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Abstract

Overexpression of the ErbB2 receptor tyrosine kinase is prevalent in ~30% of human breast cancers and confers Taxol resistance. Our previous work has shown that ErbB2 inhibits Taxol-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells by transcriptionally up-regulating p21\textsuperscript{Cip1}. However, the mechanism of ErbB2-mediated p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} up-regulation is unclear. Here, we show that ErbB2 up-regulates p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} transcription through increased Src activity in ErbB2-overexpressing cells. Src activation further activated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) that recognizes a SIE binding site on the p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} promoter required for ErbB2-mediated p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} transcriptional up-regulation. Both Src and STAT3 inhibitors restored Taxol sensitivity in resistant ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells. Our data suggest that ErbB2 overexpression can activate STAT3 through Src leading to transcriptional up-regulation of p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} that confers Taxol resistance of breast cancer cells. Our study suggests a potential clinical application of Src and STAT3 inhibitors in Taxol sensitization of ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancers.

(Mol Cancer Res 2009;7(4):592–600)

Introduction

Overexpression of p185\textsuperscript{ErbB2} (ErbB2, HER-2, neu) occurs in ~20% to 30% of breast cancer patients and results in increased incidence of metastasis and chemoresistance (1, 2). Previously, we and others have shown that ErbB2 overexpression confers paclitaxel (Taxol) resistance in breast cancer cells (3, 4). Our further studies showed that resistance occurs through ErbB2 direct phosphorylation of the cyclin-dependent kinase p34\textsuperscript{Cdc2} in a kinase-dependent manner and transcriptional up-regulation of p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} in an ErbB2 kinase-independent manner; however, the exact mechanism of how ErbB2 transcriptionally up-regulates p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} is not yet defined (5, 6).

CDKN1A encodes a 21 kDa protein, p21\textsuperscript{Cip1}, known for its role as a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor and p53-inducible gene (7-9). However, p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} up-regulation can also occur through p53-independent mechanisms including growth factor stimulation (10). p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} partially governs the cell cycle at multiple checkpoints including the G1-S and the G2-M transitions (11). Increased levels of p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} at the G2-M transition result in a mitotic delay through the binding and inactivation of the Cdc2/cyclin B complex (6). In addition, increased p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} levels may reduce Taxol sensitivity (12).

The p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} promoter contains multiple transcription factor binding sites including SIE sequences, which are consensus binding sites for signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) proteins (9, 13). STAT proteins are a family of transcription factors found latent in the cytoplasm. On activation by both receptor and non-receptor tyrosine kinases, STATs are phosphorylated and translocated to the nucleus, thereby activating downstream genes (14). Several STAT proteins are reported to have an oncogenic role (15). Particularly, STAT3 has been shown to be persistently activated in multiple human carcinomas, including breast cancers (15, 16).

We, along with others, have shown that ErbB2 activates STAT and can signal through STAT responsive elements (17, 18). It has been reported previously that ErbB2 and STAT3 may not directly interact; however, ErbB2-mediated activation of STAT3 may occur through the participation of other non-receptor tyrosine kinases (19). Our previous studies have shown that ErbB2 overexpression leads to a Src-ErbB2 constitutive association and elevated activated Src levels (20, 21). We therefore investigated whether ErbB2-mediated Src activation may regulate activation and downstream signaling of STAT3 in breast cancer cells and whether activation of this pathway is critical for ErbB2 transcriptional up-regulation of p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} and ErbB2-mediated chemoresistance. In our study, we provide evidence to show that STAT3 activation, through ErbB2 and Src, indeed led to transcriptional up-regulation of p21\textsuperscript{Cip1} and targeting either STAT3 or Src kinase sensitized ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells to Taxol.
Results
Hyperactivated STAT3 Binds to the STAT-SIE on the p21Cip1 Promoter in ErbB2-Overexpressing Cells

