Notch1 Inhibition Alters the CD44\textsuperscript{hi}/CD24\textsuperscript{lo} Population and Reduces the Formation of Brain Metastases from Breast Cancer

Patricia M. McGowan\textsuperscript{1}, Carmen Simedrea\textsuperscript{1}, Emeline J. Ribot\textsuperscript{4}, Paula J. Foster\textsuperscript{1,4}, Diane Palmieri\textsuperscript{5}, Patricia S. Steeg\textsuperscript{3}, Alison L. Allan\textsuperscript{2,3}, and Ann F. Chambers\textsuperscript{1,2}

Abstract

Brain metastasis from breast cancer is an increasingly important clinical problem. Here we assessed the role of CD44\textsuperscript{hi}/CD24\textsuperscript{lo} cells and pathways that regulate them, in an experimental model of brain metastasis. Notch signaling (mediated by γ-secretase) has been shown to contribute to maintenance of the cancer stem cell (CSC) phenotype. Cells sorted for a reduced stem-like phenotype had a reduced ability to form brain metastases compared with unsorted or CD44\textsuperscript{hi}/CD24\textsuperscript{lo} cells (\(P < 0.05\); Kruskal–Wallis). To assess the effect of γ-secretase inhibition, cells were cultured with DAPT and the CD44/CD24 phenotypes quantified. 231-BR cells with a CD44\textsuperscript{hi}/CD24\textsuperscript{lo} phenotype was reduced by about 15% in cells treated with DAPT compared with DMSO-treated or untreated cells (\(P = 0.001\), ANOVA). In vivo, mice treated with DAPT developed significantly fewer micro- and macrometastases compared with vehicle treated or untreated mice (\(P = 0.011\), Kruskal–Wallis). Notch1 knockdown reduced the expression of CD44\textsuperscript{hi}/CD24\textsuperscript{lo} phenotype by about 20%. In vivo, Notch1 shRNA resulted in a reduction in cellularity at 24, 48, and 72 hours time points (\(P = 0.033\), \(P = 0.002\), and \(P = 0.009\), ANOVA) and about 60% reduction in Matrigel invasion was observed (\(P < 0.001\), ANOVA). Cells transfected with shNotch1 formed significantly fewer macrometastases and micrometastases compared with scrambled shRNA or untransfected cells (\(P < 0.001\); Kruskal–Wallis). These data suggest that the CSC phenotype contributes to the development of brain metastases from breast cancer, and this may arise in part from increased Notch activity. Mol Cancer Res; 9(7); 834–44. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

Brain metastasis from breast cancer is a growing problem, due in part to improved therapies for systemic metastatic disease and the inability of many conventional drugs to cross the blood-brain barrier. Ten to 16% patients with metastatic breast cancer historically developed brain metastases, with a 1 year survival of 20% (1–2). Currently, one-third of metastatic breast cancer patients with either HER2 positive tumors or “triple negative” tumors (estrogen and progesterone receptor negative, HER2 normal) develop brain metastases (1, 3–6). In addition, much remains to be elucidated about the mechanisms involved in the formation of brain metastases from breast cancer, and the processes by which it is regulated. It is hoped that such information, combined with improved blood-brain barrier pharmacokinetics, will enable the identification and validation of novel therapeutics with increased potency in the brain (for review, ref. 7). Here we investigated the role of the cancer stem cell (CSC) phenotype and, in particular, the Notch pathway, in an experimental model of breast cancer metastasis to the brain.

Stem cells are defined as cells that have the ability to (i) sustain themselves through self-renewal and (ii) generate mature cells through differentiation (8). Growing evidence suggests that CSCs have similar self-renewal capacity to that of normal stem cells, and that only a subset of tumor cells have the ability to grow and divide to repopulate a tumor. As such, the identification and study of these cells, in addition to the pathways that regulate their maintenance, may allow selective targeting of the core population of tumor promoting cells. In breast cancer, Al-Hajj and colleagues identified a population of CD44\textsuperscript{+}/CD24\textsuperscript{−}/Lin“Lineage” cells in breast cancer patients (9). As few as 100 cells with this phenotype were able to initiate and form a tumor in vivo. In contrast, 20,000 cells with alternate phenotypes were unable to form tumors (9). In addition, measurement of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity has recently been utilized to...
identify normal stem cells and CSCs (10, 11). ALDH1 levels have been found to correlate with high tumor grade, HER2 positivity, hormone receptor negativity and expression of the basal markers cytokeratin (CK) 5/6 and CK14 (12). In addition, expression of ALDH1 was shown to be an independent prognostic indicator of poor overall survival for breast cancer. Increasing evidence suggests that CSCs play an important role in mediating metastasis. Indeed ALDH-positive breast cancer cell lines showed enhanced metastatic capabilities when injected into NOD/SCID mice (13).

