Caveolin-1 Promotes Autoregulatory, Akt-Mediated Induction of Cancer-Promoting Growth Factors in Prostate Cancer Cells
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Abstract
Caveolin-1 (cav-1) and the cancer-promoting growth factors vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-β1), and fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) are often found to be upregulated in advanced prostate cancer and other malignancies. However, the relationship between cav-1 overexpression and growth factor upregulation remains unclear. This report presents, to our knowledge, the first evidence that in prostate cancer cells, a positive autoregulatory feedback loop is established in which VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 upregulate cav-1, and cav-1 expression, in turn, leads to increased levels of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 mRNA and protein, resulting in enhanced invasive activities of prostate cancer cells, i.e., migration and motility. Our results further show that cav-1–enhanced mRNA stability is a major mechanism underlying the upregulation of these cancer-promoting growth factors, and that PI3-K-Akt signaling is required for forming this positive autoregulatory feedback loop. (Mol Cancer Res 2009;7(11): 1781–91)

Introduction
Prostate cancer is one of the most common types of cancers and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in American men (1). In most cases, death from prostate cancer results from metastatic disease. Understanding the mechanisms underlying the progression of prostate cancer will facilitate the development of biomarkers and novel therapeutic strategies to control this devastating malignancy.

Caveolin-1 (cav-1) is a major structural component of caveolae, specialized plasma membrane invaginations that are involved in molecular transport, endocytosis, cell adhesion, and signal transduction (2, 3). The role of cav-1 in cancer is complex and remains somewhat controversial (reviewed in refs. 4-7). We previously found that elevated expression of cav-1 is associated with human prostate cancer, correlated with tumor angiogenesis, and may function as a valuable prognostic marker (8-12). In alignment with a growing body of clinical data that showed upregulation of cav-1 expression in various types of malignancies and multidrug-resistant tumor cells (reviewed in refs. 4, 6, 7), experimental results showed that suppression of cav-1 expression reverses androgen insensitivity in metastatic androgen-insensitive mouse prostate cancer cells (13) and that genetic ablation of cav-1 attenuates the development and progression of prostate tumors in TRAMP mice (14). We previously showed that cav-1 was secreted by mouse and human prostate cancer cell lines and that secreted cav-1 promoted cancer cell survival and clonal growth in vitro (15-17). We further showed that tumor cells–secreted cav-1 promotes proangiogenic activities in prostate cancer through the PI3-K-Akt-eNOS signaling module (18). With regard to the underlying mechanism(s) responsible for cav-1–mediated oncogenic activities, we showed that cav-1 maintains activated Akt in prostate cancer cells through binding to and inhibition of the serine/threonine protein phosphatases PP1 and PP2A (15).

Of note, multiple growth factors (GF) with tumor-promoting activities, including vascular endothelial GF (VEGF), transforming GF β1 (TGF-β1), and fibroblast GFs (FGF), are also upregulated in advanced cancer (19-22). VEGF is one of the key mediators of angiogenesis that is produced at high levels in many types of tumors; it promotes proliferation, survival, and migration of endothelial cells and is essential to blood vessel formation and neovascularization (23, 24). TGF-β1 is a potent regulator of cell proliferation and extracellular matrix remodeling, with tumor-suppressor functions in the normal prostate gland and tumor-promoter functions in malignant and metastatic prostate cancer (25, 26). FGF2 is expressed at increased levels in human prostate, bladder, renal, and testicular cancers and plays an important role in the neovascularization process that occurs in inflammation, angioproliferative diseases, and tumor growth (27, 28). The intricate balance of these GFs is crucial to the regulation of normal cell growth. Disruption of normal GF homeostasis in malignancy is often associated with apoptotic evasion, uncontrolled proliferation, and increased invasive potential. Various mechanisms are reported to be involved in deregulation of these GFs in cancer cells, including transcriptional regulation (29-31) and alteration of mRNA stability (32-35). The potential association of cav-1...
and cancer-promoting GFs in malignant progression prompted us to investigate whether cav-1 and expression of specific cancer-promoting GFs are functionally and mechanistically linked in prostate cancer progression. In this study, we found that multiple GFs stimulate cav-1 expression in prostate cancer cells and that cav-1 expression leads to increased levels of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 and enhances cancer cell migration and motility in an Akt-dependent manner. Furthermore, we found that cav-1–enhanced mRNA stability is a major mechanism for the upregulation of these cancer-promoting GFs.