To investigate the mechanism of ErbB2-mediated p21Cip1 transcriptional up-regulation, we examined the 2.4 kb promoter of p21Cip1. Among the transcription factor binding sites, we focused on the SIE1 sequence, previously identified as a STAT1/3 binding site, located at -679 to -669 bp, because we have shown previously that ErbB2 overexpression can lead to STAT3 activation (18). To directly test whether the STAT binding site on the p21Cip1 promoter was required for ErbB2-mediated transcriptional up-regulation, we generated four different p21Cip1 promoter-driven luciferase reporters with or without STAT binding site mutations: a full-length 2.4 kb wild-type promoter (pGL3-p21-2400), a 5′ truncated promoter containing the SIE1 site (pGL3-p21-741), a 5′ truncated promoter with the SIE1 sequence deleted (pGL3-p21-643ΔSIE), and a full-length promoter with SIE1 sequence mutations (pGL3-p21-2400mSIE). We transiently transfected each reporter construct into MDA-MB-435 human breast cancer cells stably overexpressing ErbB2 (435.ErbB2) or control vector (435.Vec) and measured the luciferase activity. Increased luciferase activities were detected in ErbB2 high-expressing 435.ErbB2 cells transfected with the pGL3-p21-2400 and pGL3-p21-741 promoters. In contrast, there was no increase in luciferase activity when 435.ErbB2 cells were transfected with pGL3-p21-643ΔSIE and pGL3-p21-2400mSIE (Fig. 1A), indicating that the SIE1 site is necessary for ErbB2-mediated p21 transcriptional up-regulation. Next, to assure STAT proteins are activated by ErbB2 overexpression and contribute to p21 up-regulation, we detected phosphorylated STAT3 and STAT1 in a panel of breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 1B). SKBR3, an endogenously

FIGURE 1. Constitutive activation of STAT3 in ErbB2-overexpressing cells results in p21Cip1 transcriptional up-regulation. A, Left, schematic diagram of the 5′ promoter region of the p21 gene. White boxes, STAT SIE location on promoter; black box, mutated SIE. Right, luciferase activity of extracts prepared from 435.Vec and 435.ErbB2 cells transfected with the indicated p21Cip1 promoter reporter constructs. Columns, luciferase activity standardized to vector control for each construct; bars, SD. B, Lysates from SKBR3 along with MDA-MB-435 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells stably transfected with either control vector or wild-type ErbB2 were analyzed by Western blotting with the indicated antibodies. C, Nuclear extracts were collected from ErbB2 stable transfectants and vector control cells and SKBR3 cells for electrophoretic mobility shift assay analysis. Arrow, STAT protein-DNA complexes. Asterisk, addition of non-radiolabeled competitor probe. D, Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay for SIE p21Cip1 promoter sequence using antibodies against histone and STAT3 in ErbB2-overexpressing cells. Histone and IgG immunoprecipitation served as positive and negative controls, respectively. Input represents 10% of the total.
overexpressing ErbB2 breast cancer cell line, had increased phosphorylation of STAT3 but not STAT1. Similarly, the ErbB2 transfectants 435.ErbB2 and 231.ErbB2 showed increased levels of phosphorylated STAT3 but not STAT1 compared with the ErbB2 low-expressing 435.Vec and 231.Vec cells. Furthermore, increased STAT3 phosphorylation in ErbB2-overexpressing cells correlated with increased p21tdup promoter levels. These data suggest that STAT3 is activated in ErbB2-overexpressing cells and activation of STAT3 may play a role in ErbB2-mediated p21tdup up-regulation.

STAT proteins (STAT1 and STAT3) have been shown to bind to the SIE in the p21 promoter (13, 22). To determine whether activated STAT3 in ErbB2 high-expressing cells may have increased binding to the SIE1 region on the p21tdup promoter, we performed an electrophoretic mobility shift assay. Compared to ErbB2 low-expressing cells with low STAT3 activity, elevated STAT: SIE1 DNA binding to the -685 to -665 bp region of the p21tdup promoter was detected in the ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cell lines (435.ErbB2, 231.ErbB2, and SKBR3) with activated STAT3 (Fig. 1C). To determine whether STAT3 bound to the p21tdup promoter in these cells in vivo, we performed a chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. Chromatin fragments were immunoprecipitated from ErbB2 high-expressing cell lines using anti-rabbit IgG, anti-histone, or anti-STAT3 antibodies. PCR of coprecipitated DNA using primers corresponding to the SIE1 site of p21Cip1 promoter detected signals from the anti-histone positive control and anti-STAT3 precipitated DNA but not from anti-rabbit IgG (Fig. 1D). These data indicated that high ErbB2 expression results in increased STAT3: SIE1 DNA-binding activity, which correlated with increased p21tdup protein expression.

