Identification of mTORC2 as a Necessary Component of HRG/ErbB2-Dependent Cellular Transformation


Abstract
Overexpression of the receptor tyrosine kinase HER2/ErbB2 (ERBB2) has been linked to a poor prognosis for patients with breast cancer; thus, its activity is a central target for cancer therapy. Likewise, overexpression of hercogulin (HRG/NRG1), a growth factor responsible for ErbB2 activation, has also been shown to be a driver of breast cancer progression. Although ErbB2 inhibitors offer a major advancement in the treatment of ErbB2-dependent breast cancers, patients are highly susceptible to developing clinical resistance to these drugs. Therefore, a detailed understanding of the molecular mechanism that underlies HRG/ErbB2-induced tumorigenesis is essential for the development of effective therapeutic strategies for this subset of patients with breast cancer. Here, it was demonstrated that HRG promoted anchorage-independent breast cancer cell growth more potently than EGF, and that the HRG-dependent activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase and mTORC1 are necessary events for cell transformation. Functional evaluation of two distinct mTOR (MTOR) inhibitors, rapamycin and INK-128, on HRG-dependent signaling activities, uncovered a necessary role for mTORC2 in the regulation of the AKT/TSC2/mTORC1 axis by affecting the phosphorylation of AKT at the PDK1(PDPK1)-dependent site (T308) as well as at the mTORC2-dependent site (S473). The elimination of Rictor (RICTOR), a critical component of mTORC2, was detrimental to both the activation of mTORC1 and HRG-mediated cellular transformation. Similar results were obtained in multiple breast cancer model systems, highlighting an important role for mTORC2 in HRG/ErbB2-dependent breast cancer.

Implications: These findings suggest the potential benefits of targeting mTORC2 in HRG/ErbB2-induced breast cancer. Mol Cancer Res; 12(6); 940–52. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction
ErbB2 overexpression characterizes 20% to 30% of all breast cancers and correlates with a poor prognosis for patients presenting with this key biomarker (1, 2). Additionally, hercogulin (HRG), an EGF-like growth factor that binds to ErbB3 (ERBB3) or ErbB4 (ERBB4), induces one or the other of these receptors to form a heterodimer with ErbB2, resulting in its activation (3, 4). HRG is found to be overexpressed in breast, ovarian, and prostate cancers (reviewed in ref. 5) and can induce cellular transformation by the activation of ErbB2, independent of the expression status of this receptor tyrosine kinase (6). A major advancement in the treatment of ErbB2-positive cancers came with the development of monoclonal antibodies against ErbB2 (trastuzumab/Herceptin) and more recently, ErbB2 kinase inhibitors (e.g., lapatinib, reviewed in ref. 7). These strategies have provided significant clinical benefits but, as is now being appreciated for many forms of targeted therapy in cancer, patients treated with either trastuzumab or lapatinib are susceptible to the development of resistance to these therapies (8–10). As new treatment options are considered for ErbB2-positive cancers, a molecular understanding of the signaling events that underlie HRG/ErbB2-dependent cellular transformation will be critical.

We have found previously that HRG, but not the closely related growth factor, EGF, signals to the RNA processing machinery to affect cell growth (11). Specifically, the activation of ErbB2 at the cell surface triggers a signaling pathway that leads to the activation of the small GTPase Ran (RAN) (11). Ran, together with importin α (KPNB1) and β (KPNB1), regulates the binding and processing of capped mRNAs by the nuclear cap-binding complex (CBC) to promote mitogenesis (11–13). The overexpression of wild-type Ran or constitutively-active Ran mutants is sufficient to transform NIH-3T3 fibroblasts and noninvasive R37 mammary cells (11, 14, 15), as well as enhance the transforming potential of the breast cancer cell line, SKBR3 (14), thus underscoring the significance of this signaling endpoint in HRG/ErbB2-dependent transformation.
The ability of HRG to signal to Ran and the CBC is dependent upon mTOR (11, 12). mTOR is a 280-kDa serine/threonine kinase that forms two functionally distinct complexes in mammalian cells, mTORC1 and mTORC2. The rapamycin-sensitive mTORC1 consists of mTOR, Raptor (RPTOR), mLST8 (MLST8), and PRAS40 (AKT1S1). mTORC1 controls cell size, proliferation, lipid biogenesis, metabolism, and autophagy by sensing growth factors and the nutrient availability of the cell (reviewed in refs. 16–18). mTORC2 is insensitive to short-term rapamycin treatment and is comprised of mTOR, Rictor (RICTOR), mSin1 (MAPKAP1), and mLST8 (19–21). Raptor and Rictor are commonly used as markers to discern the two complexes (20, 22). Less is understood about the functions and regulation of mTORC2, with the exception of its role in cytoskeletal remodeling (20, 23). There is, however, emerging evidence for the involvement of mTORC2 in growth factor signaling and tumor progression (24, 25).