Results

Induction of Cav-1 Expression and Secretion by GFs

Because overexpression of specific cancer-promoting GFs occurs in parallel with cav-1 overexpression in many types of malignancies, including prostate cancer, we sought to determine whether there was any potential correlation between cav-1 and these GFs. We first examined the ability of selected GFs to stimulate cav-1 expression. Since our early observations suggested that GF treatment does not stimulate cav-1 expression in early passage (cav-1 negative) LNCaP cells, a cav-1–expressing LNCaP variant, LNCaP (c+), which expresses low–moderate levels of cav-1, and DU145 and PC-3, which express high levels of cav-1, were used for this part of the study (see Fig. 1A and the insert in Fig. 1B for the relative cav-1 levels in these three cell lines). Treatment with multiple GFs, including platelet-derived GF (PDGF), nerve GF (NGF), FGF1, FGF2, epidermal GF (EGF), TGF-β1, and VEGF, led to significantly increased cav-1 protein levels in LNCaP (c+) cells (1.5- to 3-fold) and slightly increased cav-1 protein levels in DU145 and PC-3 cells (Fig. 1A and B). Similar to the low–cav-1 LNCaP (c+), a cav-1 antisense stable mouse prostate cancer cell clone ABAC3 (13) also showed induction of cav-1 expression and secretion by GFs (Supplementary Fig. S1).

We previously found that tumor cell–secreted cav-1 stimulates cell survival and clonal growth and contributes to angiogenesis and metastasis (17,18), so it was also of interest to determine whether these GFs can also increase cav-1 secretion. As shown in Fig. 1C and D, with the exception of NGF, treatment with the GFs also led to increased levels of secreted cav-1 in LNCaP (c+) cells (1.5- to 2.0-fold). Although the GFs had only minor effects on the cav-1 expression in high–cav-1 prostate cancer cells DU145 and PC-3, they significantly increased cav-1 secretion from these two cell lines (1.5 to 3.2-fold; Fig. 1C and D).

Cav-1 Expression Stimulates Expression of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2

Because multiple GFs are capable of inducing cav-1 expression and cav-1 upregulation occurs in focal areas of prostate...
cancer (10), we considered the possibility that cav-1 generates a positive-feedback, autoregulatory loop involving cav-1–stimulated GFs. We selected VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 from the panel of GFs that stimulate cav-1 expression on the basis of their previously established importance in prostate cancer progression and focused on these specific GFs in subsequent experiments. As shown in Fig. 2, overexpression of cav-1 in cav-1–negative, low-passage LNCaP prostate cancer cells using adenoviral vector–mediated gene transduction (Fig. 2A) led to significantly increased levels of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 mRNA (Fig. 2B) and protein (Fig. 3A). In contrast, when endogenous cav-1 in high-cav-1 PC-3 and DU145 prostate cancer cell lines was knocked down by cav-1 small interfering RNA (siRNA; Fig. 2C), mRNA levels of cav-1 in cav-1 siRNA– or NC siRNA-transfected PC-3 and DU145 cells. 

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**FIGURE 2.** Cav-1 upregulates mRNA levels of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR analysis for mRNA levels of cav-1, VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 in cav-1–manipulated prostate cancer cells. Columns, mean; bars, SD. *, statistically significant, P < 0.05. A, mRNA levels of cav-1 in Adcav-1– and AdRSV-infected LNCaP cells. B, mRNA levels of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 in Adcav-1– and AdRSV-infected LNCaP cells. C, mRNA levels of cav-1 in cav-1 siRNA– or NC siRNA-transfected PC-3 and DU145 cells. D, mRNA levels of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 in cav-1 siRNA– or NC siRNA-transfected PC-3 and DU145 cells.

