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Abstract

Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) is a key transcription factor in the hypoxic response pathway. We recently identified a novel interaction between HIF-1α and the mammalian septin family member, septin 9 protein, isoform 1 (SEPT9_i1), a protein product of septin 9 transcript variant 1 (SEPT9_v1). Septins are a highly conserved family of GTP-binding cytoskeletal proteins that are implicated in multiple cellular functions, including oncogenesis. SEPT9_i1 binds and stabilizes HIF-1α protein and stimulates HIF-1 transcriptional activity by preventing its RACK1-mediated ubiquitination and degradation. SEPT9_i1–HIF-1 activation promotes tumor growth and angiogenesis. The effect of SEPT9_v1 silencing in prostate cancer cells was studied. SEPT9_v1 stable knockdown was generated in PC-3 cells using a specific shRNA. SEPT9_v1 silencing reduced HIF-1α protein expression and inhibited HIF-1 transcriptional activity. SEPT9_v1 knockdown affected cell morphology, deregulated cell cycle, and decreased migration. The antiproliferative effect of shSEPT9_v1 was abolished in HIF-1α knockout colon cancer cells. In vivo, SEPT9_i1 depletion reduced HIF-1α protein expression, cellular proliferation, tumor growth, and angiogenesis. These results provide new insights and validation for applying SEPT9_v1 as a potential target for antitumor therapy by interrupting the HIF-1 pathway. Mol Cancer Res; 8(5); OF1–10. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

Hypoxia exists in solid tumors and is often associated with resistance to radiation therapy and chemotherapy, selection of more invasive and metastatic clones, and poorer prognosis (1-3). Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) is a key transcription factor for cells to respond and adapt to survive hypoxia (4). HIF-1 target genes encode proteins involved in anaerobic metabolism (e.g., glycolytic enzymes and glucose transporters), angiogenesis [e.g., vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)], and erythropoiesis (e.g., erythropoietin; ref. 4). HIF-1 is a heterodimer consisting of a constitutively expressed subunit, HIF-1β, and an oxygen-regulated subunit, HIF-1α. HIF-1 transcriptional activity is directly affected by the abundance and stability of HIF-1α. In tumor cells, HIF-1 can also be regulated and activated by other genetic factors, such as oncogenes (Ras and phosphoinositide 3-kinase) or loss of tumor suppressors (VHL or PTEN) even under aerobic conditions (1). Overexpression of HIF-1α has been shown in many human cancers and is associated with poor prognosis and treatment failure (1). Therefore, HIF-1 inhibition has become an attractive therapeutic strategy to target cancer cells (5-7).

We recently described another novel activation pathway of HIF-1 under normoxia by a member of the mammalian septin family, septin 9 protein, isoform 1 (SEPT9_i1), a product of septin 9 transcript variant 1 (SEPT9_v1; ref. 8). Mammalian septins are evolutionary conserved proteins that form filaments associated with cytoskeleton organization, membrane dynamics, mitosis, cytokinesis, and cell cycle progression (9, 10). Recently, accumulating data suggest that some septin family members also participate in the pathogenesis of neoplasia (11) and neurodegenerative diseases (12). We had shown that SEPT9_i1 interacts with HIF-1α, but not with HIF-2α, to prevent its RACK1-mediated ubiquitination and degradation (13) and that SEPT9_i1 protein activates HIF-1 transcriptional activity and increases tumor growth and angiogenesis in prostate cancer xenografts (8). Similarly, Gonzalez et al. (14) showed that high expression of SEPT9_i1 is also associated with accelerated growth kinetics and increased motility in human breast cancer cells. Another line of evidence supporting the role of SEPT9 in oncogenesis is derived from...
prostate cancer tumors with the chromosomal fusion between the transmembrane protease Ser2 (TMPRSS2) and ERG, a member of the erythroblast transformation-specific transcription factor family (15). These tumors express significantly higher SEPT9 levels compared with tumors without the translocation and are associated with a more aggressive clinical course (16).