Many growth factors signal to mTORC1 by activating phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), which converts PIP2 to PIP3 at the cell membrane (reviewed in refs. 16–18). PDK1 (phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1) is then recruited to the membrane, where it phosphorylates AKT at threonine 308 (reviewed in ref. 26). AKT achieves maximal activation when it is phosphorylated on both threonine 308 in its activation loop and serine 473 within the hydrophobic motif (27). Once activated, AKT phosphorylates an inhibitory site on TSC2 (tuberous sclerosis complex 2), a GTPase-activating protein (GAP) for the small GTPase Rheb (RHEB) (reviewed in ref. 28). Rheb binds and activates mTORC1, although the molecular basis for this activation remains poorly defined (29).

In this study, we sought to better understand the cellular signals that underlie the transforming potential of HRG, with an emphasis on HRG signaling to mTORC1. We initially chose SKBR3 breast cancer cells for these studies because based on previous work from our laboratory (14), we felt they would provide an excellent model system for probing the signaling connections between HRG/ErbB2 and mTOR. Here, we demonstrate that HRG promotes colony formation more potently than EGF in SKBR3 cells because based on previous work from our laboratory (14), we felt they would provide an excellent model system for probing the signaling connections between HRG/ErbB2 and mTOR. Here, we demonstrate that HRG promotes colony formation more potently than EGF in SKBR3 cells and that the differential activation of mTORC1 is necessary for the enhanced potency. Interestingly, we find that mTORC2 plays a critical role in the ability of HRG to activate mTORC1 and promote cellular transformation. Studies contrasting rapamycin and an ATP-competitive inhibitor of mTOR, INK-128 (30), reveal that the phosphorylation of AKT at serine 473 by mTORC2 is critical for downstream TSC2 phosphorylation and mTORC1 activation in response to HRG. The specific disruption of mTORC2 signaling by the introduction of Rictor short hairpin RNAs (shRNA), not only attenuated the activation of mTORC1 and its upstream signaling activators, but also had a deleterious effect on HRG-mediated colony formation. These initial findings in SKBR3 cells were similarly observed in two other HRG-responsive breast cancer cell lines, MCF7 and ZR-75-1. Taken together, these data highlight mTORC2 as a key signaling intermediate for HRG, demonstrate that mTORC2 is necessary for the HRG-stimulated activation of mTORC1, and provide evidence for an important role for mTORC2 in HRG- and ErbB2-dependent cellular transformation.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies and reagents

The antibodies used for this study were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology with the exception of anti–pan-mTOR (Millipore), and anti-actin (NeoMarker). Rapamycin and LY294002 were purchased from Calbiochem. INK-128 was a generous gift from Dr. Kevan Shokat [University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), San Francisco, CA]. HRGβ, (residues 178–241) and EGF were obtained from Sigma and Invitrogen, respectively.

shRNAs

The shRNAs targeting Rictor were purchased from Sigma (TRCN0000074288, TRCN0000074290, and TRCN0000074289). The lenti-viral constructs expressing Rictor shRNAs were generated according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Sigma).

Cell culture conditions

SKBR3 (ATCC HTB-30), MCF7 (ATCC HTB-22), and ZR-75-1 (ATCC CRL-1500) cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were authenticated by the ATCC for viability (before freezing and immediately after thawing), growth, morphology, isoenzymology, and short tandem repeat analysis. Cells were passaged for less than 3 months after resuscitation of frozen aliquots. SKBR3, MCF7, and ZR-75-1 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) containing 10% FBS (Invitrogen) at 37°C, 5% CO2. For growth factor stimulation, SKBR3 cells were seeded at 5 to 7 × 104 on 100-mm cell culture plates (Corning), followed by serum starvation with RPMI for 40 to 48 hours, replenishing with fresh RPMI 24 hours after initiation of starvation. SKBR3 cells were then stimulated with HRG at the concentration and times indicated, followed by cell lysis. For growth factor stimulation of MCF7 and ZR-75-1 cells, cells were seeded at 1.5 × 105 on 60-mm plates, followed by serum starvation with RPMI for 18 to 24 hours, and then stimulated with 1 nmol/L HRG at the times indicated. For inhibitor analysis, cells were pretreated with 50 nmol/L rapamycin, 50 nmol/L INK-128, or 10 µmol/L LY294002 for 30 minutes followed by the addition of 1 nmol/L HRG. For shRNA knockdown experiments, cells were infected with control or Rictor shRNA expressing lentiviral particles twice, one day apart. Cells were then selected with 2 µg/mL puromycin for 48 hours.