To confirm the relationship between cav-1 and the expression of these cancer-promoting GFs, we used an LNCaP tet-on stable clone (LNTB25cav) to establish LNTB25cav subcutaneous xenografts as previously described (18). Immunohistochemical analysis of tumor tissues from the doxycycline + sucrose– and sucrose only–treated mice showed that the induction of cav-1 in the LNTB25cav xenografts resulted in increased expression of VEGF and TGF-β1 (Fig. 3D). Thus, our in vivo data validated our in vitro results that show increased VEGF and TGF-β1 levels in cav-1–overexpressing cancer cells. We did not include FGF2 immunohistochemical analysis of tumor tissues owing to low FGF2 protein level in the LNTB25cav tumors.

**Akt Activation Is Involved in Cav-1–Mediated Upregulation of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2**

We reported previously that overexpression of cav-1 in cav-1–negative LNCaP cells through adenoviral vector–mediated gene transduction led to significantly increased levels of phosphorylated Akt, which in turn led to enhanced cancer.
cell survival (15). We wondered whether cav-1–mediated Akt activities are also associated with the expression of cancer-promoting GFs in prostate cancer cells. As we reported previously, overexpression of cav-1 in cav-1–negative LNCaP cells resulted in significantly increased levels of phosphorylated Akt, whereas the phosphorylation status of the c-Jun-NH₂-kinase (JNK), p44/p42, and p38 pathways remained relatively unchanged (Fig. 4A). In contrast, suppression of endogenous cav1 using cav-1–specific siRNA in the high–cav-1 prostate cancer cell lines PC-3 and DU145 reduced the levels of phosphorylated Akt, whereas the activities of JNK, p44/42, and p38 were still relatively unchanged (Fig. 4B). To address whether Akt is involved in cav-1–mediated upregulation of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2, we suppressed Akt activities in LNCaP cells using the PI3-K inhibitor LY294002 (LY) 3 hours after the cells were transduced with cav-1–expressing adenoviral vector. The results showed that the treatment with LY effectively inhibited cav-1–mediated Akt activation and largely if not completely eliminated cav-1–mediated upregulation of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 (Fig. 4B). Note that LY also suppressed activity of endogenous Akt, leading to lower levels of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 (Fig. 4B, compare AdRSV+LY to AdRSV).

Cav-1 Enhances Cancer Cell Motility in an Akt-Dependent Manner

Cell survival and invasion are two important components of cancer progression. Previously, we reported that endogenously expressed and/or secreted cav-1 enhances prostate cancer cell survival and promotes angiogenic activities of endothelial cells (15-18). In this study, we tested the effects of cav-1 on cancer cell motility. Using a wound-healing assay, we showed that Adcav-1–mediated overexpression of cav-1 in cav-1–negative LNCaP cells increased the number of cells ~60% that migrated into the cleared area compared with the number of AdRSV-infected LNCaP cells (Fig. 5A and B). Conversely, suppression of endogenous cav-1 with cav-1 siRNA in high–cav-1 DU145 and PC-3 prostate cancer cells reduced cell migration 30% to 40% compared with that in corresponding control cells that were transfected with NC siRNA (Fig. 5A and B). Treatment with LY effectively blocked cancer cell migration in Adcav-1–transduced LNCaP cells (Fig. 5A and B), demonstrating that the PI3-K-Akt pathway plays an important role in cav-1–mediated cancer cell migration (potentially through VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2).
To extend our results on the role of cav-1 in prostate cancer cell migration, we performed Transwell chamber assays. The results showed that enforced expression of cav-1 in cav-1–negative LNCaP cells nearly doubled cancer cell migration through the chamber membrane relative to that of the empty-vector control cells (Fig. 5C and D). In contrast, suppression of endogenous cav-1 in DU145 and PC-3 cells using cav-1 siRNA reduced cancer cell migration through the chamber membrane approximately 40% to 50% relative to that of the NC siRNA control cells (Fig. 5C and D). As it did in the wound-healing assay, treatment with LY effectively blocked cancer cell migration through the chamber membranes (Fig. 5C and D).