Notch proteins are a family of 4 transmembrane, heterodimeric receptors (Notch1IC–Notch4IC), with 5 known ligands (Delta-like1, Delta-like3, Delta-like4, Jagged1 and Jagged2). In response to ligand binding, the intracellular domain of the receptor is proteolytically released in 2 stages, mediated by ADAM proteases (14, 15) and a γ-secretase [presenilin; (16)]. The cleaved intracellular domain translocates to the nucleus where it is involved in transcriptional activation of the CSL (CBF1-Suppressor of Hairless-Lag2) transcription factor family. Following Notch binding to CSL, it becomes a transcriptional activator. In combination with Mastermind-like (MAML) proteins, transcriptional induction of Hairy/Enhancer of Split genes occurs, for example, Hey, Hes, and Notch1 itself. Elevated Notch signaling has also been found to increase transcription of HER2 (17) and cyclin D1 (18), among others.

Disregulated Notch signaling has been observed in many human cancers, including endometrial cancer (19), colon cancer (20), and lung cancer (21). Recently, it has been shown that Notch signaling is activated in human breast cancer, with the accumulation of Notch1 intracellular domain in tissue (22). Notch signaling in breast cancer has also been shown to activate Akt (23) and survivin (24), which may be involved in mediating chemoresistance. Elevated Notch ligands have been shown to correlate with poor overall survival in patients with breast cancer (25). Notch signaling has previously been shown to play a role in stem cell maintenance (26, 27), and may also contribute to the maintenance of the CSC phenotype (28–31), with the strongest evidence for a role in CSCs being in breast cancer. Gamma-secretase inhibition has been shown to prevent the formation of secondary mammospheres from cell lines and primary patient samples (32). A role for CSC in tumor metastasis has been proposed but remains incompletely defined (33–35). Similarly, a role for the Notch pathway in tumor metastasis has been proposed (36–39). Nam and colleagues reported that a MDA-MB-435 carcinoma cell line selected for metastatic growth in the brain exhibited upregulation of the Notch pathway as compared with the parental cell line, and that the commercial γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT and RNA interference-mediated knockdown of Notch1 inhibited tumor cell migration and invasion in vitro (40).

In this study, we used an experimental model of brain metastasis of breast cancer to assess the role of the Notch pathway in brain metastases of breast cancer in vivo. Using two different experimental strategies, Notch signaling inhibition significantly prevented the colonization of brain metastatic MDA-MB-231 (231-BR) human breast cancer cells in the brain. We also determined the relationship of the “stem-like” phenotype (CD44hi/CD24lo) to both brain metastasis and Notch signaling inhibition. The data nominate Notch as a potential therapeutic target for inhibition of brain metastases.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

MDA-MB-231 (231) human breast carcinoma cells (American Type Culture Collection) and the brain metastatic variant 231-BR cells (41) were maintained in Dulbecco’s-minimal essential media (DMEM; Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma Aldrich).

Cell surface marker analysis

231, 231-BR, 231-BR-shNotch1, 231-BR-shScrambled cells and cells treated with 5 μmol/L DAPT [N-(3,3-difluorophenacetyl)-l-alanyl]-S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester (Sigma Aldrich)] or DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide; Sigma; 1 × 10⁵) were incubated with fluorescently conjugated antibodies, including anti-CD24 (clone M5; BD Biosciences) conjugated to phycoerythrin (PE); and anti-CD44 (clone IM7; BD Biosciences) conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC). Fluorescently conjugated IgG isotype controls (BD Biosciences) were used as negative controls. Cells were analyzed using a Beckman Coulter EPICS XL-MCL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). Data are illustrated as percentage of cells with a CD44hi/CD24lo phenotype (bottom right quadrant) ± SE.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

231-BR cells were labeled with fluorescent antibodies (CD44-FITC + CD24-PE). Cell subsets were isolated using a 4-color analysis protocol on a FACS Vantage/Diva cell sorter (BD Biosciences), into CD44hi/CD24lo (defined as "stem like") and CD44hi/CD24hi ("nonstem like") subsets (top and bottom 5%). Cell viability was assessed by plating efficiency postsortting. Following FACS isolation, cells were used immediately for in vitro assays. Sorting was conducted at the Robarts Research Institute Flow Cytometry facility, University of Western Ontario.