Immunoblot analysis

Cells were lysed with cell lysis buffer (50 mmol/L HEPES, pH 8.0, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L MgCl2, 25 mmol/L NaF, 1 mmol/L Na3VO4, 50 mmol/L β-glycerophosphate, 10 µg/mL Leupeptin, 10 µg/mL Aprotinin, and 1% Triton X-100). The lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and then the proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride
membranes. The membranes were incubated with the indicated primary antibodies diluted in 20 mmol/L Tris, pH 7.6, 135 mmol/L NaCl, and 0.02% Tween-20. The primary antibodies were detected with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare), followed by exposure to ECL reagent (Perkin Elmer).

**Soft agar assays**

SKBR3 cells, or SKBR3 cells infected with the various Rictor shRNAs as described, were seeded at a density of 5 × 10³ cells per well in complete medium (10% FBS, RPMI) containing 0.3% agarose, onto underlays comprised of growth medium containing 0.6% agarose in 6-well dishes. MCF7 and ZR-75-1 cells were treated as described above and seeded at a density of 1 to 2 × 10⁴ cells per well. The corresponding growth factors or inhibitors were added in the cell mixture. The cultures were fed with complete medium containing 0.3% agarose along with their respective growth factors or inhibitors every 3 days. Colonies were counted after 13 to 18 days.

**ImageJ quantification**

The Western blots were quantified using ImageJ (http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/) under the Gel Analysis Tool. The intensities of the different lanes were taken as a ratio of the phospho-protein over total protein and then normalized to the control lane, which was set to one. In Fig. 4, the difference in intensity was obtained by subtracting the intensity of the control (untreated) samples from the HRG-stimulated samples. The percentage of inhibition was calculated by \( [1 - \text{(difference in intensity}_{\text{knockdown}}/\text{difference in intensity}_{\text{control}})] \times 100\% \).

**Results**

To investigate important aspects of HRG/ErbB2-dependent transformation, we started by comparing the relative effectiveness of HRG and EGF to stimulate mitogenesis in breast cancer cells and then attempted to understand what signaling components contribute to any differences observed. The SKBR3 cell line is a low-grade breast cancer cell line that expresses both the EGFR and ErbB2, and as such is a useful model for making comparisons between HRG- and EGF-dependent signaling. We first compared the abilities of HRG (hereafter HRG) and EGF to enhance the anchorage-independent growth of SKBR3 cells. Cells were seeded in soft agar in the presence of either regular growth media (no treatment) or media supplemented with the addition of 100 nmol/L HRG, or 100 ng/mL EGF, and colonies were then counted after 13 days. As shown in Fig. 1A, treating SKBR3 cells with HRG significantly enhances their ability to form colonies in soft agar while EGF does not. Previous studies indicated that mTORC1 is a necessary component for the HRG-specific activation of the Ras GTPase and the CBC in SKBR3 cells (11). Thus, we next examined the differential abilities of HRG and EGF to activate mTORC1. SKBR3 cells were serum starved for 2 days and stimulated with HRG or EGF for varying time periods up to 60 minutes.

Although SKBR3 cells exhibited a high basal level of mTORC1 activity, due to both the relatively high expression of ErbB2 and the presence of amino acids, treatment of the cells with HRG resulted in an additional, albeit modest, time-dependent increase in the phosphorylation of mTOR as determined by Western blotting using a phospho-mTOR (S2448) antibody (Fig. 1B, left; the right blot shows an example of the 30-minute time-point stimulation by HRG, compared with the background mTOR activity at time zero). In contrast with HRG, EGF was relatively ineffective in its ability to activate mTORC1.

We next examined the effects of HRG and EGF on other constituents of the mTORC1 signaling pathway. TSC2 functions upstream of mTORC1 by regulating the GTP-binding activity of the small GTPase Rheb (reviewed in ref. 28). Phosphorylation of TSC2 by AKT at T1462 disrupts the ability of TSC2 to regulate Rheb (28), resulting in enhanced mTORC1 function. Probing for the phosphorylation of TSC2 at T1462 indicated that HRG potentiated this phosphorylation to a greater extent than did EGF (Fig. 1C), similar to what was observed for phospho-mTOR (S2448). The differential effects of HRG and EGF on this signaling pathway were maintained downstream of mTORC1 as well, as evidenced by the differential phosphorylation of the ribosomal S6 protein (RPS6) (S235/236; Fig. 1D), whereas ERK (MAPK1), a downstream target of both HRG and EGF (31), was activated by the two growth factors to similar extents (Fig. 1E). A dose response with increasing concentrations of HRG revealed that 1 nmol/L HRG was sufficient to achieve near maximal phosphorylation of mTOR and TSC2 (Fig. 1F). In addition, the activation of these signaling components could be blocked using a selective ErbB2-tyrosine kinase inhibitor (CP-724,714; Supplementary Fig. S1), demonstrating that the observed effects were a specific outcome of the activation of ErbB2 by HRG. Like HRGβ, HRGα, a splice variant of HRG associated with the differentiation of normal cells (32), was also able to stimulate the ability of SKBR3 cells to grow in soft agar, as well as activate the mTORC1 pathway (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B, respectively).