STAT3 Is Required for ErbB2-Mediated p21tdup Transcriptional Up-Regulation

To evaluate whether STAT3 was required for p21tdup up-regulation, we transfected ErbB2 high-expressing 435.ErbB2 and 231.ErbB2 cells with STAT3 antisense or control mismatch oligonucleotides. STAT3 protein levels were decreased in antisense-treated cells, which corresponded to decreased p21tdup protein levels (Fig. 2A). To further investigate whether inhibition of STAT3 expression led to reduced p21tdup expression at the transcriptional level, we treated ErbB2 high-expressing cells with STAT3 antisense or mismatch oligonucleotides and cotransfected with the 2.4 kb wild-type p21 promoter reporter gene. Luciferase assays showed decreased p21tdup promoter activity by STAT3 antisense treatment compared with mismatch-treated control cells (Fig. 2B). These experiments revealed that both the STAT3 protein and the SIE1 binding site on the p21tdup promoter are required for ErbB2-mediated p21tdup transcriptional up-regulation in breast cancer cells.

ErbB2, Src, and STAT3 Form a Complex in ErbB2-Overexpressing Cells

Our laboratory has shown that Src is activated and constitutively associated with the ErbB2 receptor in ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells (20). Src has been implicated to activate STAT in mammary epithelial cells (23, 24). To investigate whether the activated Src in ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells is involved in STAT3 activation, we first examined whether ErbB2, STAT3, and Src may coexist in a heterocomplex. Immunoprecipitation of ErbB2, STAT3, or Src in 435.ErbB2 cells followed by Western blotting of ErbB2, STAT3, and Src detected a heterocomplex containing the three proteins (Fig. 3A). A similar result was also seen in SKBR3 cells (Fig. 3B). The data suggest that formation of the signaling complex consisting of ErbB2, Src, and STAT3 in ErbB2-overexpressing cells may contribute to ErbB2-mediated up-regulation of p21Cip1.

Src Is Required for p21Cip1 Up-Regulation in ErbB2-Overexpressing Cells

To determine whether ErbB2-mediated Src activation was required for ErbB2-mediated STAT3 activation and subsequent p21Cip1 up-regulation, we treated ErbB2-overexpressing cells (SKBR3 and 435.ErbB2) with the Src family kinase inhibitor PP2 and examined STAT3 phosphorylation and p21Cip1 expression. Treatment of both SKBR3 and 435.ErbB2 cells with PP2 resulted in a decrease of STAT3 phosphorylation and reduction in p21Cip1 protein levels when compared with PP3 control-treated cells (Fig. 4A). To determine whether PP2 treatment reduced p21 expression at the transcriptional level via inhibition...
of STAT3, we cotransfected the wild-type 2.4 kb p21 promoter (pGL3-p21-2400) or the SIE STAT3 binding site mutant p21 promoter (pGL3-p21-2400mSIE)–driven luciferase reporters into 435.ErbB2 and SKBR3 cells that were treated with the Src inhibitor, PP2, or the control chemical, PP3. We found that PP2 repressed pGL3-p21-2400 promoter activity, whereas PP2 had no effect on pGL3-p21-2400mSIE promoter activity (Fig. 4B). In addition to chemical inhibitors, we cotransfected 435.ErbB2 and SKBR3 cells with a dominant-negative Src mutant or empty vector as control and repeated the assays described in Fig. 4A and B. Similar to PP2 treatment, dominant-negative Src mutant resulted in decreased phosphorylated STAT3, decreased total p21Cip1 protein levels, and reduced p21Cip1 promoter-driven luciferase activities compared with vector control transfection in ErbB2-overexpressing cells (Fig. 4C and D).