Analysis of ALDH activity

To assess ALDH activity of the different cell lines, the Aldefluor assay kit (StemCell Technologies) was used. The basis for this assay is that uncharged ALDH substrate [BODIPY-aminoacetaldehyde (BAAA)] is taken up by living cells via passive diffusion. Once inside the cell, BAAA is converted into negatively charged BODIPY-aminoacetate (BAAA−) by intracellular ALDH. BAAA− is then retained inside the cell, causing the cell to become highly fluorescent. Only cells with an intact cell membrane can retain BAAA−, so only viable cells can be identified (13). The Aldefluor assay was conducted essentially as described previously (10, 11). Briefly, 231-BR cells were harvested, placed in Aldefluor assay buffer (2 × 10⁶ cells/mL), and incubated with the Aldefluor substrate for 45 minutes at 37°C to allow
substrate conversion. As a negative control for all experiments, an aliquot of Aldefluor-stained cells was immediately quenched with 1.5-mmol/L diethylaminobenzaldehyde (DEAB), a specific ALDH1 inhibitor. Cells were analyzed using the green fluorescence channel (FL1) on a Beckman Coulter EPICS XL-MCL flow cytometer.

**In vivo brain metastasis assays**

All *in vivo* work was carried out using 6 to 7 week old female athymic nude nu/nu mice (Charles River Laboratories). Animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the recommendations of the Canadian Council on Animal Care, under a protocol approved by the University of Western Ontario Council on Animal Care. Cells were grown to about 75% confluency in 75 cm² flasks. Following cell sorting, sorted populations (CD44<sup>hi</sup>CD24<sup>lo</sup> and CD44<sup>hi</sup>CD24<sup>lo</sup>) 231-BR cells were resuspended in sterile Hank’s buffered salt solution (HBSS, Invitrogen) at 1.75 × 10⁷ cells/0.1 mL. Mice were anesthetized with isofluorane/ O₂ and cells were injected into the left ventricle, as described previously (42). Similarly, 231-BR cells transfected with shNotch1 or shScrambled were injected intracardiac at 1.75 × 10⁷ cells/mouse. For γ-secretase inhibition study, we used a commercially available γ-secretase inhibitor, DAPT (Sigma Aldrich). Following injection of 1.75 × 10⁷ 231-BR cells, tumors were allowed to form for 14 days. Mice were treated intraperitoneally with 8 mg/kg DAPT (or vehicle: 5% ethanol in corn oil), beginning treatment on day 14 using a 3-day on, 4-day off schedule up to day 28. Intermittent administration of DAPT has previously been shown to reduce the gastrointestinal toxicity observed with γ-secretase inhibition which can result from expansion of goblet cells (43, 44). Mice were euthanized on day 28 and the whole brains were removed from the skull and assessed for metastatic growth. Brains were fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin and sectioned (10 μm sections). Sections were stained using standard hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining. The number of metastases per section was determined by light microscopy, by 2 independent blinded investigators. Metastases were binned into micro- and macrometastases using 50 μm<sup>2</sup> as a cut-off, as described previously (42).

**RNA isolation and real-time PCR**

RNA from cell lines was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). All RNA was reverse transcribed using Super-Script III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Primers were obtained for Notch 1 to 4, Hey1, and 18S (SABiosciences). The optimized amplification protocol consisted of an initial denaturation step of 95°C for 10 minutes followed by 36 amplification cycles at 95°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 58°C for 5 seconds, and elongation at 72°C for 10 seconds. A constant temperature ramp of 20°C/second was used throughout each of the steps. Measurements of fluorescence were taken at the end of the annealing phase for each cycle. The PCR products were melted by increasing the temperature to 95°C (0.1°C/second). Finally, the samples were cooled to 40°C. Real-time PCR (RT-PCR) was conducted using the CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). As a negative control, cDNA was replaced with deionized water. To quantify gene expression, the internal control transcript 18S rRNA was used as a reference. Data were analyzed using the Pfaffl method (45) and is displayed as fold changes relative to control cells.