Given that HRG is more effective than EGF at promoting anchorage-independent growth in SKBR3 cells, and in regulating components of the mTORC1 signaling pathway (i.e., TSC2, mTOR, and S6), we suspected that mTORC1 activity was necessary for HRG-stimulated cellular transformation. To examine this possibility, we used inhibitors for mTOR (rapamycin and INK-128), as well as a conventional PI3K inhibitor (LY294002), because the mitogenic activation of mTORC1 is classically described as occurring downstream of PI3K/AKT signaling. Rapamycin is a specific allosteric inhibitor of mTORC1, although prolonged treatment with rapamycin has also been suggested to inhibit mTORC2 (19). INK-128, on the other hand, is a novel ATP-competitive mTOR inhibitor and does not distinguish between mTORC1 and mTORC2 (30).

SKBR3 cells were seeded in soft agar in complete medium plus 1 nmol/L HRG, followed by the addition of either
Figure 1. HRG is more effective than EGF at promoting colony formation in SKBR3 cells and in signaling to mTORC1. A, SKBR3 cells were seeded in 0.3% agarose-containing complete medium with the addition of 100 nmol/L HRG or 100 ng/mL EGF. Cells were fed every 3 days with the growth factor–containing medium and colonies were counted on day 13. The experiment was done in triplicate and the results were averaged and graphed (P values: control vs. HRG = 0.0008; control vs. EGF = 0.0268; HRG vs. EGF = 0.0021). B, SKBR3 cells were serum starved for 40 to 48 hours followed by 0 to 60 minutes of treatment with 100 nmol/L HRG or 100 ng/mL EGF. Whole cell lysates were collected and subjected to Western blotting with phospho-mTOR (S2448) and pan-mTOR antibodies. The experiment was performed in triplicate and one representative blot was quantified using ImageJ. Relative intensities of the bands were taken as a ratio of the phospho-protein over total protein and then plotted against the zero-minute time point of each individual blot, which was normalized to one. Two time points, 0 and 30 minutes, are shown on the right as an example of the Western blots. C, SKBR3 cells were treated as stated above. Whole cell lysates were collected and subjected to Western blotting with phospho-TSC2 (T1462) and pan-TSC2 antibodies. The blots were quantified as described above. Two time points (0 and 30 minutes) are shown on the right as an example of the Western blots. D, SKBR3 cells were treated as stated above. Whole cell lysates were collected and subjected to Western blotting with phospho-ribosomal S6 (S235/236) and pan-ribosomal S6 antibodies. The blots were quantified as described above. Two time points (0 and 30 minutes) are shown on the right as an example of the Western blots. E, SKBR3 cells were treated as stated above. Whole cell lysates were collected and subjected to Western blotting with phospho-ERK (T202/Y204) and pan-ERK antibodies. Two time points (0 and 30 minutes) are shown as an example of the Western blots. F, SKBR3 cells were serum starved for 40 to 48 hours followed by 0, 1, or 100 nmol/L HRG stimulation for 30 minutes. Whole cell lysates were collected and subjected to Western blotting with antibodies against phospho-mTOR (S2448), phospho-TSC2 (T1462), pan-mTOR, and pan-TSC2. The blots were quantified as described above.
dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; vehicle), rapamycin, INK-128, or LY294002. These treatments were repeated every 3 days until colonies were counted on day 13. As shown in Fig. 2A, 1 nmol/L HRG markedly augments the ability of SKBR3 cells to form colonies in soft agar, resulting in an increase in colony size as well as number. Both mTOR inhibitors were potent in their ability to block the basal anchorage-independent growth, which is driven by the intrinsic activity of ErbB2 in these breast cancer cells, as well as the HRG-stimulated colony formation in soft agar. INK-128 in particular was striking for its ability to limit the growth of cells beyond the single-cell state. The inhibition of PI3K also significantly blocked the basal and HRG-mediated colony formation, albeit to a somewhat lesser extent both in colony number and size.