Cav-1 Upregulates VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 through Akt-Mediated Maintenance of mRNA Stability

Regulation of GF expression is complex because it is controlled by steroid hormones, oncogenes, extracellular stresses, transcriptional factors, and GFs themselves. Mechanistically, GF regulation may occur at the transcriptional or posttranscriptional level. To gain insight into cav-1 stimulation of tumor-promoting GFs, we first examined the effect of cav-1 expression on VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 promoter activities in cav-1–manipulated prostate cancer cells using a dual luciferase reporter assay system. We found, unexpectedly, that cav-1 did not alter the promoter activities of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2, either in LNTB25cav cells when cav-1 expression was induced by doxycycline (Fig. 6A) or in high–cav-1 DU145 (Fig. 6B) and PC-3 (Fig. 6C) cells when cav-1 was suppressed by cav-1 siRNA. Given these results, we considered the possibility of cav-1 effects on mRNA stability of these GFs. A time course study following the incubation of cells with the transcriptional inhibitor actinomycin revealed that induction of cav-1 expression in LNTB25cav cells significantly increased mRNA stabilities of VEGF and TGF-β1 and showed a clear trend of increased mRNA stability of FGF2 (Fig. 7A, top). In contrast, suppression of cav-1 expression in high–cav-1 DU145 and PC-3 prostate cancer cells using cav-1 siRNA significantly reduced mRNA stability of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 (Fig. 7A, middle and bottom). Thus, our data show that cav-1–mediated, enhanced mRNA stability is a major mechanism for the upregulation of these cancer-promoting GFs. Importantly, our results also indicate that PI3-K-Akt signaling is required for cav-1–mediated, enhanced VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 mRNA stability, because the PI3-K inhibitor LY abolished these cav-1–induced, or sustained, activities in LNTB25cav cells (Fig. 7A, top) or in high–cav-1 DU145 and PC-3 (Fig. 7A, middle and bottom), respectively.

Together, our in vitro and in vivo data provide the first evidence to our knowledge that cav-1 increases levels of cancer-promoting GFs and enhances cancer progression through PI3-K-Akt–mediated maintenance of mRNA stability.

Discussion

Overexpression of cav-1 and cancer-promoting GFs is frequently observed in advanced prostate cancer and many other types of malignancies (4, 6, 23, 25, 28, 36, 37). In addition, increased levels of tissue and serum cav-1 and specific GFs
have prognostic potential for prostate cancer progression (10, 22, 38-40). Previous studies suggested that cav-1 and cancer-promoting GFs may collaborate in the progression of prostate cancer, although evidence is lacking (12). We showed in this study that multiple GFs, including VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2, can upregulate cav-1 expression and secretion in prostate cancer cells, and cav-1 expression can, in turn, increase cellular levels and secretion of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2. Our in vitro data together with LNTB25cav xenograft data support those of our previous in vivo studies, in which we found that cav-1–expressing tumors had significantly higher tumor weight and significantly increased microvessel density (18). In addition, our results using two complementary assays showed that cav-1 overexpression leads to enhanced prostate cancer cell migration. This new information, together with our previous data (15-18), shows that upregulated cav-1 expression can promote cancer cell survival, angiogenesis, and invasion, all critical factors for cancer progression.

We noted that there were some apparently inconsistent observations regarding the effect of GFs on cav-1 expression in the literature. For example, earlier reports showed that VEGF and basic FGF reduced cav-1 expression in ECV304, a human umbilical vein endothelial cell line (41), and that chronic EGF treatment resulted in transcriptional downregulation of cav-1 in A431, a human epidermoid carcinoma cell line (42). The causes for such diverse observations remain unclear at this stage; however, context-dependent GF effects on cav-1 expression, including origin of cells, endogenous cav-1 levels, and concentrations of GFs used, could be a possible explanation.