**Immunoblotting**

Protein was extracted from cell lines using RIPA (radioimmunoprecipitation assay) buffer containing protease inhibitors (EDTA-free-Protease inhibitor cocktail; Roche). Protein concentrations were measured using the Nonodrop spectrophotometer (Nonodrop 2000, Thermo Scientific, Rockford). A total of 100 μg of protein were separated on SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF (polyvinylidene fluoride) membranes. Membranes were blocked in 5% low fat milk solution in TBS-T (Tris-buffered saline with 0.05% Triton X100) for 1 hour at room temperature, and then incubated overnight with primary antibody [1:1,000 cleaved Notch1: Cell Signaling Danvers; 1: 10,000 GAPDH (Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase): Sigma]. Following 3 washes for 10 minutes in TBS-T, the membrane was incubated with 1:1,000 HRP (horse-radish peroxidase)-conjugated anti-rabbit Ig secondary antibody (Sigma) for 2 hours at room temperature. Antibody binding was detected using enhanced chemiluminescence (Thermo Scientific) and visualization using ChemiDoc XRS+ System (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

**Transfection of 231-BR cells with Notch1 shRNA**

Cells were transiently transfected with a pool of 4 pre-designed Notch1 shRNA vectors or a scrambled vector (SureSilencing, SABiosciences), according to the manufacturer’s instructions (for sequences, see Supplementary Table S1). 231-BR cells were transfected using the Lonza nucleaseffection Kit V (Lonza), mixed with 2 μg cDNA and pulsed with the program X-13, as suggested by the manufacturer. Immediately after nucleaseffection, cells were transferred into wells containing prewarmed (37°C) culture medium. Silencing of Notch1 expression was confirmed by RT-PCR.

**Cell proliferation assay**

231-BR, scrambled shRNA and Notch1 shRNA-transfected cells were plated at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells per well in 10 mm dishes in DMEM plus 10% FBS (n = 3/timepoint). Every 24 hours, for 3 days, triplicate cultures were trypsinized and counted by hemocytometer.

**Cell invasion assay**

231-BR, scrambled shRNA and Notch1 shRNA-transfected cells (2.5 × 10⁵ cells/well), in DMEM containing 10% FBS, were seeded in the upper compartment of Matrigel-coated inserts (24-well plate, 8 μmol/L pore size; BD Bioscates, BD Biosciences). The lower chamber was filled with DMEM with 25% FBS. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, nonmigrated cells in the upper chamber were
removed from the upper surface of the filters using a phosphate buffered saline (PBS)-soaked cotton swab. This was followed by fixation in 1% glutaraldehyde and staining with 0.1% crystal violet. Cells fixed on the lower face of the Matrigel chambers were counted under a light microscope. Five high-powered fields were counted for each well and mean numbers of invading cells per field were counted. All experiments were carried out in duplicate, using 2 independent assays.

Data analysis

In vivo experiments with FACS-sorted cells were carried out three times, with data pooled from all 3 (Control, untreated: n = 7, CD44HI/CD24LO cells: n = 5, CD44LO/CD24HI cells: n = 10). shNotch1 in vivo experiments were conducted once (Control, untreated: n = 7, 231-BR-shScrambled injections: n = 9, 231-BR-shNotch1 injections: n = 7). DAPT treatments were conducted once (Untreated mice: n = 10, Vehicle-treated mice: n = 9, 8 mg/kg DAPT-treated mouse: n = 10). Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS statistics version 15.0 (SPSS Inc.). Differences between means were determined using the Kruskal–Wallis (for in vivo data), ANOVA or Student’s paired t test (for in vitro data) tests. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.

Analysis of a published microarray data set

We interrogated a comprehensive gene expression microarray data set of 295 primary breast tumors (obtained from Rosetta Inpharmatics) prepared by van de Vijver and colleagues, who used it to identify gene expression signatures predictive of outcome. The detailed clinical characteristics of these tumors have been previously published (46). Univariate relationships between Notch mRNA log ratio data and patient outcome were assessed using the Log-rank test (RR, CI). A RR of 1 indicates that the risk of relapsing or dying is the same in both groups, while a RR of more than 1 indicates an increased risk of relapse or death. CIs were calculated for the RR, which was then tested for statistical significance using the Wald test. Data were assigned arbitrary cutoff points of 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles, with the 75th percentile yielding the most interesting results. Data are presented using Kaplan–Meier curves. P ≤ 0.05 were regarded as being statistically significant. As above, data were analyzed using SPSS statistics version 15.0 (SPSS Inc.).