Taken together, these data demonstrate that both mTOR and PI3K activation are required for the HRG- and ErbB2-dependent transformation of SKBR3 cells. They further suggested that the actions of HRG and ErbB2 were dependent upon their ability to stimulate the PI3K/PDK1/AKT pathway. This, in turn, would be expected to result in the inhibitory phosphorylation of TSC2, and the corresponding
activation of mTORC1 due to increased Rheb-GTP levels, similar to what has been described for an insulin-stimulated signaling pathway to mTORC1 (16). To test this idea, we examined the effects of rapamycin, INK-128, and LY294002 on phospho-mTOR (S2448) and phospho-TSC2 (T1462). SKBR3 cells were serum starved for 2 days and then stimulated with HRG in the presence or absence of these inhibitors. Cell lysates generated from these cells were then analyzed by Western blotting. The expectation was that if the PI3K/PDK1/AKT pathway was the sole signaling event responsible for the HRG-dependent activation of mTORC1, then all three inhibitors should affect the phosphorylation of mTOR at S2448, whereas TSC2, as an upstream regulator of mTORC2, would be expected to only be sensitive to PI3K inhibition. Indeed, each of the inhibitors was able to reduce the HRG-stimulated phosphorylation of mTOR (Fig. 2B), whereas, rapamycin did not affect the ability of HRG to stimulate the phosphorylation of TSC2 at T1462, while the PI3K inhibitor, LY294002, inhibited TSC2 phosphorylation (Fig. 2C). However, we also observed that INK-128 was as effective as LY294002 at inhibiting the phosphorylation of TSC2 (T1462) in response to HRG (Fig. 2C). It is important to note that the high level of TSC2 phosphorylation under conditions of HRG and rapamycin treatment cannot be attributed to the well-established feedback activation of its upstream activator, AKT, by rapamycin (33), as rapamycin treatment of SKBR3 cells, with or without HRG, results in phosphorylation levels comparable with (but not significantly greater than) the controls (see Supplementary Fig. S3).

Because the short-term treatment of HRG-stimulated SKBR3 cells with rapamycin did not affect the phosphorylation of TSC2, whereas treatment with INK-128 reduced the phosphorylation, we could only reconcile these data by attributing the effects of INK-128 to the inhibition of mTORC2. The phosphorylation of S473 on AKT by mTORC2 is thought to contribute to the activation of AKT and its downstream signaling targets TSC2 and mTORC1 (28, 34, 35). Indeed, the treatment of SKBR3 cells with HRG for varying periods of time resulted in an increase in the levels of phospho-AKT (S473) in response to the growth factor (Fig. 3A), consistent with the idea that HRG is able to signal to AKT via mTORC2 as well as through PI3K/PDK1.

We next examined the effects of rapamycin, INK-128, and LY294002 on the HRG-stimulated phosphorylation of AKT at S473 as well as at T308. As shown in Fig. 3B, rapamycin does not inhibit the phosphorylation of AKT at S473, similar to what we observed for TSC2 (T1462). In contrast, INK-128 causes a dramatic decrease in the phosphorylation of this serine residue. LY294002 causes a partial inhibition of the phosphorylation at S473, consistent with suggestions that PI3K may play a role in signaling upstream of mTORC2 (25). A similar trend was observed for the phosphorylation of AKT at T308 (Fig. 3C), suggesting the ability of mTORC2 to affect AKT at both phosphorylation sites.

The results presented in Figs. 2 and 3 provide pharmacologic evidence to suggest that mTORC2 may be playing an important role in relaying signals arising from the
interactions between HRG and ErbB receptors to mTORC1 that contribute to the promotion of the transformed phenotype. Although INK-128 seems to be having effects that are distinct from rapamycin, thereby suggesting an involvement of mTORC2 in the HRG/ErbB2 signaling pathway that regulates mTORC1 activity, we wanted to rule out the possibility that INK-128 is simply a more potent inhibitor of mTORC1 under the conditions used.

Rictor is a key component of mTORC2 assembly and function, whereas it is not present within mTORC1 (20). Thus, by targeting Rictor using an shRNA knockdown strategy, we can directly assess the role of mTORC2 in the HRG-stimulated activation of mTORC1 and the resultant transformation of SKBR3 cells. The importance of mTORC2 in the transforming capability of HRG was examined in soft agar assays. SKBR3 cells were infected twice, one day apart, with a Rictor shRNA-carrying virus or a control virus, and cells were then selected with puromycin for 48 hours. Following selection, cells were seeded in soft agar and fed every 3 days with regular growth medium in the presence or absence of 1 nmol/L HRG until colonies were scored on day 13. As shown in Fig. 4A, the cells in the control-infected plates formed colonies in response to HRG. In contrast, colony formation was largely eliminated in cells where Rictor had been knocked down, demonstrating that Rictor, and by extension mTORC2, are necessary for HRG to promote the transformed features of SKBR3 cells.