We showed, mechanistically, that cav-1 mediates upregulation of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 and promotes cancer cell migration through Akt signaling. Furthermore, we showed that cav-1 upregulates VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 through Akt-dependent increased mRNA stability. We believe that these results provide the first evidence, to our knowledge, that cav-1 and specific cancer-promoting GFs form a positive autoregulatory

![FIGURE 5. Cav-1 promotes cancer cell migration/motility. A and B. Wound healing assay. A. Representative images showing increased cell migration to the wounded area (clear area, defined by dashed lines) in Adcav-1–infected LNCaP cells and decreased cell migration to the wounded area in cav-1 siRNA–transfected DU145 and PC-3 cells. PI3-K-Akt inhibitor LY effectively blocked cancer cell migration. B. Quantitative analysis of triplicate wound healing assay experiments. B and D. Transwell chamber assays. C. Representative images showing increased transwell cell migration in Adcav-1–infected LNCaP cells and decreased migration in cav-1 siRNA–transfected DU145 and PC-3 cells. LY significantly reduced transwell cell migration. D. Quantitative analysis of triplicate Transwell migration assay experiments. Columns, mean (B and D); bars, SD, *, for the comparison to control AdRSV or NC siRNA; ♦, for the comparison of Adcav-1 + LY with Adcav-1. * or ♦, statistically significant (P < 0.05); ** or ♦♦, statistically very significant (P < 0.0001).]
feedback loop in which GFs upregulate cav-1 expression, and cav-1 expression, in turn, leads to increased mRNA stability of the same GFs, leading to the enhanced survival and invasive activities of prostate cancer cells.

The role of cav-1 in cancer is complex and continues to be somewhat controversial (4–7). However, a growing body of evidence indicates that cav-1 is upregulated in many types of human cancer and in several multidrug-resistant and metastatic cancer cell lines. In many of these studies, cav-1 was shown to correlate with aggressive disease (reviewed in refs. 4, 6, 7). Besides that gained from clinically based studies, substantial insight into the biological functions of cav-1 in prostate cancer progression has been attained from studies of genetically engineered mice. Genetic ablation of cav-1 impedes prostate tumor progression in TRAMP mice (14). Mice with cav-1 gene disruption have benign stromal lesions and compromised epithelial differentiation (43). Mouse and human prostate cancer cell–secreted cav-1 has proangiogenic activities (17, 18, 44). These findings clearly show an oncogenic role for cav-1 in prostate cancer.

We previously showed that cav-1 maintains activated Akt in prostate cancer cells through interaction with and inhibition of the serine/threonine protein phosphatases PP1 and PP2A (15). We also reported recently that tumor cell–secreted cav-1 can promote tumor angiogenesis through a PI3-K-Akt-eNOS–mediated mechanism (18). In this study, we found that PI3-K-Akt signaling is required for cav-1–mediated upregulation of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 and for cav-1–enhanced prostate cancer cell migration in vitro. Although we did not show that cav-1 inhibition of PP1 and/or PP2A underlies Akt activation in these experiments, this mechanism seems likely. The results of this current study extend the role of cav-1–mediated Akt activation as a contributing mechanistic pathway to prostate cancer.

The regulation of GFs is complex. In normal cells, the intricate balance of these GFs is controlled by coordinated regulation of hormones, transcriptional factors, and GFs themselves. In cancer and other malignant cells, however, this homeostasis is disrupted through various mechanisms. Inactivation or mutation of tumor suppressor genes (32, 45), activation of oncogenes (33), or overexpression of certain GFs (34) can impair the mRNA decay machinery, leading to aberrant GF mRNA accumulation. Akt, interestingly, may also stabilize AU-rich element–containing transcripts by phosphorylation of butyrate response factor 1, preventing it from binding to those AU-rich element–containing transcripts to facilitate deadenylation and rapid degradation of these transcripts (46, 47). We previously reported that cav-1 maintains activated Akt in prostate cancer cells (15). In this current study, we found that cav-1 promotes mRNA stability of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 and invasive activities of prostate cancer cells in an Akt-dependent manner. Taken together, the results of these studies suggest a cav-1 ⇒ Akt ⇒ GF signaling pathway. It’s conceivable that Akt-mediated butyrate response factor 1 activities are also involved in cav-1–induced VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 mRNA stability.