In the current study, we tested the hypothesis that disruption of the SEPT9_i1–HIF-1α pathway by applying RNAi methodology would be effective in inhibiting angiogenesis and tumor growth in prostate cancer. We provide evidence that SEPT9_v1 could serve as a new target for therapy in prostate cancer.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and hypoxia treatment. Human prostate cancer PC-3 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640; Colo-357, MIA, and Panc1 cells were maintained in DMEM; and HCT116 cells were maintained in modified McCoy’s medium. Parental HCT116 (HCT116") and HCT116HIF-1α−/− were designed by Dang et al. (17) and kindly obtained as a generous gift from Dr. Long Dang (University of Florida, Gainesville, FL). These cells were maintained in McCoy’s 5A medium and passed in parallel to preserve the same passage number. All of the media were supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics. The cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere and 5% CO2 in air. For hypoxic exposure, the cells were placed in a sealed modular incubator chamber (Billups-Rothenberg) flushed with 1% O2, 5% CO2, and 94% N2 and then cultured at 37°C for 16 hours.

Antibodies. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit polyclonal antibody to SEPT9_i1 [previously produced and characterized (8)], rabbit polyclonal against general SEPT9 (recognizes all isoforms; Abgent), mouse monoclonal anti–HIF-1α (BD Biosciences), mouse monoclonal anti-hemagglutinin (HA; Covance), mouse monoclonal anti–α-tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich), goat polyclonal anti–TOPO-I and anti–β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), mouse monoclonal anti-CD34 and anti-Ki67 (Dako-Cytomation), and rabbit polyclonal activated caspase-3 (Cell Signaling). Secondary antibodies used for Western blotting were horseradish peroxidase conjugated (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and those used for immunohistochemistry were MACH 3 Rabbit HRP Polymer (Biocare Medical).

shRNA viral vector construction. Oligonucleotides corresponding to sequences taken from the unique NH2 terminus of SEPT9_v1 were designed (5′-GATCCCC-GAAGTCCTACGAGGCCTcagagGAGCTCCT-CAGTAAAGCTTCTTTTTA-3′ and 5′-AGCTTAAAA-GAAGTCCTACGAGGCCTcagagGAGCTCCT-CAGTAAAGCTTCTGGG-3′) and constructed into pSUPER.retro.puro (OligoEngine) as previously described (18).

Retroviral infection and stable expression of shSEPT9_v1 in PC-3 cells. Stable expression of shSEPT9_v1 in PC-3 cells was established previously in our lab (18). Briefly, PC-3 cells were infected by supernatants containing retroviral particles of pSUPER.retro empty vector (EV) and pSUPER.retro expressing shRNA to green fluorescent protein (GFP) or to SEPT9_v1. Cells were selected for puromycin (5 mg/mL) resistance and screened for SEPT9_i1 downregulation.

Protein extraction and Western blot. Whole-cell extract (WCE) and nuclear extract were prepared and analyzed as previously described (19). Protein concentration was determined using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Pierce). Protein extracts were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with the displayed antibodies in the figures.

Hypoxia response element–dependent luciferase assay. Hypoxia response element (HRE)–dependent luciferase activity was determined using the pBl-GL construct (pBl-GL V6L) containing six tandem copies of the VEGF HRE as previously described (20, 21). Briefly, cells were seeded in six-well plates and transfected with a total of 1 μg of reporter plasmid per well using GenePorter transfection reagent (Gene Therapy Systems, Inc.). Duplicate sets of transfected cell culture dishes were then separated and incubated under normoxic and hypoxic conditions for 16 hours. Luciferase enzymatic activity was measured with a commercial kit (Tropix) using a BMG Labtechnologies LUMistar Galaxy luminometer following the manufacturer’s instructions. Arbitrary luciferase activity units were normalized to the amount of protein in each assay point.