Results

Characterization of CSC properties of 231-BR cells

MDA-MB-231 parental breast cancer cells have been shown to have little or no metastatic growth to the brain in vivo (41). The 231-BR cell line model used in this study has been selected in vivo for increased brain metastatic ability (40). Previous work reported that parental MDA-MB-231 cells had approximately 80% cells with a CD44HI/CD24LO phenotype (13). The proportion of CD44HI/CD24LO cells in brain metastatic 231-BR cells was compared with parental 231 cells by flow cytometry. We found that 231 cells were 92.12% CD44HI/CD24LO and the 231-BR cells were 90.68% CD44HI/CD24LO (Fig. 1A). Previous work also showed that a distinct subgroup of 231 parental cells displayed increased ALDH activity, another marker of potential CSC function (13). However, upon analysis of 231-BR cells, a uniform, whole population increase in ALDH activity was observed (Fig. 1B), which did not reach statistical significance and was therefore not suitable, in this investigation, for use as an additional enrichment factor.

To continue our investigation into the CSC phenotype in brain metastases, FACS was used to isolate subsets of cells from 231-BR cells using CD44HI/CD24LO expression as the primary sort criteria. The resulting cell subsets were designated as CD44HI/CD24LO (stem-like, referred to as CSC) and CD44LO/CD24HI (nonstem-like, referred to as non-CSC). CD44HI/CD24LO cells (average of 99.49% population purity following cell sorting) and CD44LO/CD24HI (average of 95.4% purity) sorted cells. The sorted cells, as well as unsorted 231-BR cells (which are 90.68% CD44HI/CD24LO), were injected by the intracardiac route into nude mice, as described previously (42), and allowed to form tumors for 28 days (Table 1). On day 28, mice were sacrificed and brains were removed, formalin fixed and paraffin embedded. The incidence and numbers of micro- and macrometastases were determined by histology (data presented as an average of 3 sections/mouse), by 2 independent observers using an ocular micrometer. Representative H&E stained images can be seen in Supplementary Figure S1. 231-BR cells with a CD44HI/CD24LO non-CSC phenotype formed a mean of 44.5 ± 9.5 micrometastases and 16.2 ± 3.6 macrometastases per histologic section. This represented a 25% and 45% reduction, respectively, compared with brain metastasis formation by 231-BR cells with a CD44HI/CD24LO CSC phenotype, which produced 59.4 ± 17.8 micrometastases and 29.9 ± 10.2 macrometastases per section. The formation of both micro- and macrometastases was statistically significantly different in the 2 sorted populations (micrometastases: P < 0.0001; macrometastases: P = 0.02, Kruskal–Wallis test). Thus, the cells sorted for a reduced stem-like phenotype had a reduced ability to form brain metastases. The number of metastases formed in the CD44HI/CD24LO experimental group is likely due to the reduced purity of the population obtained following cell sorting or the uniform expression of ALDH observed in the entire cell population (Fig. 1B). In addition, it is important to note that the phenotype of CD44HI/CD24LO is a dynamic one and the population tends to return to baseline at approximately 7 days postsorting (data not shown).

Role of Notch pathway in breast cancer aggressiveness and the CSC phenotype of 231-BR cells

Due to the reported role of Notch signaling in the maintenance of the CSC phenotype (28–30), the role of Notch signaling in the 231-BR model was studied. The levels of Notch 1 to 4 mRNA in 231 and 231-BR cells were determined by RT-PCR. Both 231 and 231-BR cell lines...
expressed Notch 1 to 4 mRNA at similar levels, relative to 18S rRNA (Fig. 2A), with no statistical differences observed. To extend our investigation, we analyzed a published database from the Netherlands Cancer Institute (295 consecutive primary breast cancer patients; ref 46). We found that elevated levels (>75th percentile) of Notch1 mRNA were associated with poor overall survival in patients with primary breast carcinoma (Fig. 2B), confirming a

Table 1. *In vivo* analysis of the effect of sorting for CD44<sup>hi</sup>/CD24<sup>lo</sup> and CD44<sup>lo</sup>/CD24<sup>hi</sup> on the formation of brain metastases compared with unsorted 231-BR cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Mean micrometastases (median: range)</th>
<th>Mean macrometastases (median: range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsorted 231-BR</td>
<td>7/11</td>
<td>73.3 (70.5: 44–135)</td>
<td>27.7 (20: 2–48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44&lt;sup&gt;hi&lt;/sup&gt;/CD24&lt;sup&gt;lo&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5/9</td>
<td>59.4 (65: 28–119)</td>
<td>29.9 (30: 8–54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44&lt;sup&gt;lo&lt;/sup&gt;/CD24&lt;sup&gt;hi&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>44.5 (50: 8–79)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.2 (17: 1–29)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Data shown were pooled from 3 independent experiments. 1.75 × 10⁵ cells (sorted and unsorted) were injected in nude mice via the intracardiac route. Mice were sacrificed 28 days later and brains were removed for histological analysis. Numbers shown are average values from 3 sections per mouse (median value and range also displayed). CD44<sup>hi</sup>/CD24<sup>lo</sup> formed fewer micro- and macrometastases when compared with CD44<sup>lo</sup>/CD24<sup>hi</sup> or unsorted 231-BR cells. Data were analyzed using Kruskal–Wallis test.  