We also found that PI3-K-Akt inhibitor LY could not only eliminate cav-1–mediated Akt activation and GF upregulation, leading to the inhibition of cancer cell migration, but also suppress endogenous Akt in AdRSV-transduced LNCaP cells (AdRSV+LY) and cav-1-uninduced LNTB25cav, impairing cancer cell migration and reducing GF mRNA stability. In high–cav-1 DU145 and PC-3 cells, LY further reduced cancer cell migration and GF mRNA stability in cav-1 siRNA–transfected cells. Together, these data suggest that (a) Akt is required for cav-1–mediated maintenance of GF mRNA stability and cav-1–enhanced cancer cell motility; and (b) Akt works downstream of cav-1 and therefore can facilitate maintenance of GF mRNA stability and enhance cancer cell motility through other molecular signaling events that activate Akt.

Importantly, the results of this study define a positive autoregulatory feedback mechanism (Fig. 7B) that could lead to sustained cav-1, VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 expression and secretion in prostate cancer cells. Since we previously showed
that prostate cancer cell–derived, secreted cav-1 can stimulate angiogenic activities in vitro and in vivo (18), it seems reasonable to assume that induction of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2, which are potent angiogenic factors, would contribute to cav-1–mediated angiogenesis in prostate cancer. Together with cav-1–stimulated prostate cancer cell migration, these cav-1–induced growth and invasive activities likely have a profound effect on the malignant properties of prostate cancer cells. In addition, this cav-1–GF positive feedback loop has implications for identification of aggressive, virulent prostate cancer among a considerable fraction of prostate cancers that will not progress to clinical significance (48). Further, the autonomous nature of this positive feedback loop provides insight into the inexorable nature of prostate cancer progression.

In light of this new information, it becomes important to consider the events that lead to the cav-1–GF positive feedback loop in prostate cancer. Since we previously showed that cav-1 is induced by testosterone in prostate cancer cells (16), it is conceivable that cav-1 expression is initially upregulated by testosterone. However, this possibility raises important questions, such as “at what point in the development of prostate cancer does this occur?” and “what molecular events facilitate testosterone-mediated cav-1 expression?” It is also possible that GF expression initiates cav-1 expression. In our early studies of cav-1, we showed that androgen-insensitive cav-1–positive prostate cancer can arise in the presence of physiologic levels of testosterone in mouse models of prostate cancer (9, 13). These results are consistent with a model that implicates testosterone as the initial stimulatory event, with subsequent cav-1–stimulated GF expression sustaining cav-1 expression (Fig. 7B).

The eventual development of the cav-1–GF positive feedback loop suggests a plausible pathway for the development of...
androgen-insensitive prostate cancer. Since cave-1 expression is very low to undetectable in normal prostate epithelial cells, it seems likely that initiating oncogenic events are required to facilitate cave-1 expression.

Overall, the results of this study show that the cave-1–induced PI3-K–Akt–mediated increased mRNA stability of VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 is a novel and important molecular mechanism by which cave-1 promotes cancer progression. Our results further define a cave-1–GF positive regulatory loop that could sustain many malignant properties in prostate cancer cells. Further studies are necessary to understand the biological and clinical implications of this cave-1–mediated oncogenic pathway in prostate cancer.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Cell Culture Conditions

Human prostate cancer cell lines LNCaP, PC-3, DU145 and LNCaP (c+), a cave-1–expressing LNCaP variant that was obtained during propagation of LNCaP, were grown in RPMI 1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum. LNTB25cav, a cave-1 inducible clone generated from LNCaP, was grown in RPMI 1640 with 9% tet system–approved fetal bovine serum.

