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Abstract

Hepatocellular cancer (HCC) is a highly treatment-refractory cancer and is also highly resistant to adverse cellular stress. Although cell behavior can be modulated by noncoding RNAs (ncRNA) within extracellular vesicles (EV), the contributions of long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are largely unknown. To this end, the involvement and functional roles of lncRNAs contained within EVs during chemotherapeutic stress in human HCC were determined. Expression profiling identified a subset of lncRNAs that were enriched in tumor cell–derived vesicles released from two different cell lines. Of these, lincRNA-VLDLR (linc-VLDLR) was significantly upregulated in malignant hepatocytes. Exposure of HCC cells to diverse anticancer agents such as sorafenib, camptothecin, and doxorubicin increased linc-VLDLR expression in cells as well as within EVs released from these cells. Incubation with EVs reduced chemotherapy-induced cell death and also increased linc-VLDLR expression in recipient cells. RNAi-mediated knockdown of linc-VLDLR decreased cell viability and abrogated cell-cycle progression. Moreover, knockdown of VLDLR reduced expression of ABCG2 (ATP-binding cassette, subfamily G member 2), whereas overexpression of this protein reduced the effects of VLDLR knockdown on sorafenib-induced cell death. Here, linc-VLDLR is identified as an EV-enriched lncRNA that contributes to cellular stress responses.

Implications: These findings provide new insight into the role of EVs and demonstrate the capacity of lncRNAs to mediate chemotherapeutic stress response in HCC. Mol Cancer Res; 12(10); 1377–87. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Hepatocellular cancer (HCC) is one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide, with an annual incidence of around 750,000 new cases (1). This tumor is characterized by the alteration of multiple signaling pathways that modulate tumor behavior, local spread, and a tendency for multifocal tumor development (2). HCC is highly resistant to conventional therapies. Tumor progression is increased by the ability of HCC cells to resist adverse environmental stress such as hypoxia, radiation, and chemotherapy. Acquired resistance to adverse environmental conditions enhances tumor propagation, malignant progression, and resistance to therapy, and is a central issue in both the pathophysiology and the therapy of HCC (3, 4). Understanding the primary mechanisms or acquiring resistance to cellular stress will enable us to develop more effective treatment for HCC.

The major focus of attention in genetic regulation of HCC development, progression, and behavior has been on protein-coding genes, and more recently microRNAs (miRNA). In contrast, the contribution of long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) to hepatocarcinogenesis has only recently become appreciated. LncRNAs are defined as noncoding RNAs more than 200 nucleotides in length (5–8). Like miRNA, these lncRNA can affect regulation of gene expression and have an impact on many different cellular processes. In contrast to miRNA, however, they have complex RNA structures and can function through a diverse and broad range of mechanisms. Our previous studies suggested that TUC338, a lncRNA that contains an ultra-conserved element, is significantly increased in human cirrhosis and HCC and can promote cell growth of HCC cells (9). On the other hand, maternally expressed gene 3 is strikingly downregulated in HCC relative to expression in nonmalignant hepatocytes and plays a crucial role as a tumor suppressor (10). Although these and other lncRNAs such as MALAT-1, HULC, and H19 have been implicated in human HCC, the functional contribution of these and other lncRNA genes is mostly unknown.
We have recently shown that HCC cells can release extracellular vesicles (EV) such as exosomes, which are membrane-derived vesicles that originate from endosomal multivesicular bodies and have a size range of 40 to 100 nm when released into the interstitial fluid. These vesicles contain protein, lipids, and RNA derived from their donor cell cytoplasm (11) and can be taken up by other cells. EVs are considered to be related to cell–cell communication and can transfer their content to modulate cellular activities in recipient cells (12, 13). These vesicles have been reported to be secreted into the medium from a variety of normal or tumor cells in culture. We previously documented that HCC cell–derived EVs contain miRNAs that can modulate transformed cell behavior in recipient cells (14). Similar to miRNAs and mRNAs, HCC cell–derived EVs could contain and transfer IncRNAs. We postulated that this intercellular signaling could mediate resistance to chemotherapeutic stress in HCC cells. Our studies identified involvement of the IncRNA linc-VLDLR in modulation of chemotherapeutic responses by tumor cell EVs. These findings provide several new insights into mechanisms of resistance to chemotherapy in HCC, and the contribution of extracellular IncRNA-mediated signaling in tumor cell responses to adverse environmental.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines, culture, and reagents