We next investigated the role of Rictor in relaying HRG-promoted signaling events. Cells were infected and selected as described above and then were serum starved for 2 days. After serum starvation, cells were treated with or without 1 nmol/L HRG for 30 minutes. Figure 4B (top) shows that the Rictor shRNAs caused an approximately 50% knockdown of Rictor expression as compared with the control samples. The phosphorylation of mTOR at S2481 between the two different sets of Rictor shRNAs (Fig. 4B, middle), indicating a significant loss of mTORC2 assembly without affecting the total levels of mTOR protein (Fig. 4B, bottom).

Having confirmed the efficacy of the Rictor knockdown on mTORC2 function in response to HRG, we went on to examine the role of Rictor/mTORC2 in other HRG-stimulated signaling events. AKT phosphorylation was attenuated upon the loss of Rictor from HRG-stimulated cells (Fig. 4C). Not only was there a decrease in AKT phosphorylation at the mTORC2 site (i.e., AKT (S473)), but phosphorylation at T308 of AKT (the PDK1 site) was significantly affected as well. These effects were specific for the elimination of Rictor as the phosphorylation of AKT at both the S473 and T308 sites could be rescued by the concurrent, ectopic expression of an shRNA-insensitive Rictor construct (Supplementary Fig. S4). Figure 4D shows the effects of reductions in Rictor expression on the HRG-stimulated phosphorylation of TSC2 (T1462; top) and mTOR (S2448; bottom). Destabilization of mTORC2 resulted in the abrogation of mTORC1 function as read out by the decrease in the phosphorylation of both proteins.

We started this investigation using the SKBR3 cell line as we had previously observed a connection between HRG signaling and mTORC1 activation in this model system. As these new studies uncovered an upstream role for mTORC2 in the HRG-stimulated activation of mTORC1, we questioned whether other HRG-sensitive breast cancer cell lines might also rely on mTORC2 for their HRG-dependent activation of mTORC1. To this end, we examined the generality of these results in two additional ErbB2-expressing breast cancer cell lines (MCF7 and ZR-75-1), which had the ability to respond to the treatment of HRG with a subsequent activation of AKT (T308/S473) and mTORC1, as well as the phosphorylation of the ribosomal S6 protein (Fig. 5A). As anticipated, the HRG-dependent phosphorylation of mTOR and ribosomal S6 was effectively blocked by a 30-minute pretreatment of MCF7 and ZR-75-1 cells with either rapamycin or INK-128 (Fig. 5B, lower four blots). Rapamycin treatment did not inhibit AKT phosphorylation, and in contrast with SKBR3 cells, both MCF7 and ZR-75-1 cells showed a relative enhancement of AKT phosphorylation when treated with both HRG and rapamycin, suggesting a rapamycin-sensitive feedback loop to AKT (Fig. 5B, top). INK-128, however, inhibited the ability of HRG to induce the phosphorylation of AKT at S473.

Finally, to confirm that the mTORC2-directed phosphorylation of AKT S473 was important for HRG-dependent transformation and signaling to mTORC1 in MCF7 and ZR-75-1 cells, mTORC2 function was eliminated by the silencing of Rictor, as described above. As was the case for SKBR3 cells, the knockdowns of Rictor in MCF7 and ZR-75-1 cells caused cells to lose their ability to form colonies in soft agar (Fig. 6A and B). Concurrent with the loss of transforming potential, the loss of functional mTORC2 blocked the ability of HRG to stimulate AKT phosphorylation and mTORC1 function as read out by phosphorylation of mTOR and phospho-ribosomal S6 (see Fig. 6C and D). Taken together, these results indicate that mTORC2 plays a previously unappreciated role in HRG-promoted transformation via its ability to signal to mTORC1.