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05.  

<sup>b</sup>P < 0.001.
potential role for elevated Notch1 in breast cancer aggressiveness. No significant relationships were observed with Notch 2 to 4 in this data set. Based on these observations, we pursued Notch1 as a target for further study. Levels of cleaved Notch1 protein (NICD, Notch 1 intracellular domain, cleaved by γ-secretase) were increased in 231-BR cells compared with 231 parental cells (Fig. 2C), as determined by Western blotting. The data indicate that the Notch pathway is expressed, and that intracellular cleavage of Notch 1 is elevated in 231-BR cells.

We then asked whether inhibition of the Notch pathway would alter the CSC phenotype of 231-BR cells. A commercial inhibitor of γ-secretase, DAPT, was utilized. To test the activity of DAPT on the 231-BR cell line, cells were cultured in 5 μmol/L DMSO ± 5 μmol/L DAPT for 24 hours, and the mRNA levels of Notch pathway members were quantified by RT-PCR. Reductions in Notch 1 to 4 mRNA levels (Fig. 3A) were observed in response to DAPT treatment, with reductions in Notch 1, 3, and 4 reaching statistical significance.

To ask if Notch inhibition influenced the stem cell phenotype of 231-BR cells in vitro, cells were cultured in DMSO ± DAPT and the CD44/CD24 phenotypes quantified. Flow cytometric analysis revealed that the percentage of 231-BR cells with a CD44 hi/CD24 lo CD44 hi/CD24 hi CSC phenotype was reduced by about 15% (from ~92% to ~75%) in cells treated with 5 μmol/L DAPT for 24 hours compared with DMSO-treated or untreated cells (P = 0.001, ANOVA; Fig. 3B). This was accompanied by a parallel increase in CD44 hi/CD24 hi markers from ~7% to ~24%). The effect of DAPT on 231-BR proliferation in vitro was assessed using a growth curve assay (Fig. 3C). While vehicle had no significant effect on 231-BR proliferation, 5 μmol/L DAPT reduced proliferation by 54.2% and 45.1% at 24 and 48 hours of culture (P = 0.023, P < 0.0001, respectively; ANOVA). In addition, treatment of 231-BR cells with 5 μmol/L DAPT in vitro resulted in a significant reduction in mRNA levels of downstream transcriptional target Hey1 (Supplementary Fig. S2A). The data indicate that DAPT, a Notch inhibitor, reduced the stem cell phenotype of 231-BR cells in vitro with a resulting anti-proliferative effect.

Effect of Notch inhibition on 231-BR brain metastasis in vivo

Extending our findings from the in vitro setting, we examined the effect of γ-secretase inhibition, using DAPT, in vivo. 231-BR cells were injected into the left cardiac ventricle of immunodeficient mice, and mice were randomized to vehicle or 8 mg/kg 3 days on, 4 days off...
schedule) DAPT beginning on day 14 postinjection. On day 28, mice were sacrificed and brains were removed, formalin fixed and paraffin embedded. Micro- and macro-metastases were counted by 2 independent observers on 3 H&E stained sections per brain, using an ocular micrometer (Table 2), as previously described (42). Mice treated with DAPT developed a mean of 26.1/5.9 macrometastases per section, 25% lower than vehicle-treated (34.9/2.4 per section) or untreated (34.6/2.4 per section) mice (*P = 0.011, Kruskal–Wallis test). A similar reduction in micrometastases was observed for DAPT-treated mice, with 81.1/17.7 per section, a 24% reduction from 106.1/9.5 per section in the vehicle control–treated mice (*P = 0.046, Kruskal–Wallis test). Representative H&E–stained sections can be seen in Supplementary Figure S3. These data indicate that inhibition of the Notch pathway using a γ-secretase inhibitor may be a potential therapeutic avenue for treatment of brain metastases from breast cancer.