Nonmalignant human hepatocytes were obtained from ScienCell and cultured as recommended by the supplier. HCC cell lines HepG2, Hep3B, PLC/PRF-5, and Huh-7 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. HepG2.ST were obtained from HepG2 cells by spontaneous transformation (15). Human cholangiocarcinoma cell line Mz-ChA-1 were obtained as described (16). All cell lines were authenticated. All of the HCC cells were cultured in DMEM high glucose medium (HyClone Laboratories), containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic mix. For all studies with EVs, EV-depleted medium was prepared by centrifuging cell-culture medium at 100,000 g for 70 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was further ultracentrifuged at 100,000 × g for 70 minutes at 4°C to pellet EV, which were then washed by resuspending in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and ultracentrifuged at 100,000 × g for 70 minutes in 4°C. The final pellet, composed of an EV preparation that contained a homogeneous population of EVs, was used for isolation of extracellular RNA (exRNA) or other studies, or resuspended with 50 to 100 μL of PBS and stored at −80°C. The protein yield was measured using a Bicinchoninic Acid Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The number of EV were examined by NanoSight (NanoSight Ltd.).

RNA extraction and analysis

Total RNA was extracted from HCC cells using TRIzol (Life Technologies) and extracellular RNA (exRNA) was isolated using ExoQuick-TC (System Biosciences). HCC cells (1 × 10⁶) were plated in 11 mL of EV-depleted medium on collagen-coated 10-cm dishes. After 3 to 4 days, the medium was collected and sequentially centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 15 minutes to remove cells and cell debris. The supernatant was transferred to a sterile vessel and combined with 2 mL ExoQuick-TC. After an overnight precipitation at 4°C, exRNA was extracted using SeraMir Exosome RNA Amplification Kit (System Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration was measured using NanoDrop ND-2000 (NanoDrop Technologies).

Real-time PCR analysis

RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase I (Qiagen). One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.), and real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using a Mx3000p System (Stratagene) to detect miRNA and ncRNA expression with SYBR green I (SYBR Drop Technologies). The supernatant was transferred to a sterile vessel and 3,000-fold concentrated for 15 minutes to remove cells and cell debris. The supernatant was transferred to a sterile vessel and combined with 2 mL ExoQuick-TC. After an overnight precipitation at 4°C, exRNA was extracted using SeraMir Exosome RNA Amplification Kit (System Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration was measured using NanoDrop ND-2000 (NanoDrop Technologies).

Long noncoding RNA profiling

Expression profiling of 90 human IncRNA was performed using the LncProfiler qPCR Array Kit (System Biosciences), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA from EV or donor cells (n = 3 per each cell
line) were treated with DNase I and 2 μg of DNase-treated RNA was reverse transcribed. Real-time PCR was performed (2X Maxima SYBR Green with Rox) and the cycle number at which the reaction crossed a threshold (CT) was determined for each gene. Raw CT values were normalized using a median CT value ($\Delta CT = CT_{\text{IncRNA}} - CT_{\text{median}}$). For each IncRNA, the relative amount of each IncRNA between 2 sample sets A and B was described using the equation $2^{\Delta \Delta CT}$, where $\Delta \Delta CT = \Delta CT_A - \Delta CT_B$.

**Transfection of siRNAs**

siRNA against linc-VLDLR was designed using siDESIGN center (Dharmacon). Two independent siRNAs against linc-VLDLR (VLDLR siRNA-1; GCACAACACCCAAA-GACAT and VLDLR siRNA-2; CACAACACCCAAA-GACATA) or nontargeting (NT) control siRNA (siGENOME nontargeting siRNA) were purchased from Dharmacon. HepG2 cells were transfected with 50 nmol/L siRNA to linc-VLDLR or NT control using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) for 48 hours before further experiments.