Induction of Cav-1 Expression and Secretion by GFs

LNCaP (c+), DU145, and PC-3 cells were seeded in complete culture medium and grown overnight. After being rinsed once with serum-free medium (SFM), cells were incubated in SFM with or without a specific GF at the following concentrations: human GFs: PDGF-AB, 10 ng/mL (Invitrogen); NGF, 10 ng/mL (Prospec); FGF1, 5 ng/mL (Invitrogen); FGF2, 2 ng/mL (Invitrogen); EGF, 5 ng/mL (Invitrogen); TGF-β1, 1 ng/mL (Invitrogen), and VEGF, 10 ng/mL (Upstate). Mouse GFs: PDGF-BB, 10 ng/mL (Invitrogen); NGF, 10 ng/mL (Invitrogen); FGF1, 5 ng/mL (R & D Systems); FGF2, 2 ng/mL (Invitrogen); EGF, 5 ng/mL (Invitrogen); and VEGF, 10 ng/mL (Invitrogen).

For determining cave-1 expression, cell lysates were prepared 48 h after treatment with the GFs. For determining secreted cave-1 levels, conditioned media were collected 24 h after treatment with the GFs. The conditioned media were then centrifuged once at 130 × g for 5 min to remove floating cells and once at 10,000 × g for 20 min to removed remaining insoluble materials. The resulting supernatants were concentrated 50- to 100-fold using Amicon Ultra-4 with 10- kDa cutoff. The equivalents of conditioned media produced from 5.0 × 10^5 cells were loaded on gel for the determination of secreted cave-1 levels.

Analysis of mRNA Expression

Total RNA from human prostate cancer cell lines was extracted with TRI Reagent Solution (Ambion). Reverse transcription was carried out with the High Capacity cDNA Archive kit (Applied Biosystems). PCRs were done using the following Taqman probes and primers (Applied Biosystems): Hs00184697_m1 for cave-1, Hs99999905_m1 for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, Hs00173626_m1 for VEGF, Hs00171257_m1 for TGF-β1, and Hs00266645-m1 for FGF2. Real-time PCR was done using the ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). The relative quantity of a specific mRNA was determined by the ΔΔCT method and normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase or actin RNA in the same cDNA preparation.

Western Blot Analysis

Proteins in the samples were separated by 4% to 15% gradient SDS-PAGE and Western blotting was done according to standard procedures. Primary antibodies were as follows: rabbit polyclonal antibodies against cave-1, VEGF, TGF-β1, and FGF2 (Santa Cruz); monoclonal antibody to PKBε/Akt (clone 55; BD Biosciences); rabbit polyclonal antibodies against phospho-Akt (Ser473), phospho-Akt (Thr308), p42/44, phosphor-p42/44, JNK, phospho-JNK, p38, and phosphor-p38 (Cell Signaling); and monoclonal antibody to β-actin (Sigma).

Quantitative analysis were done by measuring the density of each protein bands using a computer-assisted software (Nikon, NIS-Elements AR3.0) and by making calculations according to the following methods: for cell lysates, the protein bands of interest were first normalized by corresponding β-actin and each normalized value was then compared with control (control as 1); for conditioned medium, the protein bands of interest were compared with control (SFM).

siRNA Transfection and Viral Infection

Cells were seeded at a density of 1.0 × 10^5 cells per well in 12-well plates or at equivalent cell density in other culture dish formats 1 d before transfection. Transfection was done using siPORTamine (Ambion) and cave-1–specific siRNA or negative control (NC) siRNA (Ambion). Adenovirus-mediated gene transduction was carried out as described previously (16).

Preparation of Conditioned Media for Analysis of Secreted GFs

The conditioned media for analysis of secreted GFs were prepared and concentrated as described for the preparation of secreted cave-1.

Wound Healing Assay

Twenty-four hours after gene transduction with adeno viral vectors (LNCaP) or transfection with siRNA (PC-3 or DU145), a straight longitudinal scratch was made on the monolayer of cells using a pipette tip. After removal of the existing medium, fresh RPMI 1640 complete medium with or without 20 μmol/L PI3-K inhibitor LY (CalBiochem) was added, and the cells were incubated for 16 h (PC-3 and DU145) or 48 h (LNCaP). Cells were then stained with HEMA3 (Biochemical Sciences), and the numbers of cells migrating into the clear area were counted and imaged with a microscope using NIS-Elements AR2.30 software (Nikon).