To confirm and extend these trends, Notch1 silencing via shRNA was used to investigate the role of Notch1 in multiple facets of 231-BR cellular behavior. Notch1 shRNA reduced the expression of Notch1 mRNA, as determined by quantitative RT-PCR, in a specific manner (no reduction was observed for Notch2 to 4 mRNA levels) as shown on Figure 4A, and downstream transcriptional target Hey1 (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Notch1 knockdown resulted in a reduction in expression of CSC marker phenotype, from ~90% to ~70% of cells in the population exhibiting a CD44hi/CD24lo expression pattern (with a concomitant change in the CD44hi/CD24lo from ~8.5% to ~27%), suggesting a potential role for Notch1 in the maintenance of the CSC phenotype in 231-BR cells (Fig. 4B). In vitro, Notch1 shRNA resulted in a significant reduction in cellular growth at 24, 48, and 72 hours time points (*P = 0.033, **P = 0.002, ***P = 0.009, respectively; ANOVA; Fig. 4C). To ascertain in vitro aspects of the metastatic process, invasion assays were conducted using serum gradient as an attractant. An about 60% reduction in the ability of 231-BR cells to invade Matrigel was observed with Notch knockdown (**P < 0.001, ANOVA; Fig. 4D). The effect of Notch1 knockdown on formation of brain metastases was assessed by injecting nu/nu mice with 1.75/10⁵ cells intracardiac, either 231-BR, 231-BR transiently transfected with scrambled shRNA or 231-BR transiently transfected with shNotch1. Metastases were allowed to colonize the brain for 28 days. At this time, brains were removed, formalin fixed, and paraffin embedded. Micro- and macro-metastases were counted by 2 independent observers on H&E-stained sections (Table 2; representative stained sections can be seen in Supplementary Fig. S4). Cells transfected with shNotch1 formed an
average of 5.8 ± 1.0 macrometastases per brain section, a 74% reduction as compared with cells expressing a scrambled shRNA (23.6 ± 1.5 per section) or untransfected 231-BR cells (32.6 ± 3.9 per section; P < 0.001; Kruskal–Wallis test). A similar reduction was observed in micrometastases using shRNA to Notch 1. Notch 1 shRNA transfected 231-BR cells produced a mean of 17.4 ± 2.9 micrometastases per section, a 79% decrease as compared with scrambled shRNA transfected 231-BR cells (82.7 ± 5.1 per section) or untransfected 231-BR cells (91.7 ± 9.9 per section; P < 0.001; Kruskal–Wallis test). These results extend the findings observed using γ-secretase inhibition, indicating a role for Notch signaling in the formation of brain metastases in vivo.

### Discussion

Brain metastasis from breast cancer has become the focus of recent investigations due to the inability of many current targeted therapies to effectively treat these tumors. Patients with brain metastases have very poor prognosis (2). Here we used an experimental model of breast cancer metastasis to brain, coupled with in vitro studies, to clarify mechanisms that can regulate brain metastasis. We have shown that a reduction in the proportion of CSC surface markers CD44hi/CD24lo can give rise to the formation of significantly fewer brain metastases from breast cancer. This finding is in agreement with previous work by Croker and colleagues, who showed that breast cancer cells with a CD44hi/CD24lo phenotype (albeit in addition to ALDH as a further tool for selection of the stem cell pool) displayed enhanced cell growth, colony formation, adhesion and invasion in vitro, and enhanced tumorigenicity and metastatic formation in multiple organs in NOD/SCID-IL2Rγ null mice (13). In the results reported here, the CD44hi/CD24lo subpopulation retained a considerable amount of brain metastatic activity, however, suggesting that additional markers of “stemness” may be needed. In previous studies, CD44hi/CD24lo cell subsets grown as mammospheres have been shown to be radioresistant, at DNA and cellular levels, compared with cells grown as a monolayer and the proportion of these breast cancer initiating cells increased following short courses of fractionated irradiation (47). It will be of interest to determine the radiosensitivity of these subpopulations as brain metastases are treated by both large doses (stereotactic radiation) and fractionated smaller doses (whole brain radiotherapy) of cranial irradiation.