**Western blotting**

Total protein was extracted from cultured cells using cOmplete Lysis-M, EDTA-free and cOmplete Mini, EDTA-free, Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablet (Roche Applied Science). Equivalent amounts of protein samples were mixed with NuPAGE LDS Sample Buffer (4×: Life Technologies) and separated on NuPAGE 4% to 12% Bis-Tris Gel (Life Technologies) and then transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad). The membranes were blocked with blocking buffer (LI-COR Biosciences) for 1 hour and then incubated overnight at 4°C with the respective primary antibody as follows: mouse monoclonal antibody anti-PCNA (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), mouse monoclonal antibody anti-ABCC1 (MRP1; 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), mouse monoclonal antibody anti-ABCG2 (ABCG2; 1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and goat polyclonal anti-Actin (1:500).

**Figure 1.** LncRNA expression in liver cancer cells and EVs. A, enrichment of lncRNA within EV was analyzed by comparing the expression of each lncRNA in either HepG2 HCC cells or Mz-ChA-1 biliary cancer cells and in EV derived from these cells. The Venn diagram illustrates lncRNA for which the EV/cell ratio was greater than 2-fold in either HepG2 cells (blue), or Mz-ChA-1 cells (green), with the overlap indicating lncRNA that were selectively enriched in EV from both tumor cell types. The numbers indicate the average log2 (fold-change) in lncRNA expression in EV relative to donor cells from 3 independent samples. B, lncRNA expression was performed in 3 independent replicates in HepG2 HCC cells and nonmalignant human hepatocytes (HH). LncRNAs increased by >2-fold in HepG2 cells compared with HH cells are shown. C, RNAs were extracted and qRT-PCR for linc-VLDLR was performed in nonmalignant cells (HH) and HCC cell lines. Expression of linc-VLDLR was normalized to the expression of RNU6B and is expressed relative to that in HH. Bars represent the mean ± SEM of 3 independent studies. *P < 0.05.
The membrane was washed thrice for 15 minutes with TBS-T (25 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 125 mm NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) and then incubated with Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L; 1:5,000; Life Technologies) for PCNA, ABCC1, and ABCG2 and Alexa Fluor 680 rabbit anti-goat IgG (H + L; 1:5,000; Life Technologies) for actin for 20 minutes. Visualization and quantitation of protein expression was performed using the Odyssey imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences). Relative expression was determined by probing the same membrane against actin.

**Cell viability and cell growth assays**

For cell viability studies, cells were seeded (1 × 10^4 per well) in collagen-coated 24-well plates. At each time point, trypan blue staining was performed and the number of viable cells expressed relative to cell counts at baseline. For cell proliferation studies, HepG2 cells were seeded (1 × 10^4 per well) into 96-well collagen-coated plates. At indicated time points, proliferation was assessed using MTS solution (Promega) and a Fluostar Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Background correction was performed by subtracting background fluorescence from wells without cells. For studies of chemotherapeutic stress, cells were then incubated with varying concentrations of sorafenib, camptothecin, doxorubicin, or the appropriate diluent (DMSO) control.

**Flow cytometry**

For cell-cycle analysis, cells were permeabilized with 70% ethanol, and DNA was stained with 20 μg/mL propidium iodide, 0.2 mg/mL RNase A, and 0.01 mol/L PBS (pH 7.4). Cellular DNA content was measured using an Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), and the proportions of cells in particular phases of the cell cycle were analyzed using FCS express version 3 software (De Novo Software).

**Transfection of plasmids**

pcDNA3.1(-)-MRP1 (ABCC-1) was kindly provided by Dr. Susan Cole at Queens University Cancer Research Institute, Kingston, Ontario, Canada. pCMV6-XL5-ABCG2 was purchased from OriGene Technologies. HepG2 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000.
(Life Technologies) for 24 hours with 2 µg ABCC1 or pcDNA3.1 control vector, or with ABCG2 or pCMV6 control vector before further study.

**Statistical analysis**
Data were expressed as the mean and standard error from at least 3 replicates. Comparisons between groups were performed using the 2-tailed Student t test, and results were considered to be statistically significant when P < 0.05.