Discussion

In the present study, we identify mTORC2 as a novel target of HRG/ErbB2 signaling that is necessary for the ability of HRG to promote the enhanced transformation of HRG-sensitive breast cancer cells (i.e., SKBR3, MCF7, and ZR-75-1). Specifically, the use of mechanistically distinct mTOR inhibitors (i.e., rapamycin and INK-128), and a PI3K inhibitor, suggests the ability of mTORC2, as well as PI3K, to feed into the AKT/TSC2/mTORC1 pathway in response to HRG. The model in Fig. 7 depicts the HRG signal as bifurcating at PI3K and then converging again at AKT where PI3K/PDK1/AKT (as indicated by the phosphorylation of AKT at T308) represents one branch of the...
Figure 4. Rictor is essential for HRG-mediated anchorage-independent growth and HRG signaling to mTORC1 in SKBR3 cells. A, SKBR3 cells were infected with control virus or viruses containing 3 distinct Rictor shRNAs twice, one day apart, followed by 48-hour selection with 2 μg/mL puromycin. Cells were then seeded in 0.3% agarose in complete medium with or without 1 nmol/L HRG. Cells were fed every 3 days and colonies were counted on day 13. The experiment was done in triplicate and the results were averaged and graphed. B, SKBR3 cells were infected and selected as described above. Cells were then serum starved for 40 to 48 hours followed by stimulation with 1 nmol/L HRG for 30 minutes. Whole cell lysates were collected and subjected to Western blotting. Blots were probed for Rictor, actin, phospho-mTOR (S2481), and pan-mTOR. Detailed quantification and calculation is described in “Materials and Methods.” C, SKBR3 cells were treated as described in Fig. 4B. Blots were probed for phospho-AKT (S473), phospho-AKT (T308), and pan-AKT. D, SKBR3 cells were treated as described in Fig. 4B. Blots were probed for phospho-TSC2 (T1462), pan-TSC2, phospho-mTOR (S2448), and pan-mTOR.
pathway, and PI3K/mTORC2/AKT [i.e., phospho-AKT (S473)] delineates the other. Disruption of the mTORC2 arm of the pathway via the knockdown of Rictor significantly attenuates the ability of HRG to signal to mTORC1 (Figs. 4D, 6C and D) as well as to promote oncogenesis, illustrating the necessity of mTORC2 in this context.

The phosphorylation and activation of AKT, which in turn phosphorylates TSC2 and prevents it from negatively regulating Rheb, represents an essential part of the activation of mTORC1 by growth factors (28). It is well known that both T308 and S473 are critical phosphorylation sites for AKT and that having both of these sites phosphorylated exerts a synergistic effect on AKT activation (27). The detection of phosphorylation at these two sites has been routinely used interchangeably to interpret the activation of AKT by PDK1 (38). The discovery by Sabatini and colleagues that AKT (S473) is in fact a preferred mTORC2 substrate (34) raised the possibility for an important role for mTORC2 in mitogenic signaling (25). The phosphorylation of S473 is extremely sensitive to INK-128 but not rapamycin (Figs. 3B and 5B), demonstrating the significance of mTORC2 activation by HRG. Also, the effectiveness of INK-128 at inhibiting the phosphorylation of AKT at the PDK1 site (T308) in SKBR3 cells suggests that phosphorylation of S473 may be necessary to allow for the efficient phosphorylation at T308. This raises the possibility that the mTORC2 pathway may in fact be dominant over the PDK1 pathway in the activation of mTORC1 by HRG in SKBR3 cells. This is further underscored by the observation that functionally disabling mTORC2 by the knockdown of Rictor is sufficient to abolish the HRG-mediated growth of cells in soft agar (Figs. 4A, 6A and B).

How do HRG and potentially other growth factors signal to mTORC2? Certainly, this is a question that will be garnering acute attention as the appreciation for the role of mTORC2 in mitogenic signaling grows. Thus far, the regulation and function of mTORC2 are less well characterized relative to mTORC1. This most likely stems from the long-standing use of rapamycin to specifically probe mTORC1 function. Before the realization that mTORC2 functions as an AKT kinase, mTORC2 was best known for its role in cytoskeletal remodeling (20, 23). Our data point to a role for PI3K in the...
activation of mTORC2, consistent with emerging suggestions that PI3K, as well as Ras, are upstream regulators of mTORC2 (reviewed in refs. 16, 25, 39).

We have observed the potential for both mTOR complexes to interact with TSC2 in HEK 293T cells (see Supplementary Fig. S5), a cell line which has often been used to characterize the different mTOR complexes (20, 22, 40). An association of TSC2 with both Raptor and Rictor makes TSC2 an attractive candidate for interfacing mTORC1 and mTORC2, especially given reports of distinct modes of regulation for mTORC1 and mTORC2 by TSC2. Although the loss of the TSC1–TSC2 complex from cells gives rise to the activation of mTORC1, consistent with a role for these proteins as negative regulators of mTORC1