STAT3 Inhibition Restores Taxol Sensitivity in ErbB2-Overexpressing Cells

Our results indicated that ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells up-regulate p21Cip1 through activation of STAT3. We have shown p21Cip1 up-regulation is important for ErbB2-mediated Taxol resistance (6). Therefore, we examined whether inhibiting STAT3 DNA binding by a STAT3 inhibitory peptide would sensitize ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells to Taxol treatment. To validate the efficacy of the STAT3 peptide inhibitor, we performed an electrophoretic mobility shift assay using a STAT3 binding consensus sequence as a DNA probe and nuclear lysates collected from STAT3 inhibitor-treated and control-treated 435.ErbB2 (Fig. 5A, top) and SKBR3 (Fig. 5A, bottom) cells. We observed a dramatic decrease in STAT3 DNA binding in STAT3 inhibitor peptide-treated cells, indicating that the STAT3 inhibitor effectively inhibited STAT3 binding to DNA (Fig. 5A). Next, ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells were treated with either control peptide or STAT3 inhibitor peptide. The treatment resulted in a decrease in p21Cip1 protein levels (Fig. 5B, inset). Both 435.ErbB2 and SKBR3 cell lines treated with STAT3 inhibitor peptide plus Taxol showed more effective inhibition of cell growth when compared with control peptide plus Taxol-treated cells (Fig. 5B). Therefore, inhibition of STAT3 can sensitize ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells to Taxol treatment.

Src Inhibition Restores Taxol Sensitivity in ErbB2-Overexpressing Cells

Because STAT3 is activated in ErbB2-overexpressing cells through Src activation, we investigated whether inhibiting Src activation may also sensitize ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells to Taxol. 435.ErbB2 cells were treated with a clinically applicable Src inhibitor, AZD0530, or with DMSO solvent as a control (25). AZD0530 effectively inhibited Src phosphorylation without altering Src protein expression level (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, STAT3 phosphorylation was also reduced by AZD0530 treatment. Moreover, AZD0530 treatment reduced p21Cip1 expression (Fig. 6A). Similar results were also seen in SKBR3 cells (data not shown). To confirm Src inhibition by AZD0530 disrupted STAT3 binding to the p21Cip1 promoter, we performed a chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. PCR of

FIGURE 3. ErbB2, Src, and STAT3 form a complex in ErbB2-overexpressing cells. Whole-cell lysates from (A) 435.ErbB2 or (B) SKBR3 cells were immunoprecipitated (IP) with the indicated antibodies followed by immunoblot analysis for ErbB2, STAT3, and Src. Input lanes represent 10% of total protein immunoprecipitated. Asterisk, IgG heavy chain.
STAT3 immunoprecipitated chromatin fragments indicated that AZD0530 treatment dramatically reduced STAT3 binding to the SIE site of the p21Cip1 promoter compared with DMSO-treated cells (Fig. 6B). We then treated the cells with AZD0530, Taxol, or AZD0530 plus Taxol. We found that AZD0530 sensitized the cells to Taxol treatment in both 435.ErbB2 and SKBR3 cells (Fig. 6C). Thus, Src inhibition in combination with Taxol treatment can more effectively inhibit ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cell proliferation.

**Discussion**

Our data showed that ErbB2 increases p21Cip1 levels by transcriptional up-regulation. ErbB2-mediated transcriptional up-regulation of target genes can occur through the increased activation of transcription factors, such as STAT (26-29). In our model system, high expression of ErbB2 led to increased activated phosphorylation of STAT3 mediated by Src kinase downstream of ErbB2. Mutation of the SIE1 STAT3 binding site in the p21Cip1 promoter decreased p21Cip1 promoter activity. Likewise, inhibition of STAT3 or Src kinase activity reduced p21 expression at the transcriptional level and sensitized ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells to Taxol treatment. Our data showed the requirement for STAT3 and Src activity in ErbB2-mediated p21Cip1 up-regulation and therapeutic resistance.