**Results**
Linc-VLDLR is enriched in HCC-derived EVs
To identify candidate lncRNAs that could potentially function as signaling mediators through EV-mediated mechanisms, we first sought to identify lncRNA that are

**Figure 3.** Linc-VLDLR expression and therapeutic response. A, HepG2 cells were incubated with varying concentrations of sorafenib, doxorubicin or camptothecin for 24 or 48 hours. Linc-VLDLR expression was determined by qRT-PCR, normalized to that of RNU6B and is represented relative to normalized expression in controls at each time point. B, HepG2 cells were transfected with siRNA to linc-VLDLR (siRNA linc-VLDLR1 or siRNA linc-VLDLR2) or nontargeting siRNA controls. Transfected cells were then incubated with varying concentrations of sorafenib, doxorubicin, or camptothecin and cell viability was assessed after 48 hours. Bars represent the mean ± SEM of 3 separate studies. *, P < 0.05. C, PLC/PRF-5 cells were transfected with siRNA to linc-VLDLR (siRNA linc-VLDLR1 or siRNA linc-VLDLR2) or nontargeting siRNA controls. Transfected cells were then incubated with varying concentrations of sorafenib, doxorubicin, or camptothecin and cell viability was assessed after 48 hours. Bars represent the mean ± SEM of 3 separate studies. *, P < 0.05.
Figure 4. Involvement of EV linc-VLDLR in tumor cell responses to chemotherapy. A, cells were incubated with sorafenib, camptothecin, or doxorubicin. EVs were obtained after 24 hours, and qRT-PCR was performed for linc-VLDLR. B, recipient HepG2 HCC cells or KMBC biliary cancer cells were incubated with varying concentrations of EVs derived from HepG2 cells. After 24 hours, RNA was extracted and linc-VLDLR expression was assessed by qRT-PCR in recipient cells. C and D, HepG2 cells were transfected with siRNA to linc-VLDLR (siRNA linc-VLDLR1 or siRNA linc-VLDLR2) or nontargeting controls. After 72 hours, EVs released from these cells were isolated. C, RNA was isolated from EVs and qRT-PCR was performed for linc-VLDLR. D, recipient HepG2 cells were incubated with or without those EVs. RNA was isolated from recipient cells after 48 hours and qRT-PCR was performed for linc-VLDLR. (Continued on the following page.)
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LncRNA VLDLR promotes cell-cycle progression

To gain insight into the functional role of linc-VLDLR, we next examined the effect of linc-VLDLR knockdown using siRNA on cell proliferation and viability. Transfection with either of 2 different linc-VLDLR siRNA constructs reduced linc-VLDLR expression by 40% to 70% compared with nontargeting siRNA controls (Fig. 2A). Using these constructs and conditions, we assessed the effect of linc-VLDLR knockdown on cell-cycle progression in HepG2 cells. siRNA to linc-VLDLR-1 significantly increased the percentage of cells in G1 phase from 50.3% to 58.2% compared with control, and decreased the percentage of cells in S and G2–M phases (data not shown). Moreover, linc-VLDLR knockdown decreased expression of PCNA, a marker of cell proliferation and S phase in HepG2 cells (Fig. 2B). Next, we investigated the effect of linc-VLDLR knockdown on cell proliferation in HepG2 and PLC/PRF-5 cells. Compared with controls, a significant reduction in cell proliferation was observed with either of 2 different siRNA to linc-VLDLR (Fig. 2C and D). These studies support a role of linc-VLDLR in modulating HCC cell proliferation by showing that knockdown of linc-VLDLR can result in G1-S arrest.

Chemotherapeutic stress increases linc-VLDLR in cells and EV

We next sought to identify determinants of EV release of linc-VLDLR, and began by examining tumor cell responses to adverse environmental stresses such as exposure to chemotherapeutic agents. Sorafenib and doxorubicin are the most commonly used agents used in systemic or regional therapies for HCC. A significant increase in linc-VLDLR expression in HCC cells was observed during incubation with sorafenib, and doxorubicin as well as with camptothecin (Fig. 3). Furthermore, siRNA-mediated knockdown of linc-VLDLR using 2 different siRNA (siRNA-linc-VLDLR-1 or siRNA-linc-VLDLR-2) decreased cell viability in response to sorafenib, doxorubicin, or camptothecin. Thus, VLDLR represents a stress-responsive lncRNA that can be induced by exposure to chemotherapy and that can contribute to acquired chemoresistance in HCC cells. We observed that linc-VLDLR was also increased in EV released from these tumor cells exposed to these anticancer agents (Fig. 4A). Therefore, chemotherapeutic stress enhances cellular linc-VLDLR expression as well as release within EV.