Ubiquitination assay. Cells were seeded in 100-mm plates and transiently transfected with 5 μg pEF1a plasmid expressing HA-ubiquitin (a generous gift from Prof. Y. Yarden, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel) as described above. After 48 hours, the cells were lysed in 20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.5), 0.1 mol/L NaCl, 2 mmol/L EDTA, 0.5% NP40, and 10% glycerol. Protease and phosphatase inhibitors were added to the lysis buffer. The lysates were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. Ten percent of the WCE was kept for input analysis, and the rest was incubated with HIF-1α antibody overnight at 4°C. The lysates were then rotated with protein G–Sepharose (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 hours at 4°C. The beads were washed and eluted in 2× Laemmli sample buffer, analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and immunoblotted with HIF-1α and HA antibodies.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from cells using NucleoSpin RNA II kit (Macherey-Nagel) following the manufacturer’s instructions. One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using Verso cDNA kit (Abgene) using anchored oligo(dT) as first-strand primer. Quantitative real-time PCRs were done with primers specific to SEPT9_v1 (forward: GAA-GAAGTCCTACGAGGcctcagagGAGCTCCT-CAGTAAAGCTTCTGGG-3′ and reverse: CTCCTCGAGCTCAAAAGATGC), VEGF, endothelin-1 (ET-1), glucose transporter-1 (Glut-1), and β-actin genes in duplicates using LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I (Roche Applied Science) as described (8). The PCRs were done at a total volume of 10 μL using
3 mmol/L MgCl₂ and 0.5 μmol/L of each primer. The expression of each gene was normalized using β-actin expression levels.

**Cell cycle analysis (fluorescence-activated cell sorting).** Cells were collected in ice-cold PBS (50,000 cells/mL) and stained with 50 μL propidium iodide (1 mg/mL) and 50 μL of 1% Triton. Cell cycle distribution was detected by flow cytometry (FACSort, Becton Dickinson) using CellQuest software.

**Cytospin.** Cells (5,000) were suspended in 100 μL PBS and in a cytocentrifuge cup, which was placed in a Shandon cytocentrifuge 4 (Thermo Electron). After centrifugation at 700 rpm for 5 minutes at room temperature and drying in air, the cytospin slides were stained with Giemsa (Sigma-Aldrich) and analyzed by light microscopy.

**Scratch wound assay.** Cells were grown to confluence in six-well plates. After 24 hours, the monolayers were scratched using a 200 μL sterile plastic pipette tip and washed twice with complete medium. The cells were allowed to migrate onto a plastic surface and photographed. Wound-healing inhibition was quantified by using ImageJ software available from the NIH Web site as described (22). Two random pictures were taken for each wound immediately after the wound was inflicted to the cell monolayer and after 4, 8, and 24 hours. The pictures were uploaded into the ImageJ software, the area of the wound was measured by using the rectangle area selection tool, and the two areas per well were averaged. The percentage of wound healed was then calculated using the following formula: 100 - (final area/initial area × 100%).

**2,3-Bis[2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl]-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide inner salt proliferation assay.** Cells (2,000-5,000 per well) were seeded in 96-well plates in a volume of 200 μL for cell proliferation assay using a 2,3-bis[2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl]-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide inner salt (XTT) kit (Biological Industries Ltd.). XTT reagent was added in triplicates for each time point and processed as previously described (8).

**Tumor model.** Different clones of EV control and shSEPT9_v1 PC-3 cells (2 × 10⁶) were injected s.c. into the back of athymic nude mice. All procedures were done in compliance with the Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center Animal Care and Use Committee and NIH guidelines. The animals were monitored for tumor development twice a week. Tumor dimensions were measured with calipers, and tumor volume was calculated according to the following formula: tumor volume = width² × length/2. The animals were sacrificed before the tumors reached >1,000 mm³ or when the mice started to lose weight. The tumors were excised and cut into two pieces. One piece of tumor was fixed with 4% buffered formalin for immunohistochemical staining, and the rest of the tumor was immediately frozen in liquid N₂ and kept at −80°C for protein extraction.