Previous studies have shown that STAT3 activation by Src is required for Src-mediated transformation (30-32). Constitutive activation of STAT3 by overexpression of ErbB2 has also been reported (19, 29, 33-35); however, the role of ErbB2-mediated
Src activation leading to STAT3 activation and subsequent p21Cip1 up-regulation is unique to our study. Our data showed that ErbB2, Src, and STAT3 are associated in a complex in ErbB2-overexpressing cells. Src association with STAT3 provides a previously unknown link between ErbB2 and STAT3 activation. Our data support a model whereby formation of this signaling complex consisting of ErbB2, Src, and STAT3 may drive constitutive expression of downstream targets.

Although the SIE1 site on the p21Cip1 promoter had originally been identified as a STAT1 binding site (13), others have reported that the SIE1 site on the p21Cip1 promoter may bind STAT3 (36-39). These differences could be a result of different cell backgrounds. In our system, both chromatin immunoprecipitation and Western blot experiments indicated that STAT3 bound the p21Cip1 promoter at the SIE1 site in ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells. Furthermore, when STAT3 protein was decreased or inhibited, p21Cip1 expression and promoter activity was reduced. This indicated that STAT3 and the SIE1-STAT binding site are both required to drive transcription of the p21Cip1 gene in response to ErbB2 overexpression.

Increased activation of STAT3 has been shown to lead to chemotherapeutic resistance due to STAT3 up-regulation of Bcl-2, which resulted in apoptotic resistance of Taxol-treated cells (40). The essential role of STAT3 in oncogenesis supports the use of STAT-targeting inhibitors in combination with conventional chemotherapy; however, clinically relevant STAT inhibitors are not available. An alternative target in this pathway is Src kinase, which has been well documented to be associated with increased phosphorylation and activation of STAT3. Interestingly, in our model system, we observed a modest decrease in STAT3 phosphorylation after Src inhibition by AZD0530 treatment. A recent report showed that another Src inhibitor, dasatinib, reduced STAT3 phosphorylation levels in only one of the lung cancer cell lines tested, whereas phosphorylation of STAT3 was not affected in the other cell lines (41). It has also been shown that dasatinib treatment in multiple cancer cell lines led to reduced STAT3 phosphorylation levels initially; however, after long-term treatment, STAT3 phosphorylation levels returned to basal levels, although Src was inhibited (42). This was explained by the activation of compensatory pathways (42). This may explain why AZD0530 as a single agent produced modest cell growth inhibition in our model system. Nevertheless, we have shown that AZD0530 treatment led to decreased STAT3 binding to the p21 promoter that corresponded with the sensitization to Taxol treatment. Together, our new findings showed that Src-mediated activation of STAT3 up-regulated p21Cip1 and this pathway is involved in Taxol resistance of ErbB2-overexpressing cells. The findings further provide a strong rationale for using either STAT3 or Src inhibition to sensitize Taxol response, especially in ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells.

In conclusion, our data provided evidence on the pivotal role of Src-mediated STAT3 activation and subsequent p21Cip1 up-regulation in Taxol resistance of ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells. Blockade of STAT3 DNA-binding activity and inhibition of Src activation led to decreased p21Cip1 expression and restoration of Taxol sensitivity. Currently in the oncology field, combinational targeted therapy is an area of intense investigation (43-45). Because the Src inhibitor, AZD0530, is currently used in clinical trials (25), patients with Taxol resistant tumors due to deregulation of the ErbB2-Src-STAT3-p21Cip1 pathway may benefit from personalized cancer care using combination treatment of Taxol plus AZD0530 to target this pathway.