EV-mediated transfer of linc-VLDLR can result in chemoresistance

We next examined if EV could deliver linc-VLDLR to other cells similar to the intercellular transfer of other noncoding RNA that we and others have previously reported (14, 17). EVs were obtained from HepG2 cells and varying concentrations were incubated with either HepG2 or KMBC tumor cells. The expression of linc-VLDLR expression was assessed by qRT-PCR in these recipient cells after 24 hours. A dramatic increase in linc-VLDLR occurred in a concentration-dependent manner consistent with EV-mediated transfer of this lncRNA (Fig. 4B). Linc-VLDLR expression was significantly decreased in EVs derived from HepG2 cells incubated with either 1 of 2 siRNA to linc-VLDLR compared with nontargeting siRNA control cells (Fig. 4C). An increase in linc-VLDLR was noted in recipient cells incubated with EV from control siRNA transfected cells, but not with EV from linc-VLDLR knockdown cells (Fig. 4D). Next, we examined the effect of HCC cell-derived EV in recipient cell responses to either sorafenib or doxorubicin, and the contribution of linc-VLDLR to these responses. Studies were performed in recipient cells incubated with siRNA to linc-VLDLR-1 or NT controls for 48 hours before culture in EV-depleted medium and incubation with HepG2-derived EV for 24 hours (Fig. 4E). Cell viability was decreased by linc-VLDLR siRNA-1 or linc-VLDLR siRNA-2 compared with NT siRNA controls. Cell viability was also evaluated following exposure of cells to sorafenib or
doxorubicin for 48 hours. Incubation with EV increased cell viability, but this was reduced in cells transfected with linc-VLDLR. These data indicate that EV can modulate cell viability during chemotherapeutic stress, and moreover that linc-VLDLR may promote chemoresistance.

Linc-VLDLR knockdown or EVs treatment on the ABC transporter superfamily

Active drug export out of the cells can maintain intracellular drug concentrations below toxic levels (18–21). Altered expression of ABC transporter superfamily members

Figure 5. Modulation of expression of ABCG2 by linc-VLDLR. A, HepG2 or PLC/PRF-5 cells were transfected with siRNA to linc-VLDLR (siRNA VLDLR-1 or siRNA VLDLR-2) or nontargeting siRNA controls. After 48 hours, RNA was obtained for qRT-PCR analysis for ABCG2. Expression of ABCG2 gene was normalized to that of RNU6B and represented relative to control. Bars express the mean ± SEM of 3 independent determinations. *P < 0.05. B, HepG2 cells were incubated with EVs isolated from HepG2. After 48 hours, RNA was obtained and qRT-PCR was performed for ABCG2 mRNA expression. C, HepG2 cells were incubated with 10 μg/mL of HepG2 cells derived EVs. After 48 hours, cells were lysed, and immunoblot analysis was performed using specific antibodies against ABCG2. D, HepG2 cells were transfected with siRNA to linc-VLDLR 1 or nontargeting control. After 48 hours, cells were lysed, and immunoblot analysis was performed using specific antibodies against ABCG2. E, HepG2 cells were transfected with 2 μg pCMV6-XL5-ABCG2 or empty vector for 24 hours. RT-PCR was performed using primers specific for ABCG2 or GAPDH. The average normalized ratio of ABCG2/GAPDH expression from 2 separate determinations is shown. F, HepG2 cells were transfected with pCMV6-XL5-ABCG2 or empty vector. After 24 hours, cells were transfected with siRNA to linc-VLDLR-1 or nontargeting controls. Cells were detached 24 hours later and reseeded into 96 wells (1 × 10^4 cells per well). After 24 hours, cells were incubated with diluent (DMSO) control or 1 μmol/L sorafenib. Cell viability was assessed after 48 hours. The bars represent the mean ± SEM of viable cells, % of basal from 3 independent studies. *P < 0.05.
incubation with tumor cell–derived EVs increased the expression of ABC, subfamily G member 2 (ABC-G2; Fig. 5), suggesting a role for EV-mediated mechanisms for modulation of chemoresistance through downstream effects on ABC transporters. Moreover, siRNA to Linc-VLDLR-1 resulted in a marked reduction in expression of ABCG2 mRNA and protein. Furthermore, overexpression of ABCG2 reduced the effect of linc-VLDLR1 knockdown on sorafenib-induced cell death in HepG2 cells (Fig. 5). The transfer of linc-VLDLR within EV in response to chemotherapeutic stress could therefore contribute to therapeutic resistance through modulation of ABC transporter expression within adjacent tumor cells. In addition, we observed a modest but significant increase in expression of ABC half-transporter (ABCC1) in HepG2 cells during incubation with EV, as well as a reduction in expression of ABCC1 by siRNA-mediated knockdown of VLDLR (data not shown). However, overexpression of ABCC1 did not reduce the effect of VLDLR knockdown on sorafenib-induced cell death.