**Immunohistochemical staining.** Paraffin-embedded tissue was sectioned into 3-μm thickness, mounted on SuperFrost/Plus slides (Menzel-Gläser), and immunostained as described elsewhere (23). Sections were dewaxed and hydrated through graded ethanols, cooked in a pressure cooker at 115°C for 3 minutes (decloaking chamber, Biocare Medical) for antigen retrieval in a suitable calibrated buffer.
for each antibody, treated with 3% H$_2$O$_2$, and processed for immunostaining with anti-CD34, anti-Ki67, anti–caspase-3, and anti-SEPT9_i1 in CAS-Block (Zymed Laboratories) overnight at 4°C. On the next day, the slides were washed with Optimax (Biogenex), incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature with secondary antibody, and developed with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine. Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. The microvessel density (MVD), percentage of Ki67-positive stained nuclei, and number of caspase-3–positive stained cells were quantified in ×40 magnification fields and compared between control EV and shSEPT9_v1 tumors.

**Protein extraction of xenograft tumors.** Tumor tissue (10 mg) was manually homogenized in 20 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.4), 4 mmol/L EDTA, and 2% SDS supplemented with protease inhibitors; incubated on ice for 30 minutes; and then cleared by centrifugations. Protein extracts were analyzed as described above.

**Data analysis.** The experiments presented in the figures are representative of three or more independent repetitions. Quantification of band densities was done with TINA software version 2.0. The data are expressed as mean ± SD or mean ± SEM as indicated in the figure legends. Student’s $t$ test and ANOVA were used to compare
differences between particular conditions. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

**Results**

**SEPT9_v1 silencing downregulates the HIF-1 pathway in prostate cancer cells.** We used RNAi methodology to study the effects of SEPT9_i1 downregulation on HIF-1. SEPT9 can theoretically be transcribed up to 18 splice variants generating six mRNAs with different NH2 termini (SEPT9_v1, SEPT9_v2, SEPT9_v3, SEPT9_v4, SEPT9_v4*, and SEPT9_v5) as well as three possible indistinguishable mRNA ends (a, b and c; ref. 24). To exclusively knock down SEPT9_v1 variant, we designed several siRNAs targeting the unique sequence that encodes the first 25 amino acids of SEPT9_i1. We identified a siRNA that specifically knocks down SEPT9_v1 and downregulates SEPT9_i1 protein expression but not other expressed isoforms in PC-3 cells (Fig. 1A). This sequence was designed as a short hairpin (shRNA) and was constructed into a viral vector (pSUPER.retro.puro) to produce stable expression in PC-3 prostate cancer cells. Puromycin-selected clones from PC-3 infected with the EV control and shRNA to GFP or to SEPT9_v1 were tested for SEPT9_i1 downregulation (Fig. 1B). Neither the EV nor the shRNA to GFP caused any noticeable SEPT9_i1 inhibition compared with parental PC-3 cells (data not shown). We therefore used pooled clones of EV as control for comparison with the shSEPT9_v1 different clones. SEPT9_v1 silencing in shSEPT9_v1 clones was confirmed using quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR (Fig. 1C). We observed ~50% significant inhibition of SEPT9_v1 mRNA levels compared with EV cells.

Consistent with our previous results showing that SEPT9_i1 upregulates HIF-1$\alpha$ (8), nuclear HIF-1$\alpha$ protein levels were reduced in accordance with SEPT9_i1 inhibition under both normoxia and hypoxia (Fig. 2A). We next tested the effects of SEPT9_v1 knockdown (KD) on HIF-1 transcriptional activity by using a HRE-dependent reporter gene assay (Fig. 2B) and by determining transcript levels of HIF-1 target genes (Fig. 2C). SEPT9_v1 KD significantly inhibited HIF-1 transcriptional activity by 70% to 85% under normoxia and by 60% to 75% under hypoxia (Fig. 2B). Similarly, the transcript levels of select HIF-1 target genes (VEGF, ET-1, and Glut-1) analyzed by quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR were also inhibited in SEPT9_v1 KD PC-3 cells under normoxia and hypoxia (Fig. 2C). These results show that inhibition of SEPT9_i1 expression by RNAi causes downregulation of both HIF-1$\alpha$ protein expression and HIF-1 transcriptional activity.