Figure 6. MCF7 and ZR-75-1 breast cancer cells require signaling to mTORC2 for their ability to form colonies in soft agar and to activate mTORC1 in response to HRG. A, mTORC2 was disrupted in MCF7 cells by infecting the cells with viruses containing control or 2 distinct Rictor shRNAs twice, one day apart, followed by 48-hour selection with 2 μg/mL puromycin. Cells were then seeded in 0.3% agarose in complete medium with or without 1 nmol/L HRG. Cells were fed every 3 days and colonies were counted after 18 days. The experiment was done in triplicate and the results were averaged and graphed. B, mTORC2 was disrupted in ZR-75-1 cells as described in Fig. 6A and the cells were then analyzed for their ability to form colonies in soft agar in the presence or absence of 1 nmol/L HRG. C, MCF7 cells were infected and selected as described above. Cells were then serum starved for 18 to 24 hours, followed by stimulation with 1 nmol/L HRG for 30 minutes. Whole cell lysates were collected and subjected to Western blotting. Blots were probed for Rictor, phospho-AKT (T308), phospho-AKT (S473), phospho-ribosomal S6 (S235/236), phospho-mTOR (S2448), the corresponding antibodies for total protein, and actin. D, ZR-75-1 cells were infected with Rictor shRNAs, selected with 2 μg/mL puromycin and then serum starved for 18 to 24 hours. After a 30-minute treatment with or without HRG, cells were collected and analyzed by Western blotting as described in Fig. 6C.
(28, 41), TSC1–TSC2 deficiency attenuates mTORC2 function, suggesting that the TSC positively influences mTORC2 function (40). In addition to our findings, it has also been shown that the N-terminus of TSC2 can interact with the C-terminus of Rictor (42). Thus, TSC2 may serve as a biologic bidirectional switch to bring the two complexes in close proximity to achieve signaling and feedback in an efficient manner, both temporally and spatially.

The observation that mTORC2 is necessary for HRG signaling to mTORC1 is underscored by the necessity of mTORC2 for HRG/ErbB2-dependent cellular transformation. Along with an emerging appreciation for the role of mTORC2 in mitogenic signaling is a nascent understanding of its importance in tumorigenesis. mTORC2 was shown to be necessary for prostate cancer development in Pten-deficient mice (24), as well as for the transformation of other cancer cells (i.e., glioma, breast cancer, and colorectal cancer), while being less important to normal cells (25). The fact that we find functional mTORC2 to be required for HRG to potentiate the transformation of SKBR3, MCF7, and ZR-75-1 cells raises questions about whether mTORC2 should be considered as a potential therapeutic target when addressing HRG-mediated and/or ErbB2-positive cancers (see below).

Although this study provides evidence for mTORC2 functioning as a signaling intermediary in a pathway from HRG to mTORC1, it also raises the question of whether mTORC2 might play distinct roles that contribute to tumorigenesis. mTORC2 has the potential to promote cell migration and the invasion of SKBR3 and other breast cancer cells in response to HRG, through its function as a cytoskeletal remodeler (43, 44). The Rac GTPase (RAC1), which is well known for its participation in cell migration and cytoskeletal events, has been observed by our laboratory and by other groups to be activated in response to HRG (data not shown, refs. 31, 45). Rac associates with both mTORC1 and mTORC2 and has been suggested to play a role in the cellular localization of these complexes (46). In addition, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac, P-Rex1 (PREX1), which can function downstream of mTORC2

Figure 7. Model for HRG-mediated signaling via mTORC2 to mTORC1. HRG/ErbB2 signals through an mTORC2-dependent pathway to phosphorylate AKT (S473). This phosphorylation precedes the phosphorylation at AKT (T308) by PDK1. Once AKT is fully activated, it phosphorylates TSC2 on multiple sites, sequestering TSC2 away from Rheb, allowing Rheb to activate mTORC1.
(47), has been implicated in breast cancer (48). Future efforts will be directed toward distinguishing the contributions of mTORC2 to cellular transformation.

The data presented in this study describe a pivotal role for mTORC2, as well as mTORC1, in the ability of HRG/ErbB2 to send signals that drive cellular transformation. In addition, we show that the mTOR kinase inhibitor, INK-128, is effective not only at inhibiting mTOR (within the context of mTORC1 and mTORC2), but also blocks the ability of PI3K to signal to AKT. Interestingly, the use of mTOR inhibitors (both rapalogs and kinase inhibitors) as a cotherapy with either trastuzumab or lapatinib is currently being investigated for cancers that are refractory to ErbB2-directed monotherapies (8, 49, 50), as aberrant PI3K/AKT/mTOR activity is one hallmark of resistance to ErbB2 therapy resistance (8, 9). Our findings support the rationale of this approach and would point to a greater efficacy with the use of dual mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibitors.
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