Taken together, these studies reveal a unique mechanism of chemotherapeutic response and resistance in HCC cells that involves both novel mediators such as the lncRNA linc-VLDLR, and a novel mechanism involving intercellular transfer of EVs and modulation of target gene expression resulting in acquired chemoresistance in recipient cells (Fig. 6).

Discussion

In this study, we identify the functional involvement on lncRNA in cell-to-cell communication through EVs and show that linc-VLDLR can be transferred by tumor cell–derived EVs and modulate resistance to chemotherapy in recipient cancer cells. Demonstration of EV-mediated intercellular pathways provides new insights into tumor development and resistance to environmental stresses. These studies raise the potential for linc-VLDLR and possibly other lncRNA as well to modulate biologic effects in recipient cells through intercellular signaling roles similar to those that have been postulated for exosomal miRNAs.

The involvement of lncRNAs is being increasingly identified in human diseases and biologic processes, although their functional roles are still poorly understood. Although diverse mechanisms by which lncRNA can modulate gene expression have been identified, their participation as mediators involved in intercellular signaling in human diseases has not been characterized. In this study, we identify the large intergenic noncoding RNA linc-VLDLR as a novel signaling mediator that can contribute to chemotherapeutic stress responses in HCC through EV-mediated intercellular signaling. These observations further support the potential contributions of EV-based signaling involving biologically active RNA genes in cellular processes that are directly relevant to human cancers (22).

Deregulated expression of several lncRNA genes has been identified in HCC but their functional contributions are still unknown. Linc-VLDLR was first identified as a large intergenic noncoding RNAs (lincRNA) with increased expression in induced pluripotent stem cells and embryonic stem cells (23). The role of this lncRNA in cancer has not been previously established. In addition to the increased presence of linc-VLDLR in HCC derived EV, linc-VLDLR was identified as among the most significantly upregulated in expression in malignant human HCC cells. Thus, in addition to its effects in pluripotent cells, linc-VLDLR may have a broader functional role in human cancers that involves proliferative or chemotherapeutic stress responses. The function of linc-VLDLR is unknown (24–26). Although the function of most lncRNA is obscure, they have been shown to regulate gene expression through very diverse mechanisms such as epigenetic regulation, chromatin remodeling, transcriptional or posttranscriptional regulation, or modulation of protein function and localization (27–31). Our studies have identified determinants of linc-VLDLR expression, release within EV, and downstream contribution to acquired chemoresistance, and therefore justify further studies to define the mechanisms by which linc-VLDLR may contribute to expression of drug transporters.

Understanding the mechanisms of chemoresistance is particularly important for cancers such as HCC that respond very poorly to chemotherapeutic agents. Sorafenib, a multi-kinase inhibitor, exerts antiangiogenic and antitumor effects by blocking multiple growth factor pathways (32). This chemotherapeutic agent is the only FDA-approved treatment for patients with advanced HCC, and the effectiveness of this agent is limited because of high acquired resistance...
(33). The identification of mechanisms of chemotherapeutic resistance in HCC cells offers the potential to improve the results from the use of agents such as sorafenib for the treatment of HCC. The novel functional role of IncRNA in mediating cellular responses to adverse cellular stresses through EV-mediated intercellular signaling could therefore provide new opportunities for approaches to enhance therapeutically efficacy.
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