![Graph](https://example.com/graph.png)

**FIGURE 5.** Inhibition of STAT3 sensitized ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells to Taxol. **A.** 435.ErbB2 and SKBR3 cells were treated with 50 μmol/L STAT3 inhibitory peptide or control peptide for 24 h. Nuclear extracts were then subjected to electrophoretic mobility shift assay. Band shifts indicated a STAT3 protein-DNA complex. Oct-1 protein-DNA binding serves as a loading control. **B.** 435.ErbB2 and SKBR3 cells were treated with control (CP) or STAT3 inhibitor peptide (SP; 100 μmol/L for 435.ErbB2 and 50 μmol/L for SKBR3) for 12 h. Cells were then treated with different concentrations of Taxol for 24 h. Cell growth inhibition was determined by MTS assay. Bars, SD. Inset, representative Western blot for p21Cip1 and β-actin (loading control) from cells treated with either control or STAT3 inhibitor peptide treatment (**, P = 0.004; *, P = 0.016, t-test).
Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Reagents

Human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and SKBR3 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and the MDA-MB-435 cell line was obtained from Dr. Janet Price (M. D. Anderson Cancer Center). There is evidence suggesting MDA-MB-435 is not a breast cancer-derived cell line; however, we have shown that MDA-MB-435 is most likely a breast epithelial cell line that has undergone lineage infidelity (46, 47). The vector control and wild-type erbB2 stable transfectants, 435. Vec/435.ErbB2, were generated as described previously (48) and 231.Vec/231.ErbB2 was a generous gift from Dr. Patricia Steeg (NIH). All cells, except SKBR3, were grown in DMEM/F-12 (50/50) and supplemented with 8% fetal bovine serum. SKBR3 cells were grown in McCoy’s 5A medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 5% L-glutamine.

Inhibitors used include Src family kinase inhibitor 4-amino-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-7-(t-butyl)pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine (PP2) or control 4-amino-7-phenylpyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine (PP3) for a final concentration of 50 μmol/L for 24 h (Calbiochem). The Src/Abl kinase inhibitor N-(5-chloro-1,3-benzodioxol-4-yl)-7-[2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethoxy]-5-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)oxazolin-4-amine (AZD0530) was a gift from AstraZeneca.

Plasmid Constructs

The pGL3-p21-2400 plasmid was generated by digestion of the WWP-Luc plasmid (9, 49) to yield the 2.4 kb p21Cip1 promoter region that was cloned into pGL3-basic vector (Promega). pGL3-p21-741 and pGL3-p21-643ΔSIE plasmid were made by PCR amplification of the pGL3-p21-2400 plasmid using primers 5′-AATTCTTCTGTTTCCCTGGAGATCA-3′ and 5′-TTTTTGCGTCTTCCATGGTGGCTTT-3′ and 5′-CTTAAGTTCCAGTGGACCTCAATTT-3′ and 5′-TTTTGCGTCTTCCATGGTGGCTTT-3′, respectively, and cloned into pGL3-basic vector. pGL3-p21-2400mSIE plasmid was constructed using the QuickChange Site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) with primers 5′-CTCCAATTCCCTCCAAAGCTTGAAGCATG TGACAATC-3′ and 5′-GATTGTCACATGCTTCAAGCTTGGAGGGAATTGGAG-3′. The plasmid dominant-negative Src mutant has been described previously (21).

Luciferase Assays

Cells were grown to 60% confluence in six-well plates. Cells were then transfected with 0.5 μg of indicated plasmid and 0.05 μg of control Renilla plasmid using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). Luciferase assays were done 24 h post-transfection using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter System (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Western Blot and Immunoprecipitation Analysis

Total cell lysates were collected in immunoprecipitation lysis buffer [20 mmol/L Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mmol/L, NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 1 mmol/L EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 2.5 mmol/L sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mmol/L β-glycerophosphate, 1 mmol/L sodium orthovanadate, protease inhibitor cocktail, and 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride]. Lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by transfer to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were incubated with the following antibodies: ErbB2 (Calbiochem); STAT1, STAT3, phospho-STAT3-pY705, and anti-p21 Cip1 (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-Src (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and β-actin (Sigma). Secondary antibody detection used Alexa Fluor Fig. 6. Inhibition of Src kinase sensitized ErbB2-overexpressing breast cancer cells to Taxol. A. 435.ErbB2 cells were treated as indicated for 18 h. Cell lysates were collected and analyzed by Western blot analysis for the indicated antibodies. Numbers, relative intensity of each band compared with the nontreated (NT) control. Total STAT3 was used as the loading control for both phospho-STAT3-Y705 and p21. B. 435.ErbB2 cells were treated with DMSO (control) or AZD0530 for 18 h. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay for SIE p21Cip1 promoter sequence was done using antibodies against histone and STAT3. IgG and histone immunoprecipitation served as negative and positive controls, respectively. Input represents 10% of the total. C. 435.ErbB2 and SKBR3 cells were treated with DMSO only or AZD0530 for 18 h. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay for SIE p21Cip1 promoter sequence was done using antibodies against histone and p21. Cell growth inhibition was determined by MTS assay at 36 h. Results were normalized to DMSO controls (**, P = 0.002; *, P = 0.013, t-test).
secondary antibodies (Invitrogen/Molecular Probes). Proteins were detected using the LI-COR Odyssey detection system.