The data presented herein provide a strong case for preclinical consideration of Notch signaling as a therapeutic target for brain metastases of breast cancer. Increased levels of cleaved Notch 1 were detected in 231-BR cells, compared with parental 231 breast cancer cells, in agreement with results shown by Nam and colleagues who isolated a brain-metastatic derivative of the MDA-MB-435 cells, Br4 (40). The authors showed activation of the Notch pathway in the Br4 cells, including elevated levels of Jag2, Hes1, and Hey1 mRNA (40). Proliferative and migratory properties of these cells in vitro were significantly reduced.
upon treatment with DAPT or Notch1 RNAi (40). In addition, we found that inhibition of Notch1 in vitro resulted in decreased cell proliferation and invasion, similar to results found by Purow and colleagues and Rose and colleagues (48, 49) and reduced expression of Notch 1 to 4 mRNA. The effect of gamma secretase inhibition on Notch mRNA expression appears to be very cell-type and context dependent. In a recent publication, Wang and colleagues showed that DAPT reduced Notch mRNA levels in the ovarian cancer cell line A2870, in a dose-dependent manner (50). The authors treated ovarian cancer cells with varying doses of DAPT and found a reduction in Notch1 mRNA, as determined by RT-PCR (data normalized to beta-actin). In addition, the authors showed a significant reduction of Notch1 mRNA expression after 6 hours, which was sustained for up to 72 hours (50). The reason for this is unclear, though the regulation of Notch transcription remains largely unknown. Some studies have shown that DAPT treatment results in decreased NFkB nuclear translocation, reducing its activity (following LPS stimulation; ref 51). Reduction in NFkB has in turn been shown to reduce Notch1 mRNA transcript levels in melanocytes (52). A reduction in Notch1 mRNA levels in response to DAPT treatment was also observed, but this did not reach statistical significance (52).

Bos and colleagues identified a 243 gene signature in a genome wide expression analysis of parental CN34 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells compared with derivatives that formed brain metastasis (53). Notch ligands, Jagged 1 and Jagged 2, were both identified as being differentially regulated in brain metastasis. This suggests, in agreement with the present study, that Notch signaling has a potential role in the formation of brain metastases from breast cancer. Similarly, Min and colleagues elucidated a panel of differentially regulated genes that may mediate breast cancer metastasis to the lung (54). Jagged 1 was also overexpressed in this study, which analyzed MDA-MB-231 and CM2 breast cancer cells selected for lung metastatic capability. However, in a gene panel of bone metastasis from breast cancer, Jagged 1 (or any Notch receptor or ligand) was not among the genes identified as potentially predicting metastasis to this site (55). It is clear that Notch signaling appears to be involved in many facets of tumor progression and may play a role in mediating metastasis not.
only to the brain, but also to additional local and distant sites.

Two approaches were used to show that Notch signaling inhibition was causally linked to brain metastatic colonization in the 231-BR in vivo model system. First, administration of DAPT, beginning 14 days postinjection, resulted in about 25% reduction in the formation of both micro- and macrometastases. Knockdown of Notch 1 using shRNA resulted in a more complete inhibition of brain metastasis, 74% to 79%. The reasons for this discrepancy in efficacy may be numerous. First, using the shRNA approach, Notch 1 signaling was inhibited from the time of tumor cell injection into the circulation, while DAPT was only administered at a later time, when multiple micrometastases and a few macrometastases are generally visible. Though our transfections were transient, Notch1 was silenced for 7 days prior to Notch1 levels returning to baseline. As our stem-like population was also a dynamic one, we felt that this was an appropriate model for comparison.

It is possible that Notch signaling inhibition is more efficacious on single tumor cells or small micrometastases, and that clinical approaches should be aimed at prevention of brain metastases rather than their shrinkage, in line with data reported for vorinostat (56). Second, the brain permeability of DAPT is not known. Previous work using the 231-BR model system has established that brain metastases have heterogeneous levels of blood-brain barrier permeability (57), which could expose some lesions with suboptimal drug levels. In agreement with our study, a recent investigation showed that inhibition of Notch1 in primary breast tumor samples and cell lines reduced tumor formation in vivo and reduced stem cell activity (ESA+ CD44+ CD24lo phenotype), though they found that inhibition of Notch4 elicited a greater effect than inhibition of Notch1 in their models (58).

Taken together, our data indicate that Notch signaling plays an important role in the formation of brain metastases from breast cancer, in part due to its role in maintaining the pool of CD44hi/CD24lo putative cancer “stem-like” cells. It remains to be determined whether the effects observed in vitro-vivo CSCs and Notch inhibition are cell type, context and/or methodology dependent. Also, rational drug combinations using brain-permeable therapies and/or radiation will be a topic of further investigation. Currently, a clinical trial is underway in patients with advanced breast cancer using a Notch inhibitor (γ-secretase inhibitor), MK0752 (www.clinicaltrials.gov; NCT00106145). Results are anticipated in 2011. Further elucidation of the characteristics of these metastases may enable the development of novel therapeutic strategies for brain metastases from breast cancer.
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