To extend our studies on SEPT9_v1 KD in human cancer cells other than those of the prostate, we transiently expressed shSEPT9_v1 in cancer cells of the colon (Colo-357 and HCT116) and pancreas (MIA and Panc1). Inhibition of SEPT9_i1 expression was obtained in various degrees under the same conditions of transfection used in PC-3 cells (Fig. 2D). KD of SEPT9_v1 inhibited HIF-1$\alpha$ protein expression in HCT116 and Panc1 cells but not in Colo-357 and MIA cells (Fig. 2D). These results suggest that the dependence of HIF-1$\alpha$ protein expression on SEPT9_i1 is not absolute and may vary among different cancer cells.

**SEPT9_v1 KD decreases HIF-1$\alpha$ protein expression by accelerating its ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation.** SEPT9_i1 stabilizes HIF-1$\alpha$ protein by preventing its RACK1-dependent ubiquitination and degradation through the proteasome (13). We therefore examined whether downregulation of HIF-1 by SEPT9_i1 KD is a result of increased ubiquitination and degradation of HIF-1$\alpha$ protein. Control and SEPT9_v1 KD PC-3 cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 (Fig. 3A). Reduction in HIF-1$\alpha$ protein levels was almost completely reversed by proteasome inhibition (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, ubiquitination of HIF-1$\alpha$ protein was dramatically facilitated in SEPT9_v1 KD cells compared with EV control cells (Fig. 3B). Taken together, these experiments showed that downregulation of SEPT9_i1 suppresses HIF-1$\alpha$ protein expression and
HIF-1 transcriptional activity by increasing HIF-1α protein ubiquitination and degradation through the proteasome.

**SEPT9_v1 KD suppresses tumorigenicity of prostate cancer cells.** We had shown that SEPT9_v1 KD affects cell viability and inhibits proliferation of prostate cancer cells (18). Expanding those studies, we now investigated the effects of SEPT9_v1 KD on other cellular functions. We characterized the changes in cellular morphology after SEPT9_v1 silencing using Giemsa staining of cytospin preparations. The morphologic differences observed between EV and KD cells were mainly in cell size, nucleus shape, cytoplasm color, and membrane surface (Fig. 4A). SEPT9_v1 KD cells also had an increased nuclear-cytoplasm ratio. The nuclei of SEPT9_v1 KD cells were kidney shaped, and the membranes were smooth compared with EV cells, which had round nuclei and serrated membranes (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Fig. S1). All these morphologic changes indicate that SEPT9_v1 KD has disrupted cell integrity.

SEPT9_i1 overexpression was shown to affect the cell cycle (25, 26). Therefore, we studied the consequences of SEPT9_i1 downregulation on the cell cycle by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis (Fig. 4B). Quantification of the FACS data revealed that the number of cells in the G0-G1 phase was significantly higher in SEPT9_v1 KD cells compared with control cells (50 ± 4% versus 40 ± 4%, respectively; *P* = 0.03), whereas the number of cells was lower in the S phase (23 ± 5.6% versus 35 ± 2.3%, respectively; *P* = 0.04; Fig. 4B, bottom). There was no significant difference between the cells in the G2-M phase.

Another oncogenic phenotype of SEPT9_v1 is increased cell migration and motility (14, 26). Using the scrape wound-healing migration assay, we found that SEPT9_v1 KD significantly decreased migration compared with controls even after a short period of time where proliferation does not take place (Fig. 4C). This result indicates that reducing SEPT9_i1 levels decreases the invasive potential in these cells. Altogether, the results provide additional molecular evidence that SEPT9_i1 is a positive factor in tumorigenesis.