For immunoprecipitation experiments, 500 μg protein was precleared with 30 μL protein G-agarose beads for 1 h at 4°C. Immunoprecipitation was done using 5 μg isotype IgG control (Millipore) or the indicated primary antibody at 4°C overnight followed by incubation with 40 μL protein G-agarose beads for 4 h. Immunocomplexes were washed with lysis buffer and immunoprecipitates were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay

Cell membranes were lysed [10 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.9), 10 mmol/L KCl, 0.1 mmol/L EDTA, 0.4% NP-40] and nuclear extracts were prepared in nuclear extraction buffer [20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.9), 0.4 mol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA]. Nuclear extracts (5 μg) were incubated in a reaction mixture containing a double-stranded, 5′-end radiolabeled, oligonucleotide sequence derived from the SIE1 site on the p21Cip1 promoter, 5′-AGCTCCTTCCCCAGAGCATG-3′. Cold competitor reactions included 2 pmol unlabeled double-stranded probe that was incubated for 30 min at room temperature in a 50-fold molar excess to the radiolabeled probe. All reactions were then incubated for 15 min at 37°C. Reaction complexes were resolved on a 5% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel, dried, and exposed to film overnight at -80°C.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assays

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays were done based on the protocol from the chromatin immunoprecipitation assay kit (Millipore) with minor modifications. Briefly, soluble chromatin solutions from 1% formaldehyde fixed and sonicated cells were precleared and then immunoprecipitated with 5 μg iso-
type IgG, histone H1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or STAT3 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies overnight at 4°C. Im-
immune complexes were precipitated with protein Sepharose A beads (GE Healthcare). Complexes were washed extensively and eluted, and proteins were removed.

Coprecipitated DNA (2 μL) was analyzed by PCR (30–35 cycles) using specific primers, 5′-AATCTCTGTCTCCCTG-
GAGATCA-3′ and 5′-AAATTAGGTCCACTGAAAATAG-3′, to the STAT3 binding region (SIE1) of the p21Cip1 promoter. PCR products were resolved on a 2% TAE agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide.

STAT3 Antisense

Oligonucleotides against STAT3 were synthesized using phospho-isothiolate modifications (indicated by lowercase as follows: mismatch oligonucleotide 5′-aaaAAGAGGCTT-
GATGgCc-3′ and antisense oligonucleotide 5′-aaaAGTGCC-
CCAGATGtCcC-3′). Cells were grown to 40% confluence on day 1 and transfected twice (days 1 and 3) with 200 nmol/L of either mismatch or antisense oligonucleotide in serum-free Opti-MEM using Lipofectamine Plus (Invitrogen). Cells were harvested in lysis buffer on day 5.

Taxol Sensitivity and Cell Proliferation Assay

SKBR3 and 435.ErbB2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 5 × 10^3 per well in 100 μL culture medium. After 24 h of adherence, medium was aspirated and replaced with medium containing control or STAT3 inhibitor peptide (Calbiochem) concentrations of 100 μmol/L for 435.ErbB2 and 50 μmol/L for SKBR3 continuing for additional 12 h. Cells were then treated with different concentration of paclitaxel (Taxol) for 24 h. For Src inhibition, cells were treated with 2 μmol/L AZD0530 for 12 h followed by Taxol treatment for 24 h. Viable cells were determined by CellTiter 96 Aqueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Promega).
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