Transwell Chamber Assay

Twenty-four hours after gene transduction with adeno viral vectors (LNCaP) or transfection with siRNA (PC-3 or DU145), the cells were trypsinized and single-cell suspensions were prepared. Five thousand cells were seeded into each Falcon cell culture insert (8.0 μm pore size, 24-well format; Becton Dickinson Labware) with 300 μL of serum-free RPMI 1640 with or without 20 μmol/L LY and with 700 μL RPMI 1640 complete medium in the outer well. After 16- to 24-h incubation, the medium and cells inside the insert were carefully removed, and the cells that had migrated onto the outer
membrane of the insert were stained, counted, and imaged as just described for the wound healing assay.

**Generation of LNTB25cav Xenograft and Processing of Tumor Tissue**

LNTB25cav xenograft was established as described previously (18), with 10 of the mice given 2 mg/mL of doxycycline in their drinking water containing 5% sucrose and 11 animals given drinking water containing only 5% sucrose as controls. After 21 d, the animals were euthanized, and the tumor tissues were harvested and fixed in 10% neutral formalin. The tissues were then processed for making 5-μm paraffin-embedded sections.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunostaining of paraffinized sections was conducted using polyclonal antibodies to cav-1, TGF-β1, or VEGF and an ABC kit (Vector Laboratories). The specificity of the immunoreactions was verified by using PBS to replace the specific primary antibodies.

**Luciferase Reporter Assay**

A 1.5-kb VEGF promoter containing Sp1 sites and an HIF-1α site was obtained from genomic DNA by PCR using forward primer 5′ GGAGAGTGAAGCAAGGGAT 3′ and reverse primer 5′ CCTGTCGCTTTTCGCTGCT 3′. The PCR fragment was digested with SacI and inserted into the SacI site of the luciferase reporter vector (pGL4). A 1,077-bp TGF-β1 promoter (CHR19_M0615_R1) and a 1,012-bp FGF2 promoter (CHR4_P0576-R1) were purchased from SwitchGear Genomics. LNTB25cav cells were seeded (2.5 × 10^4 cells per well, 12-well plate) and grown in the medium with or without 0.2 μg/mL doxycycline overnight and then were cotransfected with a specific GF-luc reporter vector or control vector pGL4 and a Renilla luciferase reporter vector (pGL4.73, Promega) using FuGENE HD transfection reagent (Roche). DU145 and PC-3 cells were seeded (1.0 × 10^5 cells per well, 12-well plate) and grown in the complete medium overnight and then were transfected with 50 nmol/L cav-1 siRNA or NC siRNA using siPORT Amine. Three hours after siRNA transfection, cells were cotransfected with a specific GF-luc reporter vector or control vector pGL4 and the normalizer reporter vector as described above. Twenty-four hours after the reporter transfection, luciferase activity was determined using a dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) and a Synergy 2 multimode microplate reader (BioTek). The GF-luc readings were normalized with Renilla luciferase readings and expressed relative to the control (pGL4A, doxycycline).

**mRNA Stability Assay**

Cav-1 expression in LNTB25cav cells was induced with doxycycline, and DU145 or PC-3 cells were transfected with cav-1 siRNA or NC siRNA as described for the luciferase reporter assay. Twenty-four hours after induction with doxycycline or transfection of siRNA, cells were treated with 10 μg/mL of actinomycin in the presence or absence of the PI3-K inhibitor LY (20 μmol/L) for 0, 0.5, 1, or 2 h. RNAs were prepared, and mRNA levels of cav-1 and each GF were determined by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR as described earlier.

**Statistical Analysis**

The unpaired t test was used in the experiments in which probability levels were determined. A P value of <0.05 (*) was considered statistically significant, and one <0.001 (**) was considered statistically very significant.
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