**SEPT9_i1 depletion inhibits tumor growth in a prostate cancer xenograft model.** We used a xenograft model to study the consequences of SEPT9_v1 KD *in vivo*. Two different PC-3 clones expressing shRNA to SEPT9_v1
and EV control PC-3 cells were injected into athymic nude mice. The take rate of SEPT9_v1 KD cells in these mice was relatively low and ranged from 0% to 66% in comparison with the 100% take rate of the EV clones. shSEPT9_v1 clones showed significant retardation in tumor growth rate by a 2- to 3-fold longer interval time after injection than the controls and reached smaller dimensions (Fig. 5A).

After the animals were sacrificed, the tumors were excised and analyzed by immunohistochemical staining. SEPT9_i1 staining confirmed SEPT9_i1 downregulation in the majority of the cells (Fig. 5B). Western blot analysis of tumors extracts showed that the expression of HIF-1α protein levels within the shSEPT9_v1 tumors was significantly lower than in control tumors (Fig. 5C). Tumors derived from SEPT9_v1 KD cells exhibit a dramatic reduction in MVD and a significant inhibition of intratumoral cell proliferation, quantified by Ki67 staining, compared with control tumors (Fig. 6). Consistent with previous reports (14), the difference in caspase-3 staining was not significant among the tumors (Fig. 6). Taken together, our in vivo data show that depletion of intracellular SEPT9_i1 by RNAi decreases tumorigenicity and inhibits angiogenesis concomitant with HIF-1 downregulation in this prostate cancer model.

Inhibition of cell proliferation by SEPT9_i1 silencing is mediated by HIF-1. To determine whether the effects of SEPT9_v1 silencing on tumor growth are mediated by the HIF-1 pathway, we used the genetically manipulated HIF-1α knockout colon cancer cells (HCT116^HIF-1α−/−) previously designed by Dang et al. (17). These cells neither express HIF-1α protein nor exhibit transcriptional activity of HIF-1 as measured by reporter gene assay (data not shown). We measured the proliferation rate of these cells and of their parental HCT116 counterpart cells before and after SEPT9_v1 silencing (Fig. 7). As expected (17), the proliferation rate of HCT116^HIF-1α−/− cells was significantly decreased compared with parental HCT116 cells (Fig. 7A). Consistent with our previous results (18), SEPT9_v1 silencing (Fig. 7B) caused >50% significant inhibition of proliferation in parental HCT116 cells, whereas it did not affect proliferation at all in HCT116^HIF-1α−/− cells (Fig. 7A). These results highly suggest that the major antiproliferative effect of shSEPT9_v1 is mediated by the HIF-1 pathway.

Discussion

Mammalian SEPT9 isoforms have been implicated in several oncogenic pathways, including Rho signaling.
(SEPT9_i3; ref. 27), migration (SEPT9_i4; ref. 28), hypoxia (SEPT9_i1; refs. 8, 13), and c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase (SEPT9_i1; ref. 26). In this study, we provided evidence that SEPT9_i1 can be targeted to inhibit growth and angiogenesis of human prostate cancer cells.

We found that downregulating SEPT9_i1 by specific shRNA leads to reduction in HIF-1α protein expression with respective inhibition of HIF-1 transcriptional activity (Fig. 2). SEPT9_i1 stabilizes HIF-1α protein by preventing its RACK1-dependent ubiquitination and degradation pathway (8, 13). RACK1 competes with heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) for binding to HIF-1α and in mediating its O2-independent ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (29, 30). We show here that SEPT9_v1 KD enhances HIF-1α ubiquitination and degradation in prostate cancer cells (Fig. 3). The heterogeneity in HIF-1α inhibition by SEPT9_v1 KD might be influenced by a “fine balance” existing between RACK1/HSP90 and HIF-1α/SEPT9_i1 levels in a given cancer cell. Further studies are necessary to elucidate the dynamics among these cellular functions in the different cell types. It would be worthwhile to test whether combining HSP90 inhibitors with SEPT9_v1 silencing will allow more efficient total downregulation of HIF-1α in target tumors.

