiovascular system of NO is observed <50 nmol/L (27, 38). The effects observed here correlate to NO concentrations between 200 and 500 nmol/L and represent a guanylate cyclase–independent signaling mechanism. Since, NO and reactive nitrogen species concentrate in the lipid bilayer (39,
membrane-associated proteins such as EGFR and Src are ideal targets of NO-mediated modification. NO activation of EGFR seems to be via a protein–SNO mechanism. Protein–SNO modification has been proposed to have biochemical signaling effects (41, 42); here we provide evidence of protein–SNO promoting an oncogenic signaling network and the basal-like breast cancer phenotype. The stability and structural effects of protein–SNO formation are expected to be context dependent (43, 44). However, the effect of SNO formation has been described for Src kinase, as Rahman and colleagues show that nitrosation of cysteine 498 results in increased kinase activity (23). EGFR is predicted to have SNO sites at the ligand interaction site and is thought to limit EGFR activity (43) and other reports suggest that EGFR–SNO results in kinase inhibition (45). In contrast, we reported that DETANO increases EGFR tyrosine phosphorylation consistent with activation (17) and show here that EGFR–SNO formation parallels tyrosine phosphorylation and downstream EGFR signaling.

NO activation of EGFR and Src resulted in increased β-catenin transcriptional activity. Recent patient data indicate that 2 molecules associated with cancer progression, NOS2, and β-catenin are both associated with the basal-like phenotype and are individually strong predictors of outcome for ER breast cancer including the basal-like subtype (9, 17). β-Catenin signaling is a feature of basal-like tumors and nuclear β-catenin localization is predictive of poor survival (9, 10). The results presented here indicate that 2 prognostic markers of basal-like breast cancer, NOS2, and β-catenin are mechanistically linked in the progression of basal-like breast cancer, as we show that NOS2 activity leads to β-catenin signaling and the promotion of the basal-like phenotype.

Clinically relevant phenotypes of basal-like breast cancer include EMT, cancer stem-cell markers, and drug resistance. The data shown here indicate that NO signaling recapitulate these clinical observations in ER− cell lines. The basal-like subtype is enriched for the CD44+/CD24− cancer stem cell population (13), which require STAT3 signaling for proliferation (26). Here we show that NO signaling via S-nitrosylation results in CD44 expression and STAT3 phosphorylation. EMT is related to the basal-like phenotype (3, 4) and here we show that NO signaling results in EMT. Basal-like patients respond to preoperative taxane and Adriamycin chemotherapies (36, 46). The NO-induced increase in P-glycoprotein expression and increased resistance to both paclitaxel and Adriamycin indicate that NOS2/NO signaling may have a clinically relevant role in patient outcome. These observations suggest that “nitrosative signaling” from NOS2 promote an aggressive phenotype that is clinically challenging.

In conclusion, NOS2 activity and NO signaling, via SNO modification, activate EGFR and Src signaling to induce a basal-like breast cancer phenotype in human cell lines by activating oncogenic signaling pathways, inhibiting PP2A tumor suppressor activity, inducing COX-2 expression and activity, inducing EMT and increasing cellular chemoresistance. The reaction of NO with EGFR and Src form a nexus
for driving multiple molecular pathways that promote basal-like breast cancer phenotypes. Because NOS2 expression is highly associated with poor patient survival and physiologically relevant NO concentrations result in protumor signaling profiles, NOS2 inhibition may be a novel strategy in treating basal-like/triple-negative breast cancer.
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