The effects of SEPT9_i1 depletion on cell integrity were robust. It induced marked morphologic changes and dysregulated cell cycle (Fig. 4). Puromycin-resistant PC-3 cells distinguished by dramatic inhibition (>80%) of SEPT9_i1 became senescent after a small number of passages (from two to three) and could not be maintained in culture.1 SEPT9_v1 KD was shown to decrease proliferation in prostate (18) and breast (14) cancer cells in vitro. The anti-proliferative effects of SEPT9_v1 silencing were abolished in the colon cancer cells that do not express HIF-1α (Fig. 7). SEPT9_v1 KD also inhibited cell proliferation in vivo in our prostate cancer model (Fig. 6). The anti-proliferative consequences of SEPT9_i1 depletion could be attributed not only to the effects on HIF-1 but also to the effects of SEPT9_v1 KD on the c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase/cyclin D1 pathway (26).

1 Personal observation.
Quantification of the extent of migration revealed that PC-3 cells expressing shSEPT9_v1 exhibited a dramatic decrease (75%) in cell motility compared with the control cells (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, in vivo data showed that the reduction in MVD was more prominent than the intra-tumoral proliferation (Fig. 6). These results suggest that SEPT9_i1 affects invasiveness, rather than proliferation, when determining the tumorigenic-metastatic potential of these cells. Our results, which show the significance of SEPT9_i1 in the invasive process, are corroborated by those of Chacko et al. (28) who showed that SEPT9_i4 isoform expression enhances cell motility and is associated with perturbation of directional movement, leading to phenotypes associated with neoplasia.

As mentioned earlier, SEPT9_v1 KD tumors appeared after a significant delay and reached much smaller volumes compared with EV controls at the same time point (Fig. 5). These results were obtained concomitantly with the effects of SEPT9_v1 KD on the HIF-1 pathway in vivo. HIF-1α protein expression levels in SEPT9_v1 KD tumors were significantly lower than in the EV tumors (Fig. 5C), with a respective decrease in angiogenesis (Fig. 6). HIF-1α levels and MVD were analyzed at the time of sacrifice when the tumors were growing exponentially: they still exhibited significant reductions in HIF-1α and blood vessel levels. These results indicate that the effects of SEPT9_v1 KD on tumor establishment, growth, and angiogenesis are mediated, at least in a significant part, by the HIF-1 pathway. Attainment of tumor cells to create new blood vessels is an important aspect of tumor progression. Disruption of the HIF-1 pathway by SEPT9_v1 KD apparently significantly delayed the turning on of the "angiogenic switch."

The results we describe herein provide new insights for applying SEPT9_v1 as a potential target for antitumor therapy by interruption of the HIF-1 pathway. Cancer targets can be exploited by different strategies. Thus far, the more successful clinical approaches have been achieved with small-molecule drugs, monoclonal antibodies, and protein kinase inhibitors. One of the promising emerging technologies is RNAi, which is now being vigorously developed (31). Current data from initial clinical trials indicate that RNAi drugs will soon provide another potent class of agents against malignant diseases (32). The pharmacologic validation of HIF-1 as a therapeutic target has not been fully elucidated because of the lack of selective inhibitors (6). The potential outcome of HIF-1 inhibition in cancer therapy is promising, based on genetic approaches and preclinical models (1, 6), and the shRNA targeting of HIF-1α was shown to inhibit tumor growth (33, 34). In this study, we provide further evidence that disruption of the HIF-1 pathway by targeting its upstream activator SEPT9_i1 with shRNA is effective for tumor growth inhibition.

In summary, the results of the current study show that using RNAi to reduce SEPT9_i1 levels in prostate cancer cells significantly reduces the expression of HIF-1α protein, cellular proliferation, motility, angiogenesis, and tumor growth in vivo. Further investigations are required to test which routes of delivery (e.g., direct intratumoral injections or systemic administration) of shSEPT9_v1 would be most efficient for prostate cancer